



CODEN [USA]: IAJ PBB

ISSN: 2349-7750

**INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF
PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES**<http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1879881>Available online at: <http://www.iajps.com>

Research Article

**MANAGEMENT AND ASSESSMENT OF DEHYDRATION IN
PEDIATRICS**

¹ Zahra Mohamed Al Muwais, ² Othman Mohammed Bahattab, ³ Yara Sami felimban,
⁴ Mohammed Hassan Altalah, ⁵ Rawan fuad habib, ⁶ Mai Emad Mitwalli, ⁷ Abdullah saeed
Abo Hatlah, ⁸ Rawan Awad Alsubhi, ⁹ Ghazal Omar Sanyour, ¹⁰ Deyala Wadie Saadawi,
¹ Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, ² Batterjee Medical College, ³ Ibn Sina National
College, ⁴ King Khalid University, ⁵ Ibn Sina National College, ⁶ Batterjee Medical College, ⁷ King
Khalid University, ⁸ Ibn Sina National College, ⁹ Batterjee Medical College,
¹⁰ Ibn Sina National College,

Abstract:

The level of fluid deficit may be difficult to scientifically quantify and there is no laboratory worth that is either delicate or details to approximate the degree of dehydration in children. Rehydration may take place by means of oral, subcutaneous, or IV paths. We performed a search using electronic databases; MEDLINE, science-direct, and EMBASE, through October, 2018. Dehydration related to gastroenteritis makes up a considerable worry of disease worldwide. Most of dehydration is amenable to ORT; only the treatment of severe dehydration needs IVF. Prior pilot data on the superiority of rapid IVF administration for rehydration has actually been refuted. Nevertheless, a new research suggests that initial rehydration with glucose including IVF trends toward lowering the return visits and decreasing the admission rates. More data on the efficiency and safety and security of antiemetics has actually been produced. Antiemetics have been proven well tolerated without masking severe alternate diagnoses, efficacious in improving the success of ORT, and affordable. These brand-new data supporters highly for the consolidation of antiemetics right into the scientific guidelines. Variability in guideline conformity has been shown, with doctors mentioning troubles in evaluating dehydration and scientific judgment as factors for variation.

Corresponding author:

Zahra Mohamed Al Muwais,
Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University

QR code



Please cite this article in press Zahra Mohamed Al Muwais et al., *Management and Assessment of Dehydration in Pediatrics.*, Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2018; 05(12).

INTRODUCTION:

Dehydration is a substantial depletion of body electrolytes and water, commonly second to acute gastroenteritis, or to various other diseases that cause throwing up, diarrhea or polyuria [1]. In the United States, acute diarrhea is responsible for around 1.5 million outpatient visits, 200,000 hospital stays and 300 deaths every year [1]. In Europe, according to an Italian study, it is estimated that rotavirus generates 3.6 million episodes of gastroenteritis [2].

Dehydration is a medical emergency, however there is no solitary common parameter to examine it during the triage and there are no methodical testimonials relating to the effectiveness of history, objective evaluation, and research laboratory tests to examine dehydration [1]. Weight-loss identified as an objective "gold criterion" for dehydration rate often cannot be calculated due to the fact that recent or "baseline" moisturized weights are seldom available on acute-care visits. Therefore, a number of other associated signs and symptoms and indicators should be considered consisting of: urine outcome, sunken eyes, absence of splits, dry mucous membranes, heart rate (RR), breathing rate (RR) and effort, capillary refilling time (RT), and skin turgor [2].

The European Society of Paediatric Gastroenterology Hepatology and Nutrition (ESPGHAN) standard recommends different score systems to measure dehydration, based on scientific signs and symptoms thought about with each other (for example, RT, skin turgor, and urine output), called "dehydration ranges" [3]. The American Academy of Paediatrics (AAP) standards suggest making clinical choices based on the degree of dehydration: light (3- 5%), moderate (6-9%), or severe (> 10%) [4].

Pediatric dehydration occurs regularly and is most generally second to acute gastroenteritis. In this review we discuss the evaluation and rehydration techniques. The level of fluid deficit may be difficult to scientifically quantify and there is no laboratory worth that is either delicate or details to approximate the degree of dehydration in children. Rehydration may take place by means of oral, subcutaneous, or IV paths.

