

CODEN [USA]: IAJPBB

ISSN: 2349-7750

INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES

http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2560991

Available online at: <u>http://www.iajps.com</u>

Research Article

THE PUBLIC'S MISCONCEPTIONS ABOUT TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY, SAUDI ARABIA,2018

¹wala mehmud Patwa, ²Nada Daud Bin Daud, ³Mashael sulaiman Albahli, ⁴Khalid Saleem AlHejaili, ⁵Ihdaa Jamal Abdulwhab, ⁶Ali Saeed Al_Shaleel, ⁷Hajar Zayed AlOtaibi, ⁸khalid Hassan Asiri, ⁹Asma Saeed Alshahrani, ¹⁰Ali Abduljalil AlOmran.

¹walaa_patwa@hotmail.com, ²Nadabibdawood@gmail.com, ³dr.m.s.albahli@gmail.com, ⁴Hejaili.khalid@gmail.com, ⁵greenapple4422@outlook.com, ⁶Ferare_2014@hotmail.com, ⁷Hajar.zayed@gmail.com, ⁸Khalidh5051@gmail.com, ⁹sasmaa981@gmail.com, ¹⁰A.13000@hotmail.com

Abstract:

Background: Trauma is a leading cause of mortality and morbidity globally. In the developing countries, injuries are estimated to cause more than five million deaths per year. Traumatic brain injury TBI in particular is a major health and socioeconomic problem worldwide. It is account for one quarter to one-third of all accidental deaths, and for two thirds of traumatic deaths in hospitals.

AIM: This study was conducted to assess public awareness about traumatic brain injury in Saudi Arabia.

Methodology: A cross-sectional survey conducted during a period from august to October 2018. A total sample of 622 participants were included in the survey during the study period. Data were collected through electronic master sheet which was constructed by the researchers after intensive literature review and experts consolation.

Results: The average of participants' responses towards awareness regarding Traumatic Brain Injury was 2.87 out of 4 cross ponding to degree Maybe correct; with small value of St. D 0.424 which include that there is homogeneity of responses and non-dispersion from the mean. This result indicates a moderate level of awareness about Traumatic Brain Injury among sample study.

Conclusion: General population had moderate level of awareness regarding traumatic brain injury specially its direct effect on brain tissue and its physical consequences but awareness regarding psychological consequences is till defective.

Key words: Brain injury, Traumatic brain injury, Brain trauma, Population awareness, Knowledge.

Corresponding author: Wala Mehmud patwa, walaa_patwa@hotmail.com

QR code

Please cite this article in press Wala mehmud patwa et al., **The Public's Misconceptions About Traumatic Brain** Injury, Saudi Arabia,2018., Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2019; 06(02).

BACKGROUND:

Trauma is a leading cause of mortality and morbidity globally. In the developing countries, injuries are estimated to cause more than five million deaths per year. [1] Traumatic brain injury TBI in particular is a major health and socioeconomic problem worldwide. [2] It is account for one quarter to one-third of all accidental deaths, and for two thirds of traumatic deaths in hospitals.[3] In a large-scale study reviewing adult traumatic brain injuries conducted at a major trauma center in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, RTA related injuries accounted for more than 85 % of adult brain injuries.[4] Another study at the same institute had indicated that falls were the most common causative agent in children under six years 45.6%, and MVC was the leading cause in high school students 74.4% [5] These findings are alarming and mandate more efforts towards public awareness concerning the TBI to ensure it is properly identified and managed. Although TBI may cause a host of observable deficits with motor, sensory, and speech functioning, the most debilitating impairments often result from the less conspicuous psychosocial, behavioral, and cognitive sequelae of the injury.[6] the mayo classification system has three main classifications including definite moderate-severe TBI, probable MTBI, and possible TBI.[7] Symptoms of TBI ranging according to the severity from mild headache to seizures and coma.

Misconceptions about traumatic brain injuries TBIs are rampant. Several researchers like have confirmed that misperceptions about TBI persist and are widely endorsed across Britain, Australia, the United States and Canada. [8, 9] So far.

