CODEN [USA]: IAJPBB ISSN : 2349-7750

INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF
PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES
SJIF Impact Factor: 7.187
http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4362041

Avalable online at: http://www.iajps.com *Research Article*

COMBINATION WITH COLORECTAL POLYPS OF BLOOD PRESSURE STATUS AFTER EXCLUSION OF THE CONFOUNDING EFFECT OF ANTIHYPERTENSIVE AGENTS

[1]Dr Ali Hussain Bokhari, [2]Dr Muhammad Hashim, [3]Dr Asma Farooq

[1]Akhter Saeed Trust Hospital Lahore, [2]Akhter Saeed Trust Hospital Lahore, [3]Lahore General Hospital, Lahore.

Article Received: October 2020 **Accepted:** November 2020 **Published:** December 2020

Abstract:

Aim: *Colorectal dangerous development is the third most fundamental infection around the globe, particularly in the more made countries. Colorectal adenomas can progress to hurtful carcinoma on account of pathogenesis of adenoma-carcinoma gathering. This flow research was directed to investigate relationship with colorectal polyps with circulatory strain status in the wake of notwithstanding the bewildering effect of antihypertensive administrators.*

Methods: *Our momentum research was directed at Services Hospital, Lahore from October 2019 to September 2020, an amount of 8,800 qualified subjects developed ≥ 19 years were chosen. We confined the outcomes of colonoscopy into 4 subsections: sans polyp, non-neoplastic polyps, non-advanced adenomatous polyps, and advanced adenomatous polyps. The cases remained apportioned into normal circulatory strain, prehypertension and hypertension.*

Results: *The cases remained divided into sans polyp (n = 7,780), non-neoplastic (n = 811), non-advanced adenomatous polyps (n = 881) and advanced adenomatous polyps (n = 248). By changes for various variables, hypertension was unequivocally associated with non-advanced adenomatous polyps (OR: 1.40, 96% CI: 1.15-1.74) and advanced adenomatous polyps (OR: 2.94, 96% CI: 2.38-2.73). Prehypertension was connected with a higher peril of non-neoplastic polyps (OR: 2.21, 96% CI: 1.01-1.44) and non-advanced adenomatous polyps (OR: 1.43, 96% CI: 1.21-1.67), yet not by front line adenomatous polyps.*

Conclusion: *Hypertension remained vehemently associated with an extended risk for non-advanced and advanced adenomatous polyps, yet not for non-neoplastic polyps. Curiously, prehypertension was connected by the less impelled period of intestinal polyps, checking non-neoplastic polyps and non-advanced adenomatous polyps, yet not through front line adenomatous polyps.*

Key words: *Colorectal Polyps, Blood Pressure, Antihypertensive Agents.*

Corresponding author:

Dr. Ali Hussain Bokhari,

Akhter Saeed Trust Hospital Lahore.

QR code

Please cite this article in press Ali Hussain Bokhari et al, ***Combination With Colorectal Polyps Of Blood Pressure Status After Exclusion Of The Confounding Effect Of Antihypertensive Agents.,*** *Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2020; 07(12).*

INTRODUCTION:

Colorectal malignancy is the third most regular disease around the world, especially in progressively created nations, and is likewise the third driving reason for malignancy demise in Taiwan. Colorectal adenomas can get harmful because of pathogenesis of the adenoma-carcinoma grouping [1]. Some proof recommends that it might take a normal of around nine years for an adenomatous polyp to form into obtrusive malignancy [2]. Colonoscopy polypectomy is in this manner thought about supportive in decreasing the rate of colorectal malignancy. In light of histological arrangement, colorectal polyps may be partitioned into non-neoplasia and neoplasia. Non-neoplastic colorectal polyps, counting hyperplastic polyps, hamartomas, lymphatic totals or provocative polyps, don't can possibly get dangerous [3]. Then again, neoplastic polyps can get harmful through adenoma-carcinoma succession, and they remain additionally subdivided into non-progressed and progressed adenomatous polyps. Colorectal polyps have conceivable hazard aspects, for example, age, gender, family ancestry of colon disease, smoking status or stoutness. Individuals by metabolic disorder might be at expanded hazard for colorectal adenoma. Shockingly, raised BP, a part of the metabolic disorder, was seen not as related with the colorectal adenoma [4]. We found that the above investigations didn't prohibit subjects with antihypertensive and antidiabetic drugs. Accordingly, this examination was led to explore the relationship with colorectal polyps with circulatory strain status, including typical pulse, prehypertension and hypertension, subsequent to barring the confounding impact of antihypertensive operators and other potential components [5].

