



CODEN [USA]: IAJPBB

ISSN: 2349-7750

**INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF
PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES**<http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3610431>Available online at: <http://www.iajps.com>

Research Article

**ASSESSING WELL-BEING AND VIABILITY OF ONDANSETRON,
CYCLISATION AND PROCHLORPERAZINE IN PREVENTION OF
PONV IN PATIENTS EXPERIENCING LAPAROSCOPIC
CHOLECYSTECTOMY**¹Sarosh Malik, ²Dr Mahwash Malik, ³Dr Sonia Sadar¹Senior Lecturer, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, The University of Lahore²Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology, Avicenna Medical College Lahore³Sir Ganga Ram Hospital Lahore**Article Received:** November 2019 **Accepted:** December 2019 **Published:** January 2020**Abstract:**

Objective: Postoperative nausea and vomiting is the maximum widely recognized postoperative difficulty in patients undergoing laparoscopic methods, due to the disposition of the pneumoperitoneum during laparoscopic techniques. Various antiemetic drugs have been used to counteract PONV after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. In the current research study, researchers assessed well-being and viability of ondansetron, cyclisation and prochlorperazine in prevention of PONV in cases experiencing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. **Methods:** Our current research was conducted at Jinnah Hospital, Lahore from October 2017 to September 2018. In the current preliminary, randomized, solo-blind, measured, preliminary study, researchers encompassed 205 patients that experienced elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy and had ASA I or II status. Patients were randomized into three equivalent groups: Group O patients received ondansetron for PONV, Group C patients received cyclisation (55 mg) in addition Set P cases received prochlorperazine. All groups received their PONV medications in unidentifiable 55 ml syringes. All patients were placed under general anesthesia. Metoclopramide remained applied as the rescue antiemetic tranquilizer in all patients. The rate of PONV inside 24 hours, the need for rescue emetic enemas and opposing impacts e.g. brain pain, wooziness and sedation within 6 hours after the medical procedure were the test results initiated. The relative examination was terminated by the Chi-square trial or the carefully varying Fischer's test. The ANOVA test was used to reflect the quantitative factors among three rallies. $P < 0.06$ remained considered huge. **Results:** There was no critical distinction between the three gathers with respect to age, sex, ASA status and BMI of the study members. The disease rate was 6 (8.5%) in the ondansetron group, 4 (5.7%) in the cyclisation group and 6 (8.6%) in the prochlorperazine group. Regurgitation occurred in 7 patients (8.7%) in group O, 6 patients (11.9%) in group C and 4 patients (5.7%) in group P (p -esteem 0.68). Emetic rescue enemies were required in 6 patients (8.6%) in group P, 5 patients (7.3%) in group C and 8 patients (11.5%) in set O (p -esteem 0.73). The amount of antagonistic effects, such as migraine, somnolence and sedation, was high in set P associated to set C and set O, but this distinction was not actually significant (p -esteem 0.78, 0.64 and 0.92 separately). **Conclusion:** Ondansetron, cyclisation and prochlorperazine are similarly real in dropping PONV after laparoscopic cholecystectomy through a satisfactory safety profile.

Key words: Ondansetron; Cyclizing; Prochlorperazine; Postoperative nausea and vomiting.

Corresponding author:**Sarosh Malik,**

Senior Lecturer,

Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, The University of Lahore

QR code



Please cite this article in press Sarosh Malik et al., *Assessing Well-Being And Viability Of Ondansetron, Cyclisation And Prochlorperazine In Prevention Of Ponv In Patients Experiencing Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy.*, Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2020; 07(01).

INTRODUCTION:

Post-operative nausea and vomiting is second most known introductory objection of cautious cases afterwards agony. The frequency of those two types of objections ranges from 33% to 53% and can be as high as 82% in high-risk patients. PONV is generally normal in cases who have undergone laparoscopic techniques because of disposition of the pneumoperitoneum throughout laparoscopic strategies [1]. The most commonly detailed findings of PONV in laparoscopic cases comprise: oozing from careful destinations, ruptured skin lines, alkalemia, desire pneumonia, and lack of hydration. Each of these confusions may result in an overburdening of social insurance office assets and an increased length of stay in the medical clinic [2]. Regardless of many advances in anesthesia acceptance strategies, the occurrence of PONV is still high. Various antiemetic drugs have been used to prevent PONV after laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) [3]. Ondansetron, cyclisation and prochlorperazine are three drugs commonly used for the anticipation of PONV. These drugs all demonstrate by various components; ondansetron is a serotonin receptor adversary; cyclisation is a histamine H1 receptor enemy and prochlorperazine possibly applies its impacts through following on dopamine receptors [4]. Altogether 3 of these are drugs that have been shown to be highly aversive to PONV. Nevertheless, the literature has mixed up the evidence to find the safest and best drug. In the current review, researchers assessed well-being and ability of ondansetron, cyclisation and prochlorperazine to prevent PONV in patients with CL [5].