METHODOLOGY:

We performed a search using electronic databases; MEDLINE, science-direct, and EMBASE, through October, 2018. Search strategies used following

MeSH terms in searching: "dehydration in pediatrics", "dehydration", "management". Moreover, we restricted our search to only English language studies published with human subjects.

DISCUSSION:**• DIAGNOSIS**

Kids with dehydration are typically split into extent subgroups by percent of weight lost throughout the health problem. Very little or no dehydration is commonly defined as a loss of less than 3% of body weight, mild dehydration is a 3% to 5% loss, modest dehydration is a 6% to 9% loss, and severe dehydration is a loss of 10% or even more of the preillness weight, although severity subgroupings differ rather in various released standards (Tables 1 and 2). If a trusted preillness weight is available, the level of dehydration can be computed (Equation 1). A weight just prior to the health problem, nonetheless, is not typically available and severity of dehydration have to be approximated based upon clinical signs and symptoms.

The formula for calculating fluid deficiency is as follows:

Fluid deficit (mL)

= % dehydration * weight(kg)

* 10% dehydration determined clinically OR weight change

$$- \left(\frac{(\text{previous weight} - \text{current weight})}{\text{previous weight}} \right) * 100$$

The capability to acknowledge dehydration has important scientific implications. Neglected dehydration might cause electrolyte disturbances, acidosis, and end-organ damage due to hypoperfusion, including renal insufficiency and cardiovascular instability. An exact analysis of the extent of dehydration, nonetheless, can be difficult. Historical attributes, including period of illness, frequency and characterization of throwing up and diarrhea, urine output, preillness weight, and recent oral consumption ought to be determined [5], [6]. Standards recommend examining essential indications, basic appearance, appearance of oral mucosa, and breathing pattern [6]. Eyes must be taken a look at for a sunken look and visibility or absence of splits ought to be kept in mind. Skin findings might include prolonged capillary refill time and tenting (Table 3).

Table 1. Commonly taught clinical symptoms/signs associated with dehydration [5],[7].

Symptom	Minimal Dehydration (<3% Loss of Body Weight)	Mild–Moderate Dehydration (3%–9% Loss of Body Weight)	Severe Dehydration (≥10% Loss of Body Weight)
<i>Mental status</i>	Normal	Normal, fatigued, restless or irritable	Apathetic, lethargic, unconscious
<i>Heart rate</i>	Normal	Normal–increased	Tachycardia (bradycardia possible if severe)
<i>Breathing</i>	Normal	Normal, fast	Deep
<i>Pulse quality</i>	Normal	Normal–decreased	Weak, thread, or difficult to palpate
<i>Systolic blood pressure</i>	Normal	Normal or low	Low
<i>Anterior fontanelle</i>	Normal	Sunken	Very sunken
<i>Mucous membranes</i>	Moist	Dry	Parched
<i>Eyes</i>	Normal	Slightly sunken	Deeply sunken
<i>Tears</i>	Present	Decreased	Absent
<i>Skin fold elasticity</i>	Pinch with instant recoil	Recoil in <2 s	Recoil in >2 s
<i>Capillary refill</i>	Normal	Prolonged	Prolonged, minimal
<i>Extremities</i>	Warm	Cool	Cold, mottled, cyanotic
<i>Urinary output</i>	Normal–decreased	Decreased	Minimal
<i>Estimated fluid deficit</i>	30–50 mL/kg	100 mL/kg	>100 mL/kg

Table 2. Succinct clinical signs indicating the degree of dehydration [8]

Degree	Percentage	Clinical Signs
<i>Mild/none</i>	<4	No clinical signs
<i>Moderate</i>	4–6	Some physical signs Individual signs mildly or moderately abnormal
<i>Severe</i>	>7	Multiple physical signs Individual signs markedly abnormal May develop hypotension or acidosis

Unfortunately, some of these commonly taught signs and symptoms are neither specifically sensitive nor specific. A 2004 JAMA methodical review of the literary works on the physical examination in dehydration discovered 3 clinical indicators clinically handy in discovering 5% or higher dehydration: long term capillary refill time, abnormal skin turgor, and an uncommon breathing pattern [7]. That organized review also located that cool extremities, weak pulse, and absence of rips were potentially, but less clearly, useful tests for detecting 5% or higher dehydration

[7]. Boosted heart rate, sunken fontanelle, and bad total look were discovered not scientifically valuable [7]. Three physical examination searchings for discovered medically beneficial in lowering the probability of 5% dehydration were absence of completely dry mucous membrane layers, typical general look, and absence of sunken eyes. The traditionally taught physical examination findings for dehydration ought to be inspected and recorded however their existence does not always indicate extreme dehydration and does not avert oral rehydration.

