

CODEN [USA]: IAJPS ISSN: 2349-7750

INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES

Available online at: http://www.iajps.com

Research Article

SIMULTANEOUS HPTLC DETERMINATION OF PARACETAMOL AND DICLOFENAC SODIUM IN TABLET DOSAGE FORM

Dr. Madhukar Arjun Badgujar*

Department of Chemistry, Sheth J.N. Paliwala College Pali, Raigad (India).

Abstract:

A normal-phase simple, rapid and precise high performance thin – layer chromatographic method has been developed for simultaneous quantitative determination of Paracetamol and Diclofenac sodium in a tablet dosage form. The analysis was performed on Silica gel 60F₂₅₄ on aluminum plates with acetonitrile – tolune – acetic acid, 5 : 5 : 0.1 v/v as a mobile phase. Detection and quantitation were performed densitometrically at wavelength 265nm. The developed method was validated for linearity, precision, solution stability, accuracy and robustness parameters. The linearity of Paracetamol and Diclofenac were in the range of

50-150 µg/mL and 5-15µg/mL respectively. The correlation coefficient of Paracetamol and Aceclofenac were observed 0.9998 and 0.9994 respectively. Accuracy was checked by performing recovery studies from the pharmaceutical preparation. The average was found to be 99.26 for Paracetamol and 99.59 for Diclofenac. The proposed HPTLC method was found to be accurate, precise and rapid for the simultaneous determination of Paracetamol and Diclofenac sodium in tablet dosage form.

Keywords: Paracetamol, Diclofenac Na, HPTLC

*Corresponding Author:

Madhukar Arjun Badgujar,

Department of Chemistry, Sheth J.N. Paliwala College Pali, Raigad (India)

E-mail: bmbadgujar@yahoo.com



Please cite this article in press as Madhukar Arjun Badgujar., Simultaneous HPTLC Determination of Paracetamol and Diclofenac Sodium in Tablet Dosage Form, Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2018(Suppl.); 05(01).

INTRODUCTION:

Paracetamol (PCT) is chemically N (4- hydroxyl phenyl) acetamide and is used as analgesic and antipyretic agent. It is well known analgesic drug which is very effective to the treatment for relief pain and fever in adults and children. It has molecular formula C₈H₉NO₂ and molecular weight 151.16. It has a narrow therapeutic index- the therapeutic dose is close to the toxic dose. Diclofenac sodium [2-[(2,6dichlorophenyl)] amino] benzene acetic acid monosodium salt] is a compound with potent antiinflammatory property. It affords quick relief of pain and wound edema Literature survey revealed that various methods have been reported for the simultaneous determination of Paracetamol and Diclofenac in pharmaceutical formulations, viz, UV spectrophotometry [2-3], reverse phase HPLC[4-7] stability indicating, , HPTLC [8-13]. In this paper I have reported HPTLC method for the simultaneous determination of PCT and DCF in tablet dosage form. Aim of present work was to develop simple, economical, rapid, precise and accurate method for simultaneous determination of PCT and DCF. The key advantage of developed HPTLC method is that several samples can run using a small quantity of mobile phase. The proposed method was validated as per ICH guidelines.

EXPERIMENTAL:

Working standards and chemicals: PCT and DCF working standard were obtained from Glenmark (Mumbai, India). Tablet containing PCT (500mg) and DCF (50mg) were obtained from Alken (Mumbai, India), AR grade methanol and acetonitrile were purchased from Merck India.

Instrumentation and Chromatographic condition

The samples were spotted in the form of bands of width 5 mm with a desaga 100 μL sample syringe on silica gel precoated Al plate 60 F_{254} , with 200 μm thickness . These bands were applied with the help of Desaga AS 30- sample applicator at a distance of 10mm from X axis and 15mm from Y axis at the edge of the HPTLC plate with the speed of 150nl/sec for methanol.

The plates were prewashed by methanol and activated at 110°C for 5min prior to chromatography. The space between two bands was kept at 10mm. The slit dimension was kept at 4 x 3 mm and 4.0mm/s scanning speed was employed. The monochromator bandwidth was set at 10nm, each track was scanned thrice and base line correction was used. The mobile phase composed of acetonitrile: toluene: glacial acetic acid in the volume ratio 5: 5: 0.1 v/v/v.