In Saudi Arabia up to researchers' knowledge, no studies were conducted to assess general population's awareness and misconception regarding TBI which was the main motivation behind conducting this research paper. The main aim of this study was to enhance public awareness about traumatic brain injury in Saudi Arabia.

A cross-sectional survey conducted during a period from august to October 2018. A total sample of 622 participants were included in the survey during the study period. Data were collected through electronic master sheet which was constructed by the researchers after intensive literature review and experts consolation. The master sheet was designed by using Google form in Arabic language national language of KSA It was available among Saudi population through social media as Face book, Twitter and WhatsApp. The data collected were participants' demographic characteristics, Awareness regarding TBI using 4 point like scale ranging from 1 for wrong statement to 4 for correct statement. Also the tool covered source of information regarding TBI with family history of having similar cases. Awareness tool was validated for clarity and applicability by jure review and any modifications were considered.

DATA ANALYSIS:

After data were collected it was revised, coded and fed to statistical software IBM SPSS version 20. All statistical analysis was done using two tailed tests and alpha error of 0.05. P value less than or equal to 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Descriptive statistics was used as mean with standard deviation for scale variables and frequency with percentage for categorical variables. T-Test for independent samples which applied to test whether binary groups have different average values in Awareness of Traumatic Brain Injury. ANOVA test, which applied to test whether groups with more than two categories have different average values in in Awareness of Traumatic Brain Injury.

RESULTS:

The sample size that responded to the survey Awareness of Traumatic Brain Injury in Saudi Arabia 2018, were 622 respondents. From table (1).

METHODOLOGY:

Tuste (1): Sumple Buengi bund Inter mutter							
	Frequency	Percent					
Gender							
Male	125	20.1%					
Female	497	79.9%					
Nationality							
Saudi	608	97.7%					
Non-Saudi	14	2.3%					
	-						

Table (1): Sample Background Information

Age		
18-28	442	71.1%
29-39	107	17.1%
40-49	62	10%
50+	11	1.8%
501	11	1.070
Social status		
Single	300	64.1%
Married	216	34 7%
Divorced	210	1 204
Divolceu	7	1.270
Education level		
Primary	5	0.8%
Intermediate	18	2.9%
Secondary	106	17%
University	470	75.6%
Postgraduate	70	3.7%
1 Osigiaduale	23	5.770
Career status		
Student	351	56.4%
Unemployed	149	24%
Employed	111	17.8%
Retired	11	1.8%
Retifed	11	1.070
Work or study in		
health field		
Yes	173	27.8%
No	449	72.2%
110	,	
Region		
Central region	119	19.2%
Eastern region	39	6.3%
Western region	111	17.8%
North region	17	2.7%
South region	336	54%
2 Suth Togion	230	5170
Have you ever had a		
traumatic brain		
injury?		
Yes	62	10%
No	560	90%
Do you have a		
background about		
brain injury?		
Yes	105	16.9%
No	517	83.1%
Total	622	100.0%

It's clear that the highest percentage of the total sample were female with 79.9% of the total sample, while 20.1% were male.

The highest percentage of the total sample 97.7% were Saudi, while 2.3% Non-Saudi. About age, the almost percentage of the total sample had 18 to 28 years old with 71.1% of the total sample. About

Social status, the almost percentage were single with 64.1% of the total sample.

As for Education level, the almost percentage had University degree with percentage 75.6% of the total sample. About Career status, the almost percentage were Student with percentage 56.4%, and only 27.8% of the total sample work or study in health field. The almost percentage 54% live in Southern region.

While 46% of the total sample live in the other region. The almost percentage of the total sample 90% hadn't a traumatic brain injury, while 10% had, and 16.9% of the total sample had a background about brain injury, while 83.1% hadn't.

Table (2) show that there is no question had mean score of Wong or Maybe Wong. Answers of participants towards the question: Head injury can damage the brain even if the person has not lost consciousness had the highest Mean score value 3.27 out of 4 which cross ponding to Correct according to table (2).