METHODOLOGY:

Our current research was conducted at Jinnah Hospital, Lahore from October 2017 to September 2018. In the current preliminary, randomized, solo-blind, measured, preliminary study, researchers encompassed 205 patients that experienced elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy and had ASA I or II status. Patients were randomized into three equivalent groups: Group O patients received ondansetron for PONV, Group C patients received cyclisation (55 mg) in addition Set P cases received prochlorperazine. All groups received their PONV medications in unidentifiable 55 ml syringes. All patients were placed under general anesthesia. In the current preliminary, randomized, single-blind, measured study, researchers involved 195 cases with ASA I or II status, CL, aged 23 to 65 years and of any sexual orientation. This example size was determined by taking the normal incidence of nausea in 82% of patients taking ondansetron and 58% of patients taking prochlorperazine, taking α 6% and $1-\beta$ 82%, the example size was 63 patients in each group. So we remembered 66 patients for each group in this survey. The review has been approved by the

Moral Audit Advisory Group of our Emergency Clinic. Patients with ASA III or IV status, patients with seizure LOC, patients with a history of peptic ulcer disease, patients with reflex esophagitis, patients with a history of prior use of enemy emetic drugs, pregnant women, and patients with sensitivity to any of these drugs were excluded. Altogether cases were first educated about the examination agreement before they gave a compounded informed consent. Cases remained approved one day before the medical procedure. A consultant anesthesiologist who was not informed about the study conventions performed the pre-anesthetic evaluation of the study patients. Patients were kept NPO for a minimum of 6 hours prior to acceptance of anesthesia. After taking the patient to the operating room (OR), intravenous (IV) access was performed by inserting an 18-24 gauge IV cannula. Cardiac rhythm oximetry, ECG, and unobstructed circulatory pressure monitoring were performed in all patients during and after acceptance of anesthesia. The lactation ring and the Gelofusine™ arrangement were used for fluid substitution during the medical procedure. In altogether cases, the acceptance of anesthesia was completed by administering 2 mg/kg of 2% propofol and 0.05 mg/kg of midazolam together with 100% oxygen. Overall, the endotracheal tube (size ranging from 8.6-9.6 mm) was inserted with a well-measured laryngoscope blade to perform tracheal intubation after sufficient unrolling with atracurium bromide (0.04-0.6 mg/kg). SPSS version 23 was used to review the study data. Examination of PONV, the requirement for release antiemetics, and opposing impacts among sets O, P, and C was performed using the Chi-square trial or the definitive Fischer's trial, as appropriate. The ANOVA trial was applied to reflect quantitative factors among three sets. The estimate $P < 0.06$ was considered critical.




Figure 1: Occurrence of PONV:

RESULTS:

In this review, there was no noticeable contrast between members of the three groups with respect to age, gender, ASA status and BMI. The average period of the medical procedure and the period of over-all anaesthesia were also not substantial among sets (p-esteem 0.12 and 0.14 separately) (Table 1). The rate of illness and vomiting was slightly higher in patients who received ondansetron and prochlorperazine bundles compared with those who received cyclic bundles. The occurrence of nausea in cases who received ondansetron clusters was 6 (8.5%), 4 (5.7%) in patients who received cyclizing clusters and 6 (8.5%) in patients who received

prochlorperazine clusters. Emesis occurred in 7 (8.3%) cases in Set O, 8 (11.9%) patients in Group C and 5 (6.8%) patients in Group P. This distinction in occurrence of PONV among research sets was not substantial (p-esteem 0.68) (Figure 1). Recovery from emetic was required in 6 (8.5%) of patients in Group P, 6.0 (8.4%) of patients in Group C, and 8 (12.9%) of cases in Set O. The number of antagonistic effects, such as brain pain, instability and sedation, was higher in Group P than in Groups C and O, but the distinction was not relevant (Table 2).

Table 1: Demographic information and research variables:

Variable	Set-O	Set-p	Set-c	P value
Age (y)	47.7 ± 8.9	46.4 ± 9.6	47.2 ± 7.6	0.71
Female/Male Gender (n)	45/20	46/19	52/13	0.32
BMI	26.3 ± 4.3	25.6 ± 3.9	26.2 ± 3.8	0.68
ASA I/II (n)	56/9	52/13	50/15	0.38
Anesthesia duration (min)	106.8 ± 7.5	105.4 ± 5.7	104.6 ± 6.6	0.15
Surgery duration (min)	83.2 ± 6.5	85.4 ± 5.6	84.8 ± 6.6	0.12

Table 2: Relative occurrence of opposing properties:

Variable	Set-O	Set-p	Set-c	P value
Need of rescue anti-emetics	4 (6.2)	5 (7.7)	7 (10.8)	0.73
Headache	3 (4.6)	5 (7.7)	3 (4.6)	0.78
Dizziness	4 (6.2)	5 (7.7)	2 (3.1)	0.64
Sedation	2 (3.1)	4 (6.2)	3 (4.6)	0.92

