



CODEN [USA]: IAJPBB

ISSN: 2349-7750

**INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF
PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES**

<http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3375175>

Available online at: <http://www.iajps.com>

Research Article

COMPARISON OF THE EFFECTS OF GENERAL VS SPINAL ANESTHESIA ON NEONATAL OUTCOME

Dr. Misha Habib, Dr. Mishal Seyal, Dr Wajiha Asif

House Officer, Jinnah Hospital Lahore

Article Received: June 2019

Accepted: July 2019

Published: August 2019

Abstract:

Objectives: To look at the impacts of general and spinal anesthesia in patients experiencing an elective Cesarean segment as far as a neonatal result.

Setting: Subjects & Methods: This randomized control trial was conducted at Jinnah Hospital, Lahore (June 2018 to February 2019). Patients in this examination were conceded through obstetric OPD for lower fragment Cesarean segment. Patients were chosen by straightforward irregular wrap draw strategy. Test estimate were 160 patients, they isolated into two equivalent groups. Group A (N=80) patients experienced spinal anesthesia and Group B patients experienced general anesthesia. Following conveyance of the neonate, the umbilical corridor blood test was taken for appraisal of blood pH. Apgar score was evaluated at 01 and 05 minutes and recorded on proforma. Anesthesia was marked as viable I-e acceptable if the Apgar score was 7 or more and blood pH 7.2 or more.

Result: An Apgar score >7 was seen at 01 and 05 minutes in 78(97.5%) and 80 (100%) neonates separately in the group sometimes it was 60(75%) and 74 (92.5%) in group B neonates. Apgar score>7 was seen in significantly more neonates in group A as a contrast with group B ($p = 0.028$). Normal Apgar score at 01 and 05 minutes was moreover significantly higher in group A than group B; (8.04 ± 0.82) versus (7.10 ± 0.92) ($p = 0.0001$) and (9.89 ± 0.32) versus (9.34 ± 1.07) separately ($p = 0.0001$). Umbilical supply route blood pH >7.2 was watched significantly high in group A 93.8% as analyzed to bunch B 83.8% ($p = 0.045$). Additionally, normal pH was significantly high in group A than group B for example (7.38 ± 0.15) versus (7.21 ± 0.16) ($p = 0.017$).

Conclusion: Spinal anesthesia is related to the better neonatal outcome when contrasted with general anesthesia in elective Cesarean segments.

Keywords: Cesarean Section, Apgar, Anesthesia, Spinal, pH, Bupivacaine, Neonates, Blood and Cesarean.

Corresponding author:

Misha Habib,

House Officer, Jinnah Hospital Lahore

QR code



Please cite this article in press Misha Habib et al., *Comparison Of The Effects Of General Vs Spinal Anesthesia On Neonatal Outcome.*, Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2019; 06[08].

INTRODUCTION:

The cesarean area can be performed under general or territorial anesthesia like spinal or epidural method. The Obstetric anesthetist requires uncommon preparing furthermore, abilities to give safe anesthesia. The soporific systems and specialists picked ought to give great anesthesia and absence of pain with insignificant impacts on fetomaternal wellbeing. The spinal anesthesia is ordinarily considered as additional handy and more secure than different systems like general furthermore, epidural since it is easy to oversee, need of negligible observing, the portion of medications required to incite spinal anesthesia is 1.5 milliliter, subsequently improbable to deliver fundamental impacts in the child so less neonatal presentation to depressant medications, a diminished hazard of maternal pneumonic goal and an alert mother at the introduction of child. Likewise, with any territorial system, the burdens are dangers of a broad square, a span of anesthesia, hypotension² (9%) and the hazard of postural cut headache. The medications required for general anesthesia are various, the greater part of the medications impact the infant in two different ways: by direct impact from placental medication exchange and by roundabout impact coming about because of maternal physiological and biochemical changes, which give off an impression of being considerably more significant. They may create foundational impacts in the infant like low Apgar score and sedation. In this strategy, there are dangers of biclique intubations, maternal pneumonic desire, postponed recuperation, sickness and retching. The frequency of maternal mortality may reach up to 10% . In 1952 Dr: Apgar an obstetric anesthesiologist proposed the Apgar score as a method for quick assessment of the physical state of babies not long after the birth. The scores are taken at 01 and 05 minutes after conveyance. Of the two scores, the 05 minutes score is viewed as the better indicator of survival in the earliest stages in the long term. Though the 01-minute score definitely has the esteem for; evaluating the impacts of various medications given to the mother amid the Cesarean segment. This technique is much all the more engaging on the grounds that it is noninvasive. The most helpful umbilical rope blood parameter is blood vessel pH which is progressively illustrative of the fetal metabolic condition. Umbilical rope gas and pH esteem for the umbilical vein can be influenced by adjustments in the rope blood flow with the conveyance process. Apgar score and umbilical vein pH gives the best proportions of the neonatal result after Cesarean area under general furthermore, spinal anesthesia. Apgar score ponders led at Abbasi Shaheed Hospital, Karachi, demonstrates that Apgar