Also, the other answers of 16 questions had Mean score with degree maybe correct; since the mean value lie on interval 2.51 - 3.25. Overall, the average of participants' responses towards awareness regarding Traumatic Brain Injury was 2.87 out of 4 cross ponding to degree Maybe correct; with small value of St. Deviation 0.424 which include that there is homogeneity of responses and non-dispersion from the mean. This result indicates a moderate level of awareness about Traumatic Brain Injury among sample study.

Variables	Wrong (1)	Maybe wrong (2)	Maybe correct (3)	Correct (4)	Mean	St.D	Degree	Rank
1. Head injury can damage the brain even if the person has not lost consciousness.	7.6	5.8	38.9	47.7	3.27	.876	Correct	1
2 - Excessive neck flexion or flexion can cause damage to the brain even if there is no direct blow to the head.	14.0	15.1	25.4	45.5	3.02	1.080	Maybe correct	5
3. Emotional problems after head injury are usually not related to brain damage.	25.9	21.1	22.3	30.7	2.58	1.174	Maybe correct	15
4 - Most people with brain damage appear and act as disabled.	19.3	11.6	28.6	40.5	2.90	1.134	Maybe correct	8
5- When a person is unconscious, most wake up soon after without permanent effects.	22.5	8.5	32.0	37.0	2.83	1.153	Maybe correct	10
6- Even after several weeks of coma, when people wake up, most of them recognize and talk to others immediately.	26.5	13.3	21.5	38.6	2.72	1.227	Maybe correct	12
7 - When people are in a coma usually do not realize what is happening around them.	17.8	12.1	46.8	23.3	2.76	1.005	Maybe correct	11
8 - After the head injury, people can forget who they are, and do not recognize the other, but otherwise seem normal.	8.2	6.3	51.4	34.1	3.11	.848	Maybe correct	2
9- Sometimes the injured person can help the second head strike remember the things he forgot after the first blow.	18.2	9.6	32.6	39.5	2.94	1.103	Maybe correct	7
10- People with memory loss for pre-injury events usually have difficulty learning new things:	17.4	12.9	28.6	41.2	2.94	1.110	Maybe correct	7
11- After a head injury, learning new things is often more difficult than remembering events prior to injury.	23.8	17.0	23.5	35.7	2.71	1.182	Maybe correct	13
12- How quickly a person's recovery depends	11.9	7.7	44.4	36.0	3.05	.955	Maybe	3

Table (2): Descriptive of awareness items among the sampled population

mainly on how much he or she is doing his best to recover from injury.							correct	
13- People with head injuries are more likely to have another injury.	19.5	14.5	28.1	37.9	2.85	1.132	Maybe correct	9
14- A person who has recovered from a head injury is less capable of carrying a second blow to the head.	11.3	9.0	44.5	35.2	3.04	.945	Maybe correct	4
15- The healing of the injured person is completed when he / she feels "to return to normal".	13.5	8.7	42.6	35.2	3.00	.990	Maybe correct	6
16 - Commitment to rest and stay inactive, good advice while healing the injured.	26.2	15.6	26.0	32.2	2.64	1.183	Maybe correct	14
17- Full recovery from severe head injury is not possible, regardless of the person's desire to recover.	31.7	17.0	16.9	34.4	2.54	1.254	Maybe correct	16
Mean & St. D 2.87 0.424 M								correct

When participants asked about the information sources about brain injury Table (3), more than half of the sample 57.2% had no information sources about it, while 15.8% of the total sample take their information from Social Media WhatsApp-Facebook-Twitter, followed by Educational institution school university With 8.85, followed by Hospital medical staff with 5.15.

