ISSN: 2349-7750



http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4475078

Avalable online at: http://www.iajps.com

Research Article

PAIN DURING NEONATAL CIRCUMCISION: COMPARISON IN DORSAL PENILE VERSUS COMBINED DORSAL AND **VENTRAL PENILE BLOCK IN A RANDOMIZED CONTROL** TRIAL

¹Kashifuddin Qayoom Soomro, ²Mumtaz Ahmed Qureshi, ³Syed Azhar Hussain Shah, ⁴Shoukat Ali Mughal, ⁵Naveed Soomro, ⁶Javed Altaf Jat.

Article Received: November 2020	Accepted: December 2020	Published: December 2020
Abstract:		
Objective: To assess the pain during neonatal cipenile block	rcumcision with dorsal penile versus con	mbined dorsal penile nerve and ventral
Material and Method:		
Total of 200 full term neonates electively present were counseled for mode of analgesia and rando penile nerves were anesthetized and in Group B at aspect of penile shaft. Pain was assessed on mo monitored with trans-cutaneous pulseoximeter. I statistically significant. Results:	omization. Written consent was taken fro long with dorsal penile nerves, additional odified - Neonatal Infant Pain Scale (NI Data was analyzed using SPSS version	om all parents. In Group A only dorsal l anesthesia was also injected on ventral PS). Pulse and Oxygen saturation was 23. p value of <0.05 is considered as
In Group A, mean age of neonates was 4.4 ± 1.6 m was statistically not significant (p=0.09). The m compared p=0.08). The mean Neonatal Infant part <0.001. Pulse and oxygen saturation in group A w and saturation of 98%. When compared both group was no statistical deference in oxygen saturation	tean weight in Group A was 5.2 ± 0.4 Kg uin score in Group A was 4.0 ± 0.3 and in was 149 ± 70 beats/minutes, 98%. And in C ups there was statistically significant defe	and in Group B was 4.9 ± 0.6 kg, when Group B 2.9 ± 0.4 , when compared $p =$ Group B it was 131.2 ± 29.8 beats/minute
Conclusion:	novila black significantly nodecoss the noi	in in elective planti hell sincurreision
Combined Dorsal penile nerve block and ventral Key words: Plasti-bell circumcision, dorsal per		n in elective plasti-bell circumcision.
Corresponding author:		
Kashifuddin Qayoom Soomro,		QR code
Assistant Professor Urology – LUMH	IS, kashifuddins@gmail.com.	

Please cite this article in press Kashifuddin Qayoom Soomro et al, Pain During Neonatal Circumcision: Comparison In Dorsal Penile Versus Combined Dorsal And Ventral Penile Block In A Randomized Control Trial., Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2020; 07(12).

INTRODUCTION:

Historically, circumcision is proposed to be one of the oldest surgical procedure performed till today [1]. Mostly It is performed due to religious and social reasons [2], only few medical conditions necessitate Circumcision [3]. In our part of the world it is commonly performed in initial months of life. As in adult, it is painful procedure for neonates also [4]. There are many known methods to anesthetize the penile shaft in neonates. Dorsal penile nerve block is the common method used since many decades [5], other methods includes EMLA cream, subcutaneous penile ring block, oral acetaminophen [6,7]. Recent studies also demonstrate use of combined EMLA + Dorsal Penile Nerve blocks +sucrose as effective pain management in neonatal circumcision [8]. To our knowledge ventral penile block in combination to Dorsal Penile Nerve block is never been reported. Our aim of study is to further decrease the painful sensation in neonatal age and objectively assess on modified -Neonatal Infant Pain Score (NIPS) [9] Figure # 1.

METHODOLOGY:

Setting: Jeejal Mau Hospital Hyderabad Study duration: July 2019 to Feb 2020.