DISCUSSION:

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy is currently very ideal method for the treatment of cholecystitis with an extremely modest number of usable confusions. This is most often achieved under universal anaesthesia, which is an autonomous danger reason for PONV at the beginning of the precautionary period [6]. In addition, the danger of PONV is enlarged in cases who have undergone laparoscopic systems because of production of pneumoperitoneum in these patients [7]. Despite this, there are a few different factors that may cause the case to develop PONV, such as a history of nausea and vomiting, age of youth, female sexual orientation, decision to take sleeping pills, and duration and type of medical intervention. Some antiemetic medications are used to anticipate PONV in cases undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy [8]. In the current research study, we assessed adequacy and well-being of ondansetron, cyclisation and prochlorperazine in cases with CL. Chowilla et al. compared ondansetron and cyclisation and decided that both drugs are similarly actual and safe in the control of PONV in laparoscopic gynecological systems. Chen et al. found that prochlorperazine was more effective than

ondansetron in reducing PONV levels following hip or knee replacement strategies [9]. Chang et al. also detailed the comparative outcomes and indicated that prochlorperazine is a convenient drug when compared to ondansetron and essentially reduces the emetic enemies used during medical intervention. Some reviews have shown that cyclisation and ondansetron are better than dexamethasone and metoclopramide in reducing the danger of PONV after surgery [10]. Dundee et al. explained that cyclisation is a better drug for avoiding PONV than perphenazine for the administration of PONV. For example, a variety of techniques and drug systems have been found to decrease the frequency of PONV after open or laparoscopic methods. By the way, PONV is still a significant problem for the specialist and the anesthetist, as it fundamentally delays the recovery procedure from injuries and extends the stay in the clinic for working patients.

CONCLUSION:

In this study, we assumed that ondansetron, cyclisation and prochlorperazine are also viable for dipping the frequency of PONV after laparoscopic cholecystectomy through a good welfare profile.

REFERENCES:

1. Dundee J, Loan W, Morrison J. A comparison of the efficacy of cyclizine and perphenazine in reducing the emetic effects of morphine and pethidine. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.*1975;2(1):81-5. [PubMed] [Free full text]
2. Horn CC, Wallisch WJ, Homanics GE, Williams JP. Pathophysiological and neurochemical mechanisms of postoperative nausea and vomiting. *Eur J Pharmacol.* 2014;722:55- 66.[PubMed] [Free full text] doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2013.10.037
3. Zhang D, Shen Z, You J, Zhu X, Tang Q-F. Effect of ondansetron in preventing postoperative nausea and vomiting under different conditions of general anesthesia: A preliminary, randomized, controlled study. *Ups J Med Sci.*2013;118(2):87- 90.[PubMed] [Free full text] doi: 10.3109/03009734.2013.768315.
4. Turkistani A, Abdullah K, Manaa E, Delvi B, Khairy G, Abdulghani B, et al. Effect of fluid preloading on postoperative nausea and vomiting following laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Saudi J Anaesth* 2009;3(2):48.[PubMed] [Free full text] doi: 10.4103/1658-354X.57872
5. Chen JJ, Frame DG, White TJ. Efficacy of ondansetron and prochlorperazine for the prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting after total hip replacement or total knee replacement procedures: a randomized, doubleblind, comparative trial. *Arch Int Med.* 1998;158(19):2124-8.[PubMed] [Free full text]
6. Apfel C, Heidrich F, Jukar-Rao S, Jalota L, Hornuss C, Whelan R, et al. Evidence-based analysis of risk factors for postoperative nausea and vomiting. *Br J Anaesth.*2012;109(5):742-53. [PubMed] [Free full text] doi: 10.1093/bja/aes276
7. Park S, Cho E. A randomized, double-blind trial of palonosetron compared with ondansetron in preventing postoperative nausea and vomiting after gynaecological laparoscopic surgery. *J Int Med Res* 2011;39(2):399-407. [PubMed]
8. Daria U, Kumar V. Qualitative comparison of Metoclopramide, ondansetron and granisetron alone and in combination with dexamethasone in the prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting in day care laparoscopic surgery under general anaesthesia. *Asian J Pharm.* 2012;5:165-7.
9. Srivastava A, Niranjana A. Secrets of safe laparoscopic surgery: Anaesthetic and surgical considerations. *J Minim Access Surg.* 2010;6(4):91. [PubMed] [Free full text] doi: 10.4103/0972-9941.72593
10. Gecaj-Gashi A, Hashimi M, Sada F, Baftiu N, Salihu S, Terziqi H, et al. Propofol vs isoflurane anaesthesia incidence of PONV in patients at maxillofacial surgery. *Adv Med Sci.* 2010;55(2):308-12. doi: 10.2478/ v10039-010-0033-4. [PubMed]