among the sampled population								
What are your information sources about brain injury?	N	%						
Social Media (WhatsApp/ Facebook/	0.0	15.0						
Twitter/)	98	15.8						
Educational institution (school -	= =	0.0						
university.)	22	8.8						
Hospital (medical staff)	32	5.1						
Hospital (medical staff), Social Media	23	37						
(WhatsApp -Facebook-Twitter)	23	5.7						
Television	22	3.5						
Television, Social Media (WhatsApp	8	13						
-Facebook-Twitter)	0	1.5						
Social Media (WhatsApp -Facebook-								
Twitter), Educational institution	7	1.1						
(school - university)								
Television, Social Media (WhatsApp								
-Facebook-Twitter), Educational	5	0.8						
institution (school - university)								
Other	4	0.6						
Hospital (medical staff), Social Media								
(WhatsApp -Facebook-Twitter),	3	0.5						
Educational institution (school -	5	0.5						
university)								
Television, Hospital (medical staff)	2	0.3						
Television, Hospital (medical staff),								
Educational institution (school -	2	0.3						
university)								
Social Media (WhatsApp -Facebook-	2	03						
Twitter), Educational institution	-	0.5						

Table (3): Source of information regarding TBI among the sampled population

(school - university), Other		
Television, Hospital (medical staff),		
Social Media (WhatsApp -Facebook-	1	0.2
Twitter)		
Television, Educational institution	1	0.2
(school - university)	1	0.2
Social Media (WhatsApp -Facebook-	1	0.2
Twitter), other	1	0.2
Nothing	356	57.2
Total	622	100

Table (4) shows that there is a statistically significant difference between who work or study in a health field and awareness of traumatic brain injury; since p-value = 0.00; where participants who work or study in a health field had mean score of awareness 3.07 out of 4 more than who doesn't work or study in a health field. Also, the difference between who had background about brain injury and awareness of

traumatic brain injury; since p-value = 0.001; While there is no statistically significant difference in awareness of traumatic brain injury according to gender, nationality and who had a traumatic brain injury; since p-value>0.05 (not significant); due to the convergence of awareness averages between groups.

population's demographic data									
Awarene traumatic injur	ess of brain y	N	Mean	Std. D	T value	P value			
Gender	Male Female	125 497	2.8824 2.8741	.46767 .41334	0.195	0.846			
Nationality	Saudi Non Saudi	608 14	2.8780 2.7773	.42246 .51135	0.877	0.381			
Do you work or study in a	Yes	173	3.0705	.37946	3.882	0.000**			
health field?	No	449	2.9163	.43417					
Have you ever had a	Yes	62	2.8596	.35364					
traumatic brain injury?	No	560	2.8775	.43179	0.316	0.752			
Do you have a backgroun d about brain injury?	Yes	105	2.7625	.34270	3.535	0.001**			

Table (4): Awareness regarding TBI by thepopulation's demographic data

Table (5) and figure (1) shows that there is a statistically significant difference in Awareness of traumatic brain injury according to age in favor of

older participants who had the highest degree of awareness; since F= 12.702 with p-value (0.000 < 0.05).

Age		N	Mean	Std. D	F	Si g.
	18-28	44 2	2.8202	.39546		
Awareness of	29-39	10 7	2.9362	.44770	1 2.	0. 00
traumatic	40-49	62	3.0977	.48131	7	0*
brain iniury	50+	11	3.2674	.32043	$\frac{0}{2}$	*
injury	Total	62 2	2.8757	.42443	-	

 Table (5): Awareness regarding TBI in relation

 to participants' age





Table (6) and figure (2) shows that there is a statistically significant difference in Awareness of traumatic brain injury according to Social status in favor of married participants who had the highest degree of awareness (2.9850) out of (4); since F = 11.415 with p-value (0.000 < 0.05).

Social status		N	Mean	Std. D	F	Si g.
Awaren ess of traumat ic brain	Single	39 9	2.8187	.38621	1	0
	Married	21 6	2.9850	.45411	1. 4	0. 00 0*
	Divorced	7	2.7563	.83565	1	*
injury	Total	62 2	2.8757	.42443	5	

 Table (6): Awareness regarding TBI in relation to participants' social status



Figure (2): Awareness regarding TBI in relation to participants' social status

Table (7) and figure (3) shows that there is a statistically significant difference in Awareness of traumatic brain injury according to education in favor of University participants who had the highest degree of awareness (2.0817) out of (4); since F= 2.783 with p-value (0.026 < 0.05).