METHODOLOGY:

Our momentum research was directed at Services Hospital, Lahore from October 2019 to September 2020, an amount of 8,800 qualified subjects developed ≥ 19 years were chosen. Nitty gritty anecdotes about ailment, drug, smoking, liquor utilization and exercise. The emergency clinic's Ethics Committee endorsed this investigation and educated assent was denied in light of the fact that this undertaking depended on auxiliary information examination without individual distinguishing proof data (endorsement number: An ER-107-087). Respondents by the past filled with colorectal malignancy (n = 16), familial adenomatous polyposis (n = 2), Peutz-Jeghers disorder (n = 2), colectomy (not because of colorectal disease) (n = 8), and antihypertensive medications (n = 780) or missing information (n = 38) were prohibited from the investigation. At last, a sum of 8,750 subjects were remembered for the last examination. Current smoking was characterized as in any event one pack for every month for the greater part a year. Liquor utilization was characterized as in any event one mixed refreshment for each week for the greater part a year. Customary exercise was characterized as fiery exercise for in any event 25 minutes for each time unit, multiple times or more every week. The BMI was determined as the weight (kg) separated by the square of the stature in meters (kg/m2). Factual investigation was performed utilizing SPSS Version 23 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), with information introduced as mean ± standard deviations or numbers/rates. Subjects were partitioned into four gatherings, including without polyp, non-neoplastic polyps, non-progressed adenomatous polyps, and progressed adenomatous polyps. Pearson Chi quadratic tests were utilized for correlation of straight out factors, and autonomous examples of t-tests for nonstop factors between gatherings. The multinomial relapse was utilized to build up the autonomous relationship between colon polyps (counting sans polyp, non-neoplastic polyps, non-progressed adenomatous polyps and progressed adenomatous polyps) and pulse status (counting hypertension, prehypertension and ordinary circulatory strain) after acclimation to different factors, including age (< 45, 45 to 65 and ≥ 66 years), sex, weight list (< 25, 25-27.8 and, ≥ 29kg/m2), hypertriglyceridemia, TC/HDL-C > 6, diabetes, topical smoking, topical drinking, also normal practice. The chances proportions and 96% certainty interims of free factors were gotten from relapse model. Factual criticalness remained characterized as $p < 0.06$.

RESULTS:

The sum of 9,750 cases were remembered for examination and isolated into 4 gatherings, counting sans polyp (n = 8,780), non-neoplastic polyps (n = 810), non-progressed adenomatous polyps (n = 890) and progressed adenomatous polyps (n = 250). Table 1 displays statistic in addition medical limitations in those 4 gatherings. Huge contrasts in commonness of hypertension and prehypertension remained seen in gatherings. There were likewise noteworthy contrasts in age, sex, weight record, systolic pulse, diastolic circulatory strain, all out cholesterol, triglyceride, HDL-C, FPG, 2h-PG and predominance of DM and existing smoking. The chances proportions and 96% certainty interims of medical factors for danger of colonic polyps are appeared in Table 2. Through alterations for different factors, hypertension remained decidedly connected with non-progressed adenomatous polyps (OR: 1.42, 96% CI: 1.15-1.74) and progressed adenomatous polyps (OR: 2.94, 96% CI: 2.39-3.73). Prehypertension remained related

through the higher hazard for non-neoplastic polyps (OR: 1.21, 96% CI: 1.02-1.44) and non-progressed adenomatous polyps (OR: 1.44, 96% CI: 1.22-1.69), however not progressed adenomatous polyps. Furthermore, the age ≥ 66 years (OR: 10.88, 96% CI: 4.94-20.80), the age 41-65.7 years (OR: 4.42, 95% CI: 2.32-8.43) and the male sex (OR: 1.85, 96% CI: 1.37-2.52) remained freely related elements of colorectal polyps of numerous kinds. Diabetic stayed decidedly connected with non-progressed adenomatous polyps and progressed adenomatous polyps. Present smoking stayed fundamentally connected with non-neoplastic polyps, and ordinary practice remained conversely connected through non-neoplastic polyps and non-progressed adenomatous polyps.