Linear ascending development was carried out in a twin trough glass chamber saturated with the mobile phase. The optimized chamber saturation time for mobile phase was 30min at room temperature ($25^{0}c\pm2$) at relative humidity of $55\%\pm5$. TLC plates were dried in current of air with the help of air dryer. Detection and quantification was performed in the absorbance mode using Desaga TLC scanner with Pro-Quant software. During the method development the spots on the TLC plate were visualized in a UV chamber equipped with a UV lamp at wavelength 254nm. The developed TLC plate was scanned between 200nm and 400nm wavelength using CD-60 Densitometer. The wavelength selected for further quantification was 265nm.

Preparation of standard solution:

50mg of PCT and 5 mg of DCF was accurately weighed and transferred to a 50mL standard flask. It was dissolved in a methanol and then diluted up to the mark with methanol.

The concentration of the solution obtained was $1000\mu g/mL$ for Paracetamol and $100\mu g/mL$ for Diclofenac (solution A). 5 mL of this solution A was diluted to 10mL in a volumetric flask with methanol. The concentration of the solution obtained was $500\mu g/mL$ for PCT and $50\mu g/mL$ for DCF.

Preparation of Sample solution:

Twenty tablets were weighed and the average weight was calculated. These tablets were powdered and a weight equivalent to one tablet was taken in a 100mL volumetric flask and dissolved in minimum amount of methanol and was sonicated for about 30 minutes then diluted upto the mark with methanol. This solution was filtered through syringe filter. From this stock solution 100% level sample solution was prepared.

Validation of the Method:

The method was validated for linearity, precision, accuracy, specificity and solution stability.

Standard plots were constructed for both PCT and DCF in the range of $50\text{-}150\mu\text{g/mL}$. The experiment was repeated thrice on the same day and additionally on two consecutive days to determine intra- and inter-day precision, respectively.

The intermediate precision (ruggedness) of the method was determined by repeating the experiment on two different instruments. Accuracy was determined by recovery studies. It was carried out by standard addition method by spiking 10%, 20% and 30% of the standard drugs in the 100 % sample solution. Three determinations were performed at each level.

Further specificity of the method was tested by study of the resolution factor of the drug peaks from nearest resolving peaks. Robustness of the method was carried out by small changes in the mobile phase composition (\pm 0.1 mL for each component) and the effects on the results were studied. Time from spotting to chromatography and from chromatography to scanning was varied by \pm 15 minutes.

Analysis of Marked formulation:

The developed method can be applied in determination of PCT and DCF in a tablet ENZOFLAM (Alkem) which is marketed oral solid dosage formulation. To determine the contents of Paracetamol and Diclofenac (label claim: 500mg PCT and 50mg DCF per tablet), the contents of the tablet were emptied and weighed. The drug from the powder was extracted with 10mL of methanol. To ensure complete extraction of the analytes, it was sonicated for 30 min. The resulting solution was allowed to settle for about an hour and the supernatant was suitably diluted to give desired concentration. $10\mu L$ of the solution was applied on TLC plate followed by development, visualization and scanned.

The analysis was repeated in triplicate. The possibility of excipients interference in the analysis was studied.

Results and discussions:

Optimization of the chromatographic conditions

In order to develop a normal phase HPTLC method for the determination of Paracetamol and Diclofenac sodium in combined dosage form chromatographic conditions were optimized. For better separation and resolution, the mixtures of different solvents of varying polarity were tried. The different compositions of mobile phases were changed for getting better separation of analytes. Initially, chloroform –ethyl acetate 4: 6 (v/v) and acetonitrile, toluene 6: 4 (v/v) were used. The best results were obtained by the use of acetonitrile, toluene and glacial acetic acid in the ratio of (5: 5: 0.1v/v/v). This mobile phase showed good resolution and separation of Paracetamol and Aceclofenac peak from other formulation components or excipients tested as seen in fig 2.