Education Level		N	Mean	Std. D	F	Si g.
	Primary	5	2.8473	.53267		
Awarene	Inter- mediate	18	2.8900	.42664		
	Second- ary	10 6	2.9550	.41607 2	2.	0
traumati	Univers ity	47 0	3.0817	.42007	7 8	0. 02
c brain injury	Post- graduat e	23	3.0588	.46328	3	0.
	Total	62 2	2.8757	.42443		

Table (7): Awareness regarding TBI in relation to participants' educational level

Figure (3): Awareness regarding TBI in relation to participants' educational level



Table (8) and figure (4) shows that there is a statistically significant difference in Awareness of traumatic brain injury according to Career status in favor of Retired participants who had the highest degree of awareness (3.1979) out of (4); since F=8.359 with p-value (0.000 < 0.05).

Care	er status	N	Mean	Std. D	F	Si g.
Aware ness of trauma tic brain	Student	35 1	2.8078	.39200		
	Unemplo yed	14 9	2.9601	.44878	8.	0.
	Employee	11 1	2.9454	.45021	35 9	00 0* *
	Retired	11	3.1979	.40265		T
injury	Total	62 2	2.8757	.42443		

 Table (8): Awareness regarding TBI in relation

 to participants' career status





Table (9) shows that there is no statistically significant difference in Awareness of traumatic brain injury according to Region; since F= 0.359 with p-value (0.711 > 0.05). This results due to the convergence of the degree of awareness according to region, as shown clearly in the below Figure 5.

Region		N	Mean	Std. D	F	Si g.	
	Central	11 9	2.8606	.45986			
	Eastern	39	2.8718	.46998			
Awaren	Western	11	2.8431	.47896	0	0	0.
trourset		1			0. 52	71	
ic brain	North	17	2.7993	.22211	33 4	1	
iniury	South	33	2.8962	.39441	-		
injui y		6					
	Total	62 2	2.8757	.42443			

 Table (9): Awareness regarding TBI in relation

 to participants' residence region



Figure (5): Awareness regarding TBI in relation to participants' residence region

DISCUSSION:

Results of this study showed that the average of participants' responses towards awareness regarding Traumatic Brain Injury was ponding to degree "May be correct", which include that there is homogeneity of responses and non-dispersion from the mean. This result indicates a moderate level of awareness about Traumatic Brain Injury among sample study.

A study carried out in KSA in 2014 showed that motor vehicle accidents (63.4%) and falls (32.4%) are the leading causes of traumatic brain injuries in the region. Males were the main victims of all types of injuries. This is due to the fact that females in general including female children stay indoors most of time. In this study, direct head trauma constituted 4.2% of the series. Cases here were mainly due to falling objects (e.g., T.V. sets) and gunshots. The researchers did not encounter cases due to violence, which in Asian series accounts for 10% of cases of traumatic brain injuries. In neighboring country, Yemen domestic events were the leading cause of traumatic brain injuries [10].

Another study in Saudi Arabia also showed that the increased rate among males compared to females (10.4 to 1, respectively) is higher than other studies in the developed world and neighboring countries. It could be a reflection that women do not drive cars in Saudi Arabia [11].

It also showed that Pedestrian injuries were the second common cause (17%) of THI. The alarming figure of mortality within this group (40%) is different from those reported in the developed world. For instance, in Europe and the US pedestrian mortality among THI patients reached 20% and 14% respectively. Although developed countries reported less pedestrian injuries, 5000 people die and 60 000 are injured as pedestrians in the US annually.

Comparative fissures from the European Union indicate that out of 50 000 annual road traffic deaths, 8500 were pedestrians [12].

In 2017, a study aimed to describe trends in time to rehabilitation admission and rehabilitation length of stay, compare functional independence at discharge from rehabilitation between patients who arrived directly from acute care versus those from elsewhere and identify independent predictors of functional outcomes following rehabilitation, showed that males were more likely to sustain a TBI with the highest frequency among those between 20 and 30 years of age. While this reflects a common sex difference [13].

In recent decades, an increase in TBI in older adults has been observed in higher-income countries, resulting in part from an increased life expectancy and greater mobility of older adults [14]. We noted no increased incidence of TBI among older adults in our study. This may be a result of the notably younger population of Saudi Arabia where the median age is 27, whereas the median age in the USA is 38 years and is above 40 for most European countries [15].