Table 3. Clinical examination methods for diagnosing dehydration [6], [7].

Finding	Method	Normal Value	Examination Pitfalls
<i>Skin turgor</i>	Pinch a small skin fold on lateral abdominal wall (at level of umbilicus)	Immediate	Excess subcutaneous fat or hypernatremia may falsely normalize turgor in dehydrated children; malnutrition and primary skin disorders may falsely prolong turgor
<i>Capillary refill</i>	Compress palmar surface of distal fingertip with child's arm at the level of the heart in a warm environment, gradually increase pressure and release immediately; estimate time to restoration of color	1.5–2 s	Ambient temperature, location, lighting, medications and autonomic dysfunction (primary: complex regional pain syndrome or secondary: cardiogenic shock) may impact results

- **TREATMENT**

Antiemetics

Oral rehydration is the mainstay of therapy for children with mild-to-moderate dehydration [9]. Nausea and vomiting are traumatic to children and parents, and accomplishing control of these signs and symptoms is beneficial to the success of oral rehydration therapy (ORT). To assist clinicians and researchers, a new aesthetic analog range was developed and verified to gauge nausea or vomiting in young children [10]. The use of antiemetics is ending up being so regular that its use in the prehospital setting is being taken on securely by paramedics [11]. In a single-center, double-blind randomized regulated test, the efficacy and safety and security of solitary intravenous dosage of metoclopramide vs. ondansetron were researched in dried youngsters that fell short ORT [12]. The primary result was cessation of vomiting after the research study medicine. Both medicines worked, without significant distinction in the immediate cessation of vomiting; 81 vs. 72% ($P = 0.14$). Although not powered to identify a significant distinction, notably, there were no negative events in either group. Appropriately, metoclopramide remains to be a low-cost, well-tolerated, and effective alternative for the treatment of nausea and vomiting in the pediatric population, whereas other inexpensive alternatives like dimenhydrinate have actually been proven inadequate [12].

Pediatric Emergency Medicine (PEM) doctors in the USA have shown that ondansetron is the antiemetic of option for extensive use [13]. The therapeutic dose series of ondansetron has been reviewed for gastroenteritis; low dosages of 0.13 mg/kg have equivalent efficacy to the higher dosages made use of in oncology patients [14]. Provided the total boost in ondansetron usage, Freedman et al. hypothesized that there would certainly be a corresponding reduction in intravenous fluid (IVF) usage, ED length of stay

(LOS), a hospital stays rates, and return ED browse through [15]. The percentage of youngsters provided ondansetron gradually was examined in a 5-year retrospective associate of children with gastroenteritis. A substantial rise in use was observed in the year 2006 - 2007, correlating with the intro of ondansetron oral dissolving tablets. Contrasting the medical care before and after this rise in ondansetron management, there was a significant decrease in using IVF ($P < 0.001$). The mean ED LOS, the variety of return visits, and the requirement for intravenous insertion on return visit were all minimized; however, there was no change in the requirement for hospitalization. This research demonstrates the performance of ondansetron in boosting the scientific results with dehydration because of gastroenteritis [15].

As antiemetics, especially ondansetron, have become routinely recommended, the possibility of covering up various other a lot more severe diseases is usually elevated as a problem. Previous examinations did not have enough example size to discover significant different medical diagnoses. In 2010, a retrospective cross-sectional research study reviewed the impact of ondansetron on healthcare facility admission throughout preliminary and return ED visits, as well as tracking the incidence of severe different diagnoses. Patients obtaining ondansetron were much less most likely to be admitted and had no rise in significant different medical diagnoses. However, those with recorded abdominal discomfort obtaining ondansetron were most likely to have an alternate diagnosis [16].