Densitometric scanning of all the tracks showed compound with Rf value 0.49 ± 0.08 for Diclofenac and 0.65 ± 0.06 for Paracetamol. As the separation was takes place in a short time period the proposed method is quicker as compare to reported method.

Table 1: Optimized chromatographic conditions

Parameters	Chromatographic conditions
Development chamber	Twin trough chamber
Stationary phase	Silica gel
Mobile Phase	Acetonitrile : Toluene : Acetic acid
	(5:5:0.1v/v/v)
Chamber saturation	15 min
Sample applicator	AS 30 - SAMPLE APPLICATOR
Band	8mm
Space	12mm
Scanning speed	20mm/sec
Development distance	8 cm
Drying of plate	Room temperature
Densitometric scanner	CD 60 - DENSITOMETER / SCANNER
Lamp	Deuterium
Wavelength	265 nm
Volume	10µl

Method Validation:

Linearity and Range

Linearity was observed over the concentration range of $50\text{-}150\mu\text{g/mL}$ for PCT and $5\text{-}15\mu\text{g/mL}$ for DCF (Table 2). The linearity was confirmed by the high value of the correlation coefficients of r^2 = 0.9998 for PCT and 0.9994 for DCF

Table 2: Linear regression data

Drug	Linearity range	Correlation coefficient (r ²)	Slope	Intercept
Paracetamol	50-150 μg/mL	0.9998	2.430	-4.287
Diclofenac	5-15 μg/mL	0.9994	6.456	-11.75

Precision

The developed method was validated for system precision and method precision.

The precision study of the proposed method gave the results in the prescribed limits of relative standard deviation. This is less than 2 % for both analytes. The low value of RSD showed that the proposed method was reliable and reproducible.

Table 3: Precision study for Paracetamol and Diclofenac sodium

Obs	Parace	tamol	Diclofenac Sodium		
No	Peak Area	% Assay	Peak Area	% Assay	
1	2521	101.61	565	101.89	
2	2512	101.25	589	99.82	
3	2507	101.05	510	98.24	
4	2599	100.73	508	98.34	
5	2542	98.43	555	97.65	
6	2574	99.72	553	99.53	
	Mean	100.01	Mean	99.31	
	S.D	0.899	S.D	1.307	
	%R.S.D	0.8990	%R.S.D	1.310	

Specificity:

Investigation specificity was conducted during the validation of identification tests, the determination of impurities and the assay. Demonstration of specificity requires that there should not be any interference of impurities and excipients. In practice this was done by taking the chromatogram of sample solution and the assay result was unaffected by the extraneous material. It has been found that there was no interference of the diluents, placebo at the Rf value of the analytes.




Fig 2: Typical HPTLC chromatogram 1) Diclofenac 2) Paracetamol

System Suitability Test:

A system suitability test should be carried out to see if the HPTLC system is performing properly. System suitability tests were carried out as per the USP to confirm the suitability and the reproducibility of the system. The experiment was carried out using 100% level mixed standard solution of PCT and DCF. This solution was spotted five times chromatographic plate under the optimized chromatographic conditions. % RSD of the peak area shows that (Table 4) the proposed method was suitable for the system.

Accuracy (Recovery Experiment)

The accuracy of the method was determined by the standard addition method at three different levels. The sample solution of 100% level was considered as a zero level and 10%, 20% and 30% of the standard drug of PCT and DCF were added respectively. Each determination was performed in triplicates. The accuracy was then calculated as the percentage of the standard drug recovered by the recovery study. Mean recoveries for PCT and DCF from the sample solution are shown in Table 5 and 6. The results are within the acceptance limit and hence the method is accurate.