Table 2: Adjusted odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) of clinical variables on the risk of non-neoplastic polyps, non-advanced and advanced adenomatous polyps based on multinomial logistic regression:

Variables	Non-neoplastic polyps *vs* Polyp-free OR (95% CI)	Non-advanced adenomatous polyps *vs* Polyp-free OR (95% CI)	Advanced adenomatous polyps *vs* Polyp-free OR (95% CI)
Age, years			
≥ 65 *vs* < 40	1.84 (1.35 – 2.50)***	4.70 (3.40 – 6.51)***	9.87 (4.93 – 19.79)***
40 – 64.9 *vs* < 40	1.65 (1.32 – 2.06)***	3.04 (2.31 – 4.00)***	4.42 (2.32 – 8.43)***
Male *vs* female	1.37 (1.15 – 1.64)***	1.57 (1.32 – 1.86)***	1.84 (1.34 – 2.51)***
Body mass index, kg/m^2			
≥ 27 *vs* < 24	1.17 (0.95 – 1.43)	1.16 (0.95 – 1.41)	1.10 (0.78 – 1.54)
24 – 26.9 *vs* < 24	1.11 (0.93 – 1.32)	1.09 (0.92 – 1.30)	0.82 (0.60 – 1.12)
Blood pressure status			
HTN *vs* normal BP	1.20 (0.96 – 1.50)	1.40 (1.14 – 1.73)**	1.93 (1.37 – 2.72)***
Pre-HTN *vs* normal BP	1.20 (1.01 – 1.43)*	1.42 (1.21 – 1.68)***	1.26 (0.92 – 1.74)
Diabetes, yes *vs* no	1.16 (0.95 – 1.41)	1.21 (1.00 – 1.45)*	1.56 (1.15 – 2.12)**
Hypertriglyceridemia, yes *vs* no	1.18 (0.98 – 1.41)	1.08 (0.91 – 1.29)	1.33 (0.98 – 1.81)
TC/HDL-C > 5, yes *vs* no	1.14 (0.95 – 1.36)	1.08 (0.91 – 1.29)	1.05 (0.77 – 1.42)
Smoking, yes *vs* no	1.44 (1.17 – 1.76)**	1.15 (0.93 – 1.41)	1.18 (0.82 – 1.70)
Alcohol drinking, yes *vs* no	0.99 (0.80 – 1.23)	0.96 (0.77 – 1.20)	0.93 (0.63 – 1.38)
Regular exercise, yes *vs* no	0.71 (0.53 – 0.95)*	0.69 (0.52 – 0.91)*	0.79 (0.48 – 1.30)

Table 1 Comparison of clinical parameters among subgroups with different status of colorectal polyps.

neoplastic polyps *vs* -free OR (95% CI)	Non-advanced adenomatous polyps Polyp-free OR (95% CI)
Age, years	
1.35 – 2.50)***	4.70 (3.40 – 6.51)***
1.32 – 2.06)***	3.04 (2.31 – 4.00)***
1.15 – 1.64)***	1.57 (1.32 – 1.86)***
Body mass index, kg/m^2	
0.95 – 1.43)	1.16 (0.95 – 1.41)
0.93 – 1.32)	1.09 (0.92 – 1.30)
Blood pressure status	
0.96 – 1.50)	1.40 (1.14 – 1.73)**
1.01 – 1.43)*	1.42 (1.21 – 1.68)***
0.95 – 1.41)	1.21 (1.00 – 1.45)*
0.98 – 1.41)	1.08 (0.91 – 1.29)
0.95 – 1.36)	1.08 (0.91 – 1.29)
1.17 – 1.76)**	1.15 (0.93 – 1.41)
0.80 – 1.23)	0.96 (0.77 – 1.20)
0.53 – 0.95)*	0.69 (0.52 – 0.91)*

DISCUSSION:

Many researches have demonstrated that individuals through metabolic disorder have an expanded danger of colorectal polyps, however hypertension, a part of metabolic disorder, through meaning of systolic and diastolic circulatory strain ≥ 132/87 mmHg, or existing antihypertensive medications, have not been found to have the positive association to colorectal polyps [6]. Also, all these past investigations included subjects who utilized an antihypertensive operator, and they didn't arrange intestinal polyps into without polyp, non-neoplastic polyps, non-progressed adenomatous polyps, and progressed adenomatous polyps. In the current research study, researchers avoided respondents by antihypertensive specialists and found that prehypertension stayed related with a higher hazard for non-neoplastic polyps and non-progressed adenomatous polyps, yet not for cutting edge adenomatous polyps [7]. Furthermore, hypertension stayed decidedly connected with an expanded hazard for non-progressed and progressed adenomatous polyps. This is principal concentrate to display that distinctive circulatory strain conditions, from ordinary pulse, prehypertension to hypertension, are parallel to the movement of colorectal polyps. Two investigations found the positive relationship among colorectal adenomatous polyps and liquor utilization, whereas two more found no relationship between them [8]. The present examination found no relationship between liquor utilization and colorectal adenomatous polyps. Two late examinations found that physical movement is adversely connected with the colorectal adenoma, however another recommended that physical action isn't related with colorectal polyps [9]. Our examination found that normal exercise has a negative relationship with non-neoplastic polyps and non-progressed adenomatous polyps, while it doesn't have any significant bearing to cutting edge adenomatous polyps. The conflicting outcomes for the relationship of way of life factors, for example, smoking, liquor utilization, also practice through colorectal polyps in current research might be identified with point choice, various groupings of adenomatous polyps, and way of life [10].