Intravenous Hydration

Intravenous rehydration is more taxing than ORT, which can add to ED crowding and reduction in ED flow. A pilot nonblinded research study revealed that ultrarapid intravenous hydration (50 ml/kg over 1 h) was well endured and as reliable as the very same

quantity over 3 h [17]. Freedman et al. performed a randomized blinded test of rapid vs. common intravenous hydration powered for the primary end result of accomplishing a Clinical Dehydration Scale (CDS) of at least 1 at 2 h of IVF [18]. Second outcomes consisted of extended treatment (i.e., admission at index visit or within 72 h) or a remain in the ED longer than 6 h from the beginning of treatment. The IVF procedure was a basic 20 ml/kg 0.9% regular saline bolus over 1 h vs. 60 ml/kg normal saline bolus over 1 h, both adhered to by 5% dextrose 0.9% regular saline (D5NS) maintenance for 1 h. There was no observable distinction in the rates of rehydration at 2 h in between the two groups (P 1/4 0.32). There was also no distinction in long term ED keeps (P 1/4 0.19), with a substantially greater variety of children in the rapid IVF group being admitted throughout their index visit [18] None of the result measures preferred the use of quick hydration, with a pattern toward worse end results in the quick hydration group. This research negates the first pilot information, suggesting that there is no assistance for the practice of high-volume rapid intravenous rehydration over standard 20 ml/kg regimens.

Youngsters with dehydration because of gastroenteritis often have a metabolic acidosis due partly to elevated serum ketone levels. In a prior retrospective testimonial, youngsters getting dextrose including IVFs had reduced rates of return visits calling for admission. The authors hypothesized that the clearance of ketones through the excitement of endogenous insulin production by dextrose may result in an extra rapid medical enhancement [19]. Levy et al. performed a randomized regulated test to figure out the efficiency and safety and security of carrying out dextrose-containing IVF throughout initial rapid rehydration [20]. The primary result step was the rate of a hospital stay, with second result being the modification in serum ketone degrees over treatment. Preliminary fluid boluses of either D5NS or basic typical saline were provided after standard measurements of bedside glucose and ketones. Glucose and ketones were after that determined hourly for 3 h. After the first bolus, IVF treatment went to the discernment of the treating doctor. Results revealed no statistical difference in the rates of hospital admission, with 35% of D5NS and 44% of regular saline groups being confessed, without variation by degree of acidosis. The reduction in the serum ketone level was considerably greater at 1 and 2 h in the D5NS group. Trends towards fewer unscheduled clinical visits (11% D5NS vs. 30% normal saline group) in addition to persistent or brand-new hypoglycemia in the regular saline team were noted. This pattern may reflect the earlier

clearance of ketoacidosis in the dextrose team adding to the resolution of signs and symptoms, enabling the maintenance of hydration orally at home. Although this study had an unfavorable result, there was still a 9% distinction in admission rates between the two teams that may have medical and cost-saving implications [20].

Although intravenous hydration is the pillar of therapy in extreme dehydration, Powell et al. tried to evaluate the efficacy of basic vs. rapid nasogastric tube rehydration [21]. No distinction in efficiency was noted between the rates of rehydration; however, the rapid hydration group had actually a decreased hospitalization rate but a higher return visit rate. The conclusions of this research study are restricted due to the incapability to hire the full sample size for adequate power [21]. Lastly, although intraosseous access has acquired broad approval in essential care circumstances, it has not been deployed for more regular hydration.

• DISEASE COMPLICATIONS

Hyponatremia/Hypernatremia

Many situations of hypovolemia brought on by acute gastroenteritis are isonatremic, but either hyponatremia or hypernatremia may take place. The serum sodium concentration is the very best estimate of water balance in connection with solute. A typical value suggests balance, but it does not disclose quantity condition. When the sodium is uncommon, there need to be caution in the management of liquids with attention provided to the rate of modification in sodium. Overly rapid improvement of hyponatremia or hypernatremia may result in osmotic demyelination syndrome, cerebral edema, or seizures. Hyponatremia in hypovolemic youngsters is generally caused by the intake of hypotonic solutions. A diminished capability to eliminate totally free water accompanies antidiuretic hormone (ADH) secretion. Hypovolemia activates ADH secretion, however other stimuli not uncommon in acute gastroenteritis, such as pain, nausea or vomiting, vomiting, stress and anxiety, and hypoglycemia, likewise cause ADH secretion and can intensify hyponatremia [22]. In hyponatremia due to hypovolemia and increased totally free water retention, isotonic saline both corrects the quantity exhaustion and elevates serum sodium. This takes place since isotonic saline has a higher concentration of sodium (154 mEq/L) and modification of hypovolemia reduces ADH secretion, which allows urinary system discharging of excess water. Hypokalemia needs to be dealt with if concomitant with hyponatremia because the enhancement of