score of neonates at 1 and 5 minutes whose mother gotten spinal anesthesia was 8.1, 0.7 and 9.8, 0.41 while it was 9.52, 0.71 and 6.9, 0.73 all in all anesthesia. In spite of the fact that the past investigations have seen that embryo brought into the world under general anesthesia had higher occurrence of academia and lower Apgar scores, while amid spinal anesthesia there happens decline in pH, coming about in academia of neonate however these examinations didn't appear results on pH and as in general result including the Apgar score, remains unproven. So this investigation was directed to acquire our own perception with respect to pH and Apgar score on the grounds that spinal anesthesia is regularly utilized method in our setup. The nearby information accessible in such manner is restricted, what's more, this investigation was led to evaluate the more secure mode of anesthesia for elective Cesarean segment as far as a neonatal result, so the equivalent might be followed in such patients.

METHODOLOGY:

This randomized control trial was conducted at Jinnah Hospital, Lahore (June 2018 to February 2019). An aggregate of 160 patients was chosen for study meeting the incorporation criteria. Accept that Apgar score at 5 minutes in Group A (spinal anesthesia) P1=80% while in Group B (General anesthesia) P2=62.2%. With 80% intensity of the test and 5% level of confidence, 80 patients were incorporated into each Group. The patients were isolated into two groups by encompassing draw strategy. Group A was given spinal anesthesia and Group B experienced general anesthesia. The testing method was purposive examining. All pregnant ladies with gestational age 37-40 weeks, ASA-1, with singleton pregnancy chose for elective Cesarean area were incorporated. Fetal components considered were ordinary development parameters on ultrasound and amplexness of alcohol. Mother having PIH, history of spine or cerebrum deformation, butterball shaped patient having BMI > 40, skin to uterine entry point time > 10 minutes and uterine cut to conveyance time > 3 minutes were rejected from the think about. Fetal components for avoidance were intrinsic abnormalities, babies little for dates, and fetal trouble. The wellspring of patients was the division of gynecology/ obstetrics. The hazard and benefits of spinal and general anesthesia were disclosed to the majority of the patients in the think about groups. As the patients were haphazardly isolated by wrap draw strategy so all sort of inclination and most puzzles were precluded. After the use of standard screens for example non-intrusive circulatory strain, ECG and heartbeat oximetry and keeping up the intravenous lines,

general anesthesia was given by an institutionalized anesthesia system by performing fast grouping enlistment and intubation with inj. propofol 2mg/kg, inj. suxamethonium 1.5 mg/kg, utilization of Sellick's move, confirmation of the endotracheal tube, inj. atracurium 0.5mg/kg and at that point maintenance on 0.25%-0.5% insurance in oxygen/nitrous oxide. After the conveyance of infant inj. nalbuphine 0.2mg/kg was given. Toward the finish of medical procedure at the point when tolerant continued some breathing exertion, lingering impacts were switched with inj. neostigmine 0.35mg/ kg and inj. glycopyrrolate 0.05mg/kg. Whenever the tolerant turned out to be completely alert, the endotracheal tube was evacuated in a parallel position. Patients arranged for spinal anesthesia were preloaded with the crystalloid arrangement. Bupivacaine 0.75%, 1.5 ml was given at L3-4 or L4-5 interspaces in sitting or horizontal position and all patients were set in a recumbent position. Supplemental oxygen 4 litres/min was regulated by means of a Hudson veil. Following conveyance of the neonate, the umbilical corridor blood test was taken for evaluation of blood pH and Apgar score was evaluated at 01 and 05 minutes, what's more, recorded. Anesthesia was marked as successful for example palatable if the Apgar score was 7 and blood pH was 7.2. All factual investigation was finished utilizing SPSS. Recurrence and rates were processed for clear cut factors like age groups, Apgar score, attractive and unacceptable results. Mean with standard deviation, 95% confidence interim and middle were additionally processed for a quantitative variable like age, Apgar score and pH. Autonomous example 't' test was used to look at mean contrasts between groups for age, Apgar score and pH. As indicated by cutoff estimation of Apgar score and pH, the tasteful and inadmissible condition was thought about between groups by chi-square test and Fisher test. $P < 0.05$ was viewed as a level of significance.