CONCLUSION:

In outline, this examination shows that hypertension was decidedly connected with an expanded hazard for non-progressed and progressed adenomatous polyps, however not for non-neoplastic polyps. Conversely, prehypertension stayed related through the less propelled phase of intestinal polyps, counting non-neoplastic polyps and non-progressed adenomatous polyps, however not with cutting edge adenomatous polyps. Those outcomes propose that colorectal polyps can advance from non-neoplastic polyps that move during improvement from ordinary circulatory strain to non-progressed and progressed adenomatous polyps, at that point to prehypertension lastly to hypertension, yet further examinations are expected to demonstrate this induction.

REFERENCES:

1. Colbert LH, Lanza E, Ballard-Barbash R, Slattery ML, Tangrea JA, Caan B, Paskett ED, Iber F, Kikendall W, Lance P, Shike M, Schoen RE, Daston C, Schatzkin A, Polyp Prevention Trial Study G. Adenomatous polyp recurrence and physical activity in the Polyp Prevention Trial (United States). Cancer causes & control : CCC. 2002; **13**: 445-53. [PMID: 12146849]
2. Chiu HM, Lin JT, Chen TH, Lee YC, Chiu YH, Liang JT, Shun CT, Wu MS. Elevation of C-reacti protein level is associated with synchronous and advanced colorectal neoplasm in men. The American journal of gastroenterology. 2008; **103**: 2317-25. [PMID: 18844617]; [DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2008.01952.x]
3. Chlebowski RT, Wactawski-Wende J, Ritenbaugh C, Hubbell FA, Ascensao J, Rodabough RJ, Rosenberg CA, Taylor VM, Harris R, Chen C, Adams-Campbell LL, White E, Women's Health Initiative I. Estrogen plus progestin and colorectal cancer in postmenopausal women. The New England journal of medicine. 2004; **350**: 991-1004. [PMID: 15179699]
4. Eddi R, Karki A, Shah A, DeBari VA, DePasquale JR. Association of type 2 diabetes and colon adenomas. Journal of gastrointestinal cancer. 2012; **43**: 87-92. [PMID: 21894459]; [DOI: 10.1007/s12029-011-9316-7]
5. Salonen JT, Lakka TA, Lakka HM, Valkonen VP, Everson SA, Kaplan GA. Hyperinsulinemia is associated with the incidence of hypertension and dyslipidemia in middle-aged men. Diabetes. 1998; **47**: 270-5. [PMID: 9519724]
6. Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, Ferlay J, Lortet-Tieulent J, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics, 2012. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians. 2015; **65**: 87-108. [PMID: 25651787]; [DOI: 10.3322/caac.21262]
7. Chen TA, Horng JT, Lin WC. Metachronous colorectal cancer in Taiwan: analyzing 20 years of data from Taiwan Cancer Registry. International journal of clinical oncology. 2013; **18**: 267-72. [PMID: 22310896]; [DOI: 10.1007/s10147-011-0373-5]
8. Martinez ME, McPherson RS, Annegers JF, Levin B. Cigarette smoking and alcohol

consumption as risk factors for colorectal adenomatous polyps. Journal of the National Cancer Institute. 1995; **87**: 274-9. [PMID: 7707418]

9. Wolin KY, Yan Y, Colditz GA. Physical activity and risk of colon adenoma: a meta-analysis. British journal of cancer. 2011; **104**: 882-5. [PMID: 21304525]; [PMCID: PMC3048199]; [DOI: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6606045]
10. McMichael AJ. Food, nutrition, physical activity and cancer prevention. Authoritative report from World Cancer Research Fund provides global update. Public health nutrition. 2008; **11**: 762-3. [PMID: 18462560]; [DOI: 10.1017/S1368980008002358]