All neonates electively presented for the plastibell circumcision were assessed and parents were counseled and consented for circumcision. Parents were explained about the local anesthesia and plastibell circumcision technique. Neonates were randomized on the day of procedure on balloting. All neonate's legs were modestly held by assisting nurse in straight position. In Group A, dorsal penile nerve block was given with diluted lidocaine 2% (4mg/kg) using 27 gauze needle. Local anesthesia was infiltrated at 10 and 2 o'clock position at base of the penis on dorsal aspect. In Group B along with the dorsal penile nerve block, additional anesthesia was infiltrated at ventral aspect of penile shaft just at the level of penoscotal junction. After 5 minutes of local anesthesia,

penile shaft was cleaned with povidone solution. The main steps in plastibell circumcision are; 1adhesolysis of preputal skin from glans, 2- small Dorsal slit, 3- Ring placement and knot tying, 4-Removal of excessive skin. During procedure, transcutaneous pulse oximeter was attached to earlobe/ thumb of neonate for monitoring of pulse and oxygen saturation. Pain was assessed using modified -Neonatal Infant Pain Score [9]. NIPS is composed of 6 components with total of 7 score, which includes facial expression (0-1), cry (0-2), breathing patterns (0-1), arms movement (0-1), legs movements (0-1) and state of arousal (0-1). In our study legs were held in straight position by assisting nurse, so leg movement component was omitted. All neonates were prescribed oral paracetamol drops and local polyfex gel application for 5 days. Data was documented on computerized sheet and analyzed with SPSS version 23. Mean was calculated for basic parameters and chi squire test was applied. For comparison of NIPS and vitals t-test was applied and p value of <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS:

Hundred neonates were enrolled in each group. In Group A, mean age of neonates was 4.4+1.6 months and in Group B mean age was 4.1+1.2 months. When compared p value was statistically not significant (p=0.09). The mean weight in Group A was 5.2+0.4Kg and in Group B was 4.9+0.6kg, when compared p=0.08 (Table # 1). The mean Neonatal Infant pain score in Group A was 4.0+0.3 and in Group B 2.9+0.4, when compared p = <0.001. The detailed NIPS scores are tabulated as Table # 2. Pulse and oxygen saturation in group A was 149+70 beats/minute, 98%. And in Group B it was 131.2+29.8 beats/minute and saturation of 98%. When compared both groups there was statistically significant deference in pulse rate p=<0.001 but there was no statistical deference in oxygen saturation levels (Table # 3).

Figure #1

Neonatal Infant Pain Scale (NIPS)

<u>Pain Assessment Tools</u> <u>Neonatal/Infant Pain Scale (NIPS)</u>

(Recommended for children less than 1 year old) - A score greater than 3 indicates pain

	Pain Assessment	Score
Facial Expression		
0 - Relaxed muscles	Restful face, neutral expression	
1 - Grimace	Tight facial muscles; furrowed brow, chin, jaw, (negative facial expression - nose, mouth and brow)	
Cry		
0 – No Cry	Quiet, not crying	
1 - Whimper	Mild moaning, intermittent	
2 - Vigorous Cry	Loud scream; rising, shrill, continuous (Note: Silent cry may be scored if baby is intubated as evidenced by obvious mouth and facial movement.	
Breathing Patterns		
0 - Relaxed	Usual pattern for this infant	
1 - Change in Breathing	Indrawing, irregular, faster than usual; gagging; breath holding	
Arms		
0 - Relaxed/Restrained	No muscular rigidity; occasional random movements of arms	
1 - Flexed/Extended	Tense, straight legs; rigid and/or rapid extension, flexion	
Legs		
0 - Relaxed/Restrained	No muscular rigidity; occasional random leg movement	
1 - Flexed/Extended	Tense, straight legs; rigid and/or rapid extension, flexion	
State of Arousal		
0 - Sleeping/Awake	Quiet, peaceful sleeping or alert random leg movement	
1 - Fussy	Alert, restless, and thrashing	

Table # 1. Mean Age and weight of neonates					
	Group A (n=100)	Group B (n=100)	p value		
Age (Months)	4.4 <u>+</u> 1.6	4.1 <u>+</u> 1.2	p=0.09		
Weight (Kg)	5.2 <u>+</u> 0.4	4.9 <u>+</u> 0.6	p=0.08		