RESULT:

The vast majority of the pregnant ladies were 21 to 35 years of age 148 (92.5%) in both groups. The normal age of the patients was (27.61 ± 4.36) years (95% CI: 26.93 to 28.29). Normal and 95% confidence interim of by and large Apgar score at one and have minutes and pH of the two groups are introduced in Table 1; Overall graphics measurements of study factor. The mean age of the patients got spinal anesthesia was (27.49 ± 4.32) years and those gotten general anesthesia was (27.74 ± 4.42) years. The significant distinction was not seen in age between the groups ($p = 0.72$). Mean Apgar score of neonates at 01 and 05 minutes was significantly high in those ladies who got spinal

anesthesia (7.21 ± 0.16) and (9.89 ± 0.32) when contrasted with the individuals who got general anesthesia (7.10 ± 0.92) and (9.34 ± 1.07) ($p < 0.01$). Normal pH was additionally significantly high in the spinal group (7.38 ± 0.15) when contrasted with the general anesthesia group (7.21 ± 0.16) ($p = 0.017$) (Table 2). Palatable Apgar score at one moment in group B was seen in 60 (75%) neonates while it was seen in 78 (97.5%) neonates in group A. Inadmissible Apgar score was seen in 20 (25%) neonates in group B as contrasted with 2 (2.5%) of the neonates in group A. Correlation of attractive Apgar score at five minutes between groups is exhibited in Table 3. In group an, attractive (Apgar 7) was seen in all for example 80 (100%) neonates while in group B it was seen in 74 (92.5%) neonates. Attractive Apgar score was significantly high in group A than bunch B ($p = 0.028$). Umbilical supply route blood pH 7.2 was watched significantly low in group B when contrasted with group A; 83.8% versus 93.8% individually ($p = 0.045$) has appeared in Table 3.

DISCUSSION:

Globally an obstetric anesthesia rule prescribes spinal and epidural over general anesthesia for most Cesarean sections. This subject has been considered by numerous agents throughout the years. A few have demonstrated no distinction in Apgar scores between the groups while others announced lower Apgar scores and more terrible results with the utilization of general anesthesia. Apgar score of neonate at 01 and 05 minutes was significantly high in those ladies who got spinal anesthesia (8.04 ± 0.82) and 9.89 ± 0.32 in the present examine when contrasted with the individuals who got general anesthesia (7.10 ± 0.92) and 9.34 ± 1.37 , about steady with the investigation on Apgar score led at Abbasi Shaheed clinic Karachi; (8.1 ± 0.7) and (9.8 ± 0.41) in spinal anesthesia when contrasted with (6.9 ± 0.73) and (9.52 ± 0.71) when all is said in done anesthesia group. Kolata *et al.* Ong BY and Alfredo M *et al.* likewise discovered lower Apgar scores of the neonates whose moms got general anesthesia. Dyer *et al.* discovered more terrible result after spinal versus general anesthesia concerning pH yet the better Apgar score in the spinal group. Different agents found no contrasts between various analgesic regimens. Examination of the palatable Apgar score at one moment was additionally watched high in spinal group 78 (97.5%) as contrasted with general anesthesia bunch 60 (75%) neonates. Unsuitable Apgar score was seen in 20 (25%) neonates when all is said in done anesthesia bunch when contrasted with 2 (2.5%) of the neonates who got spinal anesthesia. Tony *et al.* thought that it was 0.6% in spinal and 2% when all is said in done anesthesia