Table 4 System suitability for Paracetamol and Diclofenac sodium

	100% Level						
Obs No	Parace	etamol	Diclofenac				
	Peak area	Rf value	Peak area	Rf value			
1	2588	0.65	539	0.49			
2	2599	0.66 502		0.48			
3	2551	0.65	586	0.48			
4	2559	0.66	570	0.49			
5	2512	0.64	520	0.50			
Mean	2562	0.66	543	0.49			
S.D	21.13	0.00833	23.06	0.0053			
% RSD	0.82	1.24	4.24	1.09			

Table 5: % Recovery of PCT

Amount of Paracetamol in ppm								
Sr.No	%	Original	Added	Total	Mean	%	S.D	% RSD
	Added	amount	amount	amount	(n = 5)	Recovery		
1	10	500	50.60	550.60	552.31	100.36	0.6881	0.4886
2	20	500	100.85	600.85	591.02	98.33	0.5922	0.5996
3	30	500	150.66	650.66	648.16	99.10	0.635	0.631

Table 6: % Recovery of DCF

Amount of Diclofenac Na in ppm								
Sr.No	% Added	Original amount	Added amount	Total amount	Mean (n = 5)	% Recovery	S.D	% RSD
1	10	50	5.16	55.16	54.98	99.67	0.8592	0.8582
2	20	50	10.33	60.33	59.33	98.34	0.4977	0.5902
3	30	50	15.16	65.16	65.67	100.78	0.8884	0.8953

CONCLUSION:

The HPTLC method for the determination of Paracetamol and Diclofenac Sodium from their tablet dosage form was found to be accurate, precise, specific and rapid. The results of the recovery studies show the high degree of accuracy of the proposed method. The advantage of the proposed method is that it require less time and cost effective method. Solvent consumption during the analysis is less. Therefore the proposed method can be applied successfully in routine analysis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:

The authors are thankful to Department of Chemistry, Sheth J.N. Paliwala College Pali, Raigad and Alkem India limited for their support and for providing the free gift samples of working standards.

REFERENCES:

- 1. The United states of pharmacopeia NF 32.
- 2.Rele R. V. Mali R.N. Sawant S.A. Analytical Chemistry: *An Indian Journal*. **2009**;8(2): 161-164 3.Badgujar M.A, Mangaonkar K.V. *RJPBCS*, 2014; 5(4): 110-117.
- 4.Badgujar M.A; Mangaonkar KV, *J.Chem.Pharm.Res*, 2011, 3(4), 893-898.
- 5.Godse V.P.; Deodhar M.N, Bhosale A.V. Sonawane R.A.; Sakpal P.S.; Borkar D.D.; Bafana
- Y.S.; Assian Journal of Research in Chemistry. 2009; 2(1):37-40.
- 6.Dongre Vaijanath G. Shah Sweta B, Bayes Gunaji S.; Phadke Manisha; Jadhav Vivek K. *Chromatograpraphia*, **2009**; 69(9 -10): 1019- 1023.
- 7. Vaidya, V. V.; Singh, G. R. Choukekar, M. P. Kekare, M. B. *E-Journal of Chemistry*. **2010**; 7(1): 260-264.
- 8. Vidya V. DIghe, Ramesh T. Sane, Shashikumar N. Menon, Harsha N. Tambe *JPC Journal of planar chromatography Modern TLC* **2004**; 17(5):383-387 9.Vidya V. Dighe,; Ramesh T. Sane, ;Shashikumar N. Menon,; Harsha N. Tambe,; Sreedevi Pillai¹,; Vijay N. Gokarn. *JPC Journal of Planar Chromatography Modern TLC*.; **2006**; 19(112): 443-448.
- 10.Harikrishan N., Gunssekaran V., Sathishbabu A., Rao G. Srinivasa, Roosewelt C. *Assian Journal of Chemistry*. **2007**; 19(5):3918 3922.
- 11. Gandhi S.V, ;Barhate N.S,; Patel B.R, ;Panchal D.D and Bothara K.G, *Acta Chromatogr.*, **2008**; 20: 175 182.
- 12. Yadav, Alok; Singh, Raman M.; Mathur, Satish C.; Saini, Pawan K.; Singh, Gyanendra N. *Journal of Planar Chromatography--Modern TLC.* **2009**; 22(6): 421-424.
- 13. Bhalerao, Santosh; Tambe, Santosh; Pareek, Vikas; Shinde, Rupali; Gupta, Lalit Kumar. *Asian*

Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research. **2010**; 3(1): 25-30.

14. Sethi P D, High Performance Thin Layer Chromatography, Quantitative Analysis of Pharmaceutical Formulations, 2nd Ed., CBS Publishers and distributors, New Delhi, India, 1996.