Table # 2. Modified - Neonatal Infant Pain Score (NIPS)								
	Adhe	solysis	Dors	al Slit		cement & tying		oval of ve skin
Mean Scores	GroupA	GroupB	GroupA	GroupB	GroupA	GroupB	GroupA	GroupB
Fascial expression	0.6 <u>+</u> 0.3	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.2	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.3	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.3	0.7 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.4	0.6 <u>+</u> 0.3	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.2
Cry	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.4	0.3 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.7 <u>+</u> 0.4	0.6 <u>+</u> 0.3	1.0 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.6 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.8 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.6 <u>+</u> 0.3
Breathing pattern	0.6 <u>+</u> 0.2	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.3	0.6 <u>+</u> 0.3	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.8 <u>+</u> 0.3	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.1	0.6 <u>+</u> 0.6	0.6 <u>+</u> 0.3
Arm movements	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.3	0.5+0.4	0.4 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.1	0.6 <u>+</u> 0.4	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.3	0.4 <u>+</u> 0.3	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.6
Leg movements	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##
State of arousal	0.6 <u>+</u> 0.4	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.4	0.4 <u>+</u> 0.3	0.4 <u>+</u> 0.2	0.8 <u>+</u> 0.6	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.4	0.4 <u>+</u> 0.6	0.4 <u>+</u> 0.5
Total Score (m)	3.7 <u>+</u> 0.1	2.9 <u>+0</u> .3	<u>3.8+</u> 0.3	3.0 <u>+</u> 0.7	4.9 <u>+</u> 0.3	2.8 <u>+</u> 0.5	3.7 <u>+</u> 0.4	2.9 <u>+</u> 0.3
p values	p=0).05	p=	0.06	p=0.	.0001	p=().08

Table # 3. Mean Pulse and Oxygen Saturation					
Group A (n=100) Group B (n=100) p value					
Mean Pulse	149 <u>+</u> 70 beats/min	131.2+29.8 beats/min	p=<0.001		
Mean Oxygen Sats:	98%	98%	###		

Circumcision is the commonest procedure performed in neonates [10]. Even in 2020, neonates are circumcised without affective pain control [11]. It is well hypothesized and published in literature that painful stimulation can lead to long term psychological, behavioral and physiological complications [12]. In majority of countries neonatal circumcision is performed in initial few months of life [13], which is consistent with our study's mean age of approximately 4.5months. in our part of world, plastibell circumcision is common method of circumcision in neonatal period [14,15]. Majority of patients are concerned about pain and postcircumcision discomfort [15]. There are many reported methods of anesthetization of penile skin for circumcision, dorsal penile nerve block is the commonest mode around the globe [16,7,17]. Many local anesthetics are compared in control trials and results are still debatable [18,19]. To our knowledge "ventral penile skin block" is never been reported in terms of neonatal circumcision. We infiltrated the ventral penile skin at the peno-scrotal junction. Care must be taken to avoid the needle penetration in corpus spongeosum and urethra. Another key point in lidocaine infiltration was dose distribution, out of total diluted injection volume 40% of volume was injected at 2 O'clock, 40% on 10 O'clock position and 20% volume on ventral aspect in midline at peno-scrotal junction. Our study demonstrated statistically significant pain control in group B as compare to group A having DPNB alone. In Group A we observed statistically significant higher scores of NIPS during mobilization of adhesions of preputal skin from glans and knot tying, which gives indirect evidence that the ventral skin is not affectively anesthetized with DPNB alone. Simultaneously pulse rate was also recorded statistically higher in group A neonates. All neonates were given clinic's contact numbers and asked for reporting any even explained during pre-procedure counselling. Yet till now no anesthesia related or plastibell circumcision related adverse event is reported at our clinic, but multi-centric trials can contribute much stronger evidence and settle the debate of uncertainty since decades. To over experience, combined infiltration is the way forward for pain free neonatal circumcision.

CONCLUSION:

In comparison to Dorsal Penile Nerve Block alone, combined dorsal penile nerve block and ventral penile block significantly reduces the pain in elective plastibell neonatal circumcision. Multi-centric trials are needed to recognize combined DPNB and Ventral Penile block as standard for neonatal circumcision.