group while Sukhera SA found it 36% in general anesthesia group. Alfredo M. et al. found discouraged babies 1.1% in the spinal group and 25.9% in the general group. At 01min, a higher score for each parameter was found in the spinal group as for the general anesthesia group. Acceptable state of Apgar score at five minutes was significantly high in group A than group B. In bunch An, it was seen in all for example 80 (100%) neonates while in group B it was seen in 74 (92.5%) neonates also, Apgar <7 were seen in 6(7.5%) neonates. Tony et al. discovered <7 Apgar at 05 minutes 1.3% as a rule anesthesia group and 4.3% in spinal anesthesia group while Sukhera SA found it 11% when all is said in done anesthesia group. Alfredo M et al. found all babies enthusiastic at 05 minutes in the two groups. In terms of better Apgar score and prior commencement of bosom nourishing, spinal anesthesia might be liked to general anesthesia in Cesarean section. General anesthesia has an unfavorable impact on the Apgar score however this is short-lived. A few creators trust that umbilical vein pH observing is an increasingly exact strategy for surveying the fetal wellbeing. In the present investigation, normal pH was significant high in neonates of group A than group B (7.38 ± 0.15 versus 7.21 ± 0.16). Koltat et al. demonstrated that the embryos brought into the world under general anesthesia had a higher rate of acidemia pH 7.21-7.26 and lower Apgar scores; whereas, spinal anesthesia was related with acidotic pH 7.19-7.26 however incredible babies. Hodgson et al. and Arif Yegin et al. watched high neonatal pH in the spinal group. As indicated by Sendag F and colleagues, the mean umbilical conduit blood PaCO² and HCO³ values did not demonstrate any significant distinction between the groups. Present day general anesthesia may calm the infant, in spite of the fact that this impact is brief, effectively survive furthermore, its impact on corrosive base equalization is basically benign. Kvak and his colleagues⁸ showed no distinction in transient neonatal results including Apgar scores what's more, rope gas parameters. Additionally, in our examination, attractive pH was found in 75 (93.7%) patients in the spinal group when contrasted with 67 (83.7%) patients as a rule anesthesia group and unacceptable pH was low in spinal when contrasted with general anesthesia bunch [05 (6.3%) versus 13 (16.3%)]. Arif Yegin et al. also discovered inadmissible pH 16.1% when all is said in done anesthesia group. Alfredo M et al. found no distinctions in pH values. Morgan and colleagues thought that it was 4.7 and 1.1%, in spinal and general anesthesia separately. Agreeing to Robert et al, provincial anesthesia was related with fetal acidemia and had highlights of an intense respiratory kind of academia, around 18% of babies had

umbilical corridor blood pH estimations of 7.19 or less. Information is changing in regards to the impact of sedative choices on neonatal Apgar scores and umbilical vein parameters and the significance of little contrasts in these numbers is hazy. Every circumstance must be assessed exclusively.

CONCLUSION:

In our investigation, we saw that Apgar score and umbilical course blood pH of neonates whose moms gotten general anesthesia were lower than neonates whose moms got spinal anesthesia. Spinal anesthesia is as compelling as general anesthesia; the fetal result is good and can be favored over general anesthesia. It very well may be additionally assessed in future by enormous examinations on crisis cesarean areas, having all evaluations of anesthesia hazard factors.

REFERENCES:

1. Sukhera SA, Ahmed S. Neonatal outcome: a comparison between epidural and general anesthesia for cesarean sections. *Professional MedJ* 2006; 13:72-8.
2. Waris S, Yousuf M, Ahmed RA, Shahid M. An experience of spinal anesthesia versus general anesthesia. *Surg Pak* 2002; 7:25-7.
3. Kamran S, Akercan F, Akarsu T, Firat V, Ozcan O, Karadadas N. Comparison of the maternal and neonatal effects of the epidural block and of the combined spinal-epidural block for Cesarean section. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2005; 121:18-23.
4. Reynolds F, Seed PT. Anesthesia for cesarean section and neonatal acid-base status a meta-analysis. *Anesthesia* 2005; 60:636-53.
5. Skolnick AA. Apgar quartet plays primatologist's instruments. *JAMA* 1996; 276:1939-40.
6. Khoury AD, Moretti ML, Barton JR. Fetal blood sampling in patients undergoing elective cesarean section: a correlation with cord blood gas values obtained at delivery. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1994; 171:679-84.
7. Sultana A, Masood Z, Hasan S. Effect of type of anesthesia on neonatal outcome. *Anl Abbasi Shaheed Hospital Karachi Med Dent Coll* 2004; 9:552-7.
8. Kavak ZN, Basgul A, Cehyan N. Short term outcome of newborn infants: Spinal versus general anesthesia for elective cesarean section: a prospective randomized study. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Rep Biol* 2001; 100:50-4.
9. American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Obstetric Anesthesia. Practice guidelines for obstetric anesthesia: an updated report by the American Society of