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

In conclusion, based on the articles studied in this review, sampled population had moderate level of awareness regarding traumatic brain injury specially its direct effect on brain tissue and its physical consequences but awareness regarding psychological consequences is till defective. Age, education and medical filed work were the most important determinants of populations' awareness level. More intention should be paid to improve populations' awareness regarding TBI and its consequences and how to deal with. This can be done through mass media, health education campaigns, health education by medical staff in primary health care centers or even hospitals.

REFERENCES:

- Gosselin, Richard A., David A. Spiegel, Richard Coughlin, and Lewis G. Zirkle (2009). "Injuries: the neglected burden in developing countries." Bulletin of the World Health Organization 87(4): 246-246a.
- Hyder AA, Wunderlich CA, Puvanachandra P, Gururaj G, Kobusingye OC (2007). The impact of traumatic brain injuries: a global perspective. NeuroRehabilitation. 22 pp. 341–353.
- 3. B Jennet (1996). Epidemiology of head injury. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 60(4): 362–369.
- Al-Habib A, A-Shail A, Alaqeel A, Zamakhshary M, Al-Bedah K, Alqunai M, Al-Enazi S (2013). Causes and patterns of adult traumatic head injuries in Saudi Arabia: implications for injury prevention. Ann Saudi Med. 33(4):351.
- Alhabdan S, Zamakhshary M, AlNaimi M, Mandora H, Alhamdan M, AlBedah K, Al-Enazi S, Al-Habib A (2013). Epidemiology of traumatic head injury in children and adolescents in a major trauma center in Saudi Arabia: implications for injury prevention. Ann Saudi Med. 33(1):52.
- Yuhasz JE. Misconceptions about traumatic brain injury among correctionalhealth care professionals. J Correct Health Care. 2013 Apr;19(2):135-43. doi: 10.1177/1078345812474644. Epub 2013 Feb 26. PubMed PMID: 23446874.
- Malec JF, Brown AW, Leibson CL, Flaada JT, Mandrekar JN, Diehl NN, Perkins PK. The Mayo Classification System for Traumatic Brain Injury Severity. Journal of Neurotrauma.

2007:24(9):1417-24.

- Chapman, R. C. G. and Hudson, J. M. (2010) Beliefs about brain injury in Britain. Brain Injury, 24, 797–801.
- 9. Ono, M., Ownsworth, T. and Walters, B. (2011) Preliminary investigations of misconceptions and expectations of the effects of traumatic brain injury and symptom reporting. Brain Injury, 25, 237–249.
- Khadiga A.A, Hannan A.A, Amal A.A, Atheer A.A, Mohamed E.E, Traumatic Head Injuries in Children: Experience from Asir. Online Journal of Medicine and Medical Science Research, ISSN 2277-0879; Volume 5, Issue 5, pp. 44-47; September, 2014. Available Online at http://www.onlineresearchjournals.org/JMMSR.
- Amro A., Abdulaziz A., Ahmed A., Mohammed Z., Khalid A., Mansur A., Saleem A., Causes and patterns of adult traumatic head injuries in Saudi Arabia: implications for injury prevention, DOI: 10.5144/0256-4947.2013.351.
- 12. Committee EEV-s. Improved test methods to evaluate pedestrian protection afforded by passenger cars. EEVC Working Group. 1998;17.
- Hazem Q., Husam M., and Mortensonc Q., Traumatic brain injury rehabilitation in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia: Time to rehabilitation admission, length of stay and functional outcome. 2017, VOL. 31, NO. 5, 702–708.
- 14. Faul M, Xu L, Wald MM, Coronado VG. Traumatic brain injury in the United States: emergency department visits, hospitalizations and deaths 2002–2006. 2010 Mar. http://www.cdc.gov/traumaticbraininjury/tbi_ed. html
- 15. Central Intelligence Agency, editor. The World Factbook 2016–17. 2016. https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/theworld-factbook/index.htm