REFERENCES:

- Circumcision: one of the oldest known surgical procedures. Wilkinson GB Urol Nurs. 1997 Sep; 17(3):125-6.
- Why circumcision is a biomedical imperative for the 21(st) century. Morris BJ. Bioessays. 2007 Nov; 29(11):1147-58
- 3. Proceedings of the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) and World Health Organization (WHO) Manual for male circumcision under local anaesthesia. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Press; 2007. (Version 2.5B (WHO, UNAIDS, JHPIEGO)).
- Examining Penile Sensitivity in Neonatally Circumcised and Intact Men Using Quantitative Sensory Testing. Bossio JA, Pukall CF, Steele SS. J Urol. 2016 Jun; 195(6):1848-53
- Circumcision policy statement. American Academy of Pediatrics. Task Force on Circumcision.Pediatrics. 1999 Mar; 103(3):686-93.
- Topical anesthesia during circumcision in newborn infants. Benini F, Johnston CC, Faucher D, Aranda JV. JAMA. 1993 Aug 18; 270(7):850-3.
- Beyond dorsal penile nerve block: a more humane circumcision. Stang HJ, Snellman LW, Condon LM, Conroy MM, Liebo R, Brodersen L, Gunnar MR. Pediatrics. 1997 Aug; 100(2):E3.
- Sharara-Chami R, Lakissian Z, Charafeddine L, Milad N, El-Hout Y (2017) Combination analgesia for neonatal circumcision: a randomized controlled trial. Pediatrics 140(6):e20171935. https://doi. org/10.1542/peds.2017-1935
- The development of a tool to assess neonatal pain. J Lawrence, D Alcock, P McGrath, J Kay, S B MacMurray, C Dulberg. Neonatal Netw. 1993 Sep;12(6):59-66.
- Circumcision of male infants and children as a public health measure in developed countries: A critical assessment of recent evidence. Frisch M, Earp BD. Glob Public Health. 2018 May; 13(5):626-641.
- A Comparison of Pain Scores in Neonatal Circumcision with or without Local Anesthesia in Jos, Nigeria. <u>Aminu Gango Fikin</u>1 and <u>Stephen</u> <u>Yohanna</u>2. <u>Niger Med J</u>. 2020 Jan-Feb; 61(1): 11–15.
- 12. <u>Critical evaluation of arguments opposing male</u> <u>circumcision: A systematic review</u>. Brian J

Morris, Stephen Moreton, John N Krieger. J Evid Based Med. 2019 Nov; 12(4): 263– 290. Published online 2019 Sep 8. doi: 10.1111/jebm.12361

- Early infant male circumcision: Systematic review, risk-benefit analysis, and progress in policy. Brian J Morris, Sean E Kennedy, Alex D Wodak, Adrian Mindel, David Golovsky, Leslie Schrieber, Eugenie R Lumbers, David J Handelsman, John B Ziegler. World J Clin Pediatr. 2017 Feb 8; 6(1): 89–102. Published online 2017 Feb 8. doi: 10.5409/wjcp.v6.i1.89
- 14. <u>Safety of the plastibell circumcision in neonates</u>, <u>infants, and older children</u>. Razzaq S, Mehmood MS, Tahir TH, Masood T, Ghaffar S.Int J Health Sci (Qassim). 2018 Sep-Oct;12(5):10-13.
- 15. <u>Plastibell</u> Device Circumcision versus Bone <u>Cutter Technique in terms of Operative Outcomes</u> <u>and Parent's Satisfaction.</u> Mehmood T, Azam H, Tariq M, Iqbal Z, Mehmood H, Shah SA.Pak J

Med Sci. 2016 Mar-Apr;32(2):347-50. doi: 10.12669/pjms.322.9510.

- 16. O'Sullivan MJ, Mislovic B, Alexander E. Dorsal penile nerve bock for male pediatric circumcision: a randomized comparison of ultrasound-guided vs anatomical landmark technique. Paediatr Anaesth. 2011;21(12):1214–1218.
- 17. Comparison of eutectic mixture of local anesthetics cream with dorsal penile nerve block using lignocaine for circumcision in infants. Mujeeb S, Akhtar J, Ahmed S.Pak J Med Sci. 2013 Jan;29(1):27-30.
- Analgesia for infants' circumcision. <u>Carlo V</u> <u>Bellieni,⊠1 Maria G Alagna, Giuseppe</u> <u>Buonocore</u>.. <u>Ital J Pediatr</u>. 2013; 39: 38.
- 19. <u>Management of pain in newborn circumcision:</u> <u>a systematic review</u>. Serena Rossi, Giuseppe Buonocore, Carlo Valerio Bellieni. Eur J Pediatr. 2021; 180(1): 13–20.