potassium enhances the tonicity of the solution and raises the serum sodium extra quickly. IV potassium ought to be avoided in patients with decreased kidney function or oliguria. Symptomatic hyponatremia (modified mental standing or seizure) should be right away treated with a hypertonic saline bolus at 3 mL/kg to 5 mL/kg of 3% sodium chloride [23]. The sodium concentration of 3% hypertonic saline is 513 mEq/L. In an emergency, sodium bicarbonate from the crash cart can be used to raise plasma sodium levels if there is a postponement in acquiring hypertonic saline from the pharmacy. Sodium bicarbonate has a sodium degree of 595 mEq/L and a dosage of 1 mEq/kg to 2 mEq/kg is appropriate. Once acute main nerves signs have fixed, the remaining sodium adjustment should occur at a rate less than 8 mEq/L to 12 mEq/L in 24 hours. Modification should occur initially quickly because the pathophysiology of symptomatic hyponatremia involves worsening cerebral edema. The danger of morbidity from delayed therapy is more than the risk of problem from extremely rapid adjustment and osmotic demyelination. A typically cited goal is an adjustment of serum sodium at a rate of 2 mEq/L per hour with an objective of elevating serum sodium by 5 mEq/L in the very first a number of hrs [24]. The rate at which the sodium change originally occurred additionally correlates with risk of difficulties. If the water shortage created slowly (over days), issue rates from quick modification are greater. Hyponatremia should be remedied at a rate of less than or equal to 0.5 mEq/L per hour (10 - 12 mEq/L/d) to prevent neurologic sequelae [25].

CONCLUSION:

Dehydration related to gastroenteritis makes up a considerable worry of disease worldwide. Most of dehydration is amenable to ORT; only the treatment of severe dehydration needs IVF. Prior pilot data on the superiority of rapid IVF administration for rehydration has actually been refuted. Nevertheless, a new research suggests that initial rehydration with glucose including IVF trends toward lowering the return visits and decreasing the admission rates. More data on the efficiency and safety and security of antiemetics has actually been produced. Antiemetics have been proven well tolerated without masking severe alternate diagnoses, efficacious in improving the success of ORT, and affordable. These brand-new data supporters highly for the consolidation of antiemetics right into the scientific guidelines. Variability in guideline conformity has been shown, with doctors mentioning troubles in evaluating dehydration and scientific judgment as factors for variation. Despite this, compliance with guidelines enhances the quality (and price) of take care of

dehydration with gastroenteritis. Future work ought to concentrate on the fostering of proof based medical standards to boost the high quality of care supplied and decrease cost for this usual pediatric health problem.

REFERENCES:

1. World Bank. Levels and trends in child mortality: estimates developed by the UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation (IGME) - report 2015. Washington, D.C.: World Bank Group; 2015. Sep, p. 36. Report No.: 99335.
2. Donà D, Mozzo E, Scamarcia A, Picelli G, Villa M, Cantarutti L, et al. Community-acquired rotavirus gastroenteritis compared with adenovirus and norovirus gastroenteritis in Italian children: a pediatric study. *Int J Pediatr*. 2016;2016:5236243
3. Guarino A, Ashkenazi S, Gendrel D, Lo Vecchio A, Shamir R, Szajewska H, et al. European Society for Paediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition/ European Society for Paediatric Infectious Diseases evidence-based guidelines for the management of acute gastroenteritis in children in Europe: update 2014. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. 2014;59:132–152.
4. American Academy of Pediatrics, Provisional Committee on Quality Improvement, Subcommittee on Acute Gastroenteritis. Practice parameter: the management of acute gastroenteritis in young children. *Pediatrics*. 1996;97:424–435.
5. Colletti JE, Brown KM, Sharieff GQ, et al. The management of children with gastroenteritis and dehydration in the emergency department. *J Emerg Med* 2010;38(5):686–98.
6. King CK, Glass R, Bresee JS, et al, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Managing acute gastroenteritis among children: oral rehydration, maintenance, and nutritional therapy. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2003;52(RR-16):1–16.
7. Steiner MJ, DeWalt DA, Byerley JS. Is this child dehydrated? *JAMA* 2004;291(22): 2746–54.
8. Children's Health Queensland Hospital and Health Services. Intravenous fluid guidelines—paediatric and neonatal. Available at: c-foam.com/au/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/Paeds-fluidsguidelines.pdf. Accessed April 17, 2017.
9. Dennehy PH. Rotavirus vaccines and update. *Curr Opin Pediatr* 2005; 17:88–92.
10. Baxter AL, Watcha MF, Baxter WV, et al. Development and validation of a pictorial nausea rating scale for children. *Pediatrics* 2011;

- 127:E1542– E1549.
11. Salvucci AA, Squire B, Burdick M, et al. Ondansetron is safe and effective for prehospital treatment of nausea and vomiting by paramedics. *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2011; 15:34–38.
 12. Al-Ansari K, Alomary S, Abdulateef H. Metoclopramide versus ondansetron for the treatment of vomiting in children with acute gastroenteritis. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2011; 53:156–160.
 13. Freedman SB, Sivabalsundaram V, Bohn V, et al. The treatment of pediatric gastroenteritis: a comparative analysis of pediatric emergency physicians' practice patterns. *Acad Emerg Med* 2011; 18:38–45.
 14. Freedman SB, Powell EC, Nava-Ocampo AA, Finkelstein Y. Ondansetron dosing in pediatric gastroenteritis a prospective cohort, dose–response study. *Pediatr Drugs* 2010; 12:406–410.
 15. Freedman SB, Tung C, Cho D, et al. Time-series analysis of ondansetron use in pediatric gastroenteritis. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2012; 54:381–386.
 16. Strum JJ, Hirsh DA, Schweickert A, et al. Ondansetron use in the pediatric emergency department and effects on hospitalization and return rates: are we masking alternative diagnoses? *Ann Emerg Med* 2010; 55:415–422.
 17. Nager AL, Wan VJ. Comparison of ultrarapid and rapid intravenous hydration in pediatric patients with dehydration. *Am J Emerg Med* 2008; 28:123–129.
 18. Freedman SB, Parkin PC, Willan AR, Schuh S. Rapid versus standard intravenous rehydration in paediatric gastroenteritis: pragmatic blinded randomized clinical trial. *BMJ* 2011; 343:d6976.
 19. Levy JA, Bachur RG. Intravenous dextrose during outpatient rehydration in pediatric gastroenteritis. *Acad Emerg Med* 2007; 14:324–330.
 20. Levy JA, Bachur RG, Monuteaux MC, Waltzman MD. Intravenous dextrose for children with gastroenteritis and dehydration: a double-blind Randomized Controlled trial. *Ann Emerg Med* 2013; 61:281–288.
 21. Powell CVE, Priestly SJ, Young S, Heine RG. Randomized clinical trial of rapid versus 24-h rehydration for children with acute gastroenteritis. *Pediatrics* 2011; 128:e771–e778.
 22. Neville KA, Verge CF, O'Meara MW, et al. High antidiuretic hormone levels and hyponatremia in children with gastroenteritis. *Pediatrics* 2005;116(6):1401–7.
 23. Brenkert TE, Estrada CM, McMorrow SP, et al. Intravenous hypertonic saline use in the pediatric emergency department. *Pediatr Emerg Care* 2013;29(1):71–3.
 24. Verbalis JG, Goldsmith SR, Greenberg A, et al. Diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of hyponatremia: expert panel recommendations. *Am J Med* 2013;126(10 Suppl 1):S1–42.
 25. Bolat F, Oflaz MB, Guven AS, et al. What is the safe approach for neonatal hypernatremic dehydration? A retrospective study from a neonatal intensive care unit. *Pediatr Emerg Care* 2013;29(7):808–13.