

CODEN [USA]: IAJPBB

ISSN: 2349-7750

INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES

http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3270768

Available online at: <u>http://www.iajps.com</u>

Research Article

ANALYSIS OF DIAGNOSTIC ACCURACY OF COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY FOR ACUTE APPENDICITIS PATIENTS

Dr Hafiz Abdul Basir¹, Dr Hooria Shahzad², Dr Anoosh Fatima Gilani³

¹Bahawal Victoria hospital, Bahawalpur, ²Medical officer at RHC Dullanwala, ³Shalamar Medical and Dental College.

Article Received: May 2019	Accepted: June 2019	Published: July 2019				
Abstract:						
Introduction: Appendix is a small pouch atta	ached to the beginning of large inter	stine. Appendicitis, an inflammation				
of the appendix, is the most common acute surgical condition of the abdomen.						
Objectives: To determine diagnostic accuracy of computed tomography in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis keeping						
histopathology as a gold standard.						
Materials and methods: This Cross sectional	study was conducted in Bahawal Vi	ctoria Hospital, Bahawalpur during				
October 2018 to March 2019. The data we probability consecutive sampling. All patien specified in the operational definition were selected for this analysis.	as collected from 100 patients. The ts presenting with clinical features included in this study. Age group	the data was collected through non suspicious of acute appendicitis as 18-45 years and either gender was				
Results: The study was conducted on 100 path was 29.5 ± 6.7 years. The range of age in our 42 years. On grouping the sample in differen	ents suspected of having acute appe r study was 23 years with minimum c t age groups we observed that 26 2	ndicitis. The mean age of the sample age of 19 years and maximum age of % of patients were in the age group				
up to 25.00 years, 47.1% were in the age gr 35.01 to 45.00 years.	oup 25.01 to 35.00 years and 26.79	% of patients were in the age group				
Conclusion: It is concluded that CT is a high such, it is a useful radiological marker for recommended to confirm its usefulness.	ily sensitive and specific tool for the or diagnosis of acute appendicitis	e detection of acute appendicitis. As in adults and further studies are				

Corresponding author:

Hafiz Abdul Basir, Bahawal Victoria hospital, Bahawalpur.



Please cite this article in press Hafiz Abdul Basir et al., Analysis of Diagnostic Accuracy of Computed Tomography for Acute Appendicitis Patients., Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2019; 06(07).

INTRODUCTION:

Appendix is a small pouch attached to the beginning of large intestine. Appendicitis, an inflammation of the appendix, is the most common acute surgical condition of the abdomen. Almost 10% of the general population develops acute appendicitis with maximal incidence in the second and third decades of life [1]. Surgical removal of such inflamed appendix is the most commonly performed emergency operation in the world and has long been considered the standard procedure of treatment of appendicitis. Its peak incidence is between the ages of 10 and 30 years. Differential diagnosis of appendicitis is often a clinical challenge because appendicitis can mimic several abdominal conditions [2].

Traditionally, acute appendicitis has always been a clinical diagnosis based on patient history, physical examination, and laboratory testing. A high percentage of negative appendectomies (20%) was considered reasonable, based on the premise that delay would inevitably lead to