- Anesthesiologists TaskForce on Obstetric Anesthesia. *Anesthesiology* 2007; 106:843-63.
10. Cyna AM, Dodd J. Clinical update: obstetric anesthesia. *Lancet* 2007; 370:640-42.
 11. Ong BY, Cohen MM, Palahniuk RJ. Anesthesia for cesarean section -- effects on neonates. *AnesthAnalg*. 1989; 68:270-275.
 12. Kolat T, Somboonnanonda A, Lertakyamanee J, Chinachot T, Tritrakaran T, Muangkasem J. Effect of general and regional anesthesia on the neonate (a prospective randomized trial). *J Med Assoc Thai* 1999 Jan; 82(1):40-45.
 13. Alfred M, Antonio DV, Annamaria G, Valentina P, Lara MG, Marianna G et al. General versus spinal anesthesia for elective cesarean sections: effects on neonatal short-term outcome. A prospective randomized study. *J of Mat-Fet and Neonat Med*. Posted online Jan 21, 2010.
 14. Dyer Ra, Els I, Farbas J, TorrGJ, SchoemanLK, James MF. A prospective and randomized trial comparing general with spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery in preeclamptic patients with a non-reassuring fetal heart trace. *Anesthesiology* 2003 Sep; 99(3):561-
 15. Wallace DH, Leveno KJ, Cunningham FG, Giesecke AH, Shearer VE, Sidwai Je. Randomized comparison of general and regional anesthesia for cesarean section delivery in pregnancies complicated by severe preeclampsia. *ObstetGynecol* 1995; 86:193-9.
 16. Lertakyamanee J, Chinachoti T, Tritrakarn T, Muangkasem J, Somboonnanonda A, Kolatat T. Comparison of general and regional anesthesia for cesarean section: success rate, blood loss and satisfaction from a randomized trial. *J Med Assoc Thai* 1999; 82:672-9.
 17. Tooni G, Ferrari B, De Felice C, Ventura A. Fetal acid-base and neonatal status after general and neuraxial anesthesia for elective Cesarean section. *Int J GynecolObstet* 2007; 97:143-6.
 18. Casey BM, McIntire DD, Leveno KJ. The continuing value of the Apgar score for the assessment of newborn infants. *N Engl J Med* 2001; 344:467-71.
 19. Winkler CL, HaultJC, Tucker JM, Owen J, Brum! eld CG. Neonatal complication at term as related to the degree of umbilical artery acidemia. *Am J ObstetGynecol* 1991; 164:637-41.
 20. Hodgson CA, Wauchob TD. A comparison of spinal and general anesthesia for elective cesarean section: effect on the neonatal condition at birth. *Int J ObstetAnesth* 1994; 3:25-30.
 21. Arif Y, Zeki E, Murat Y, Meliha E. The effects of epidural anesthesia and general anesthesia on newborns at cesarean section. *Turk J Med Sci* 2003; 33:311-14.
 22. Sendag F, Terek C, Oztekin K, Sagol S, Asena U. Comparison of epidural and general anesthesia for elective caesarean delivery according to the effects of Apgar scores and acid-base status. *Aust N Z J ObstetGynecol* 1999; 39:464-7.
 23. Morgan PJ, Halpern S, Lam-McCulloch J. Comparison of maternal satisfaction between epidural and spinal anesthesia for elective Cesarean section. *Can J Anaesth* 2000 Oct; 47(10):956-61.
 24. Roberts SW, Leveno KJ, Sidawi JE, Lucas MJ Kelly MA. Fetal acidemia associated with regional anesthesia for elective cesarean delivery. *ObstetGynecol* 1995 Jan; 85(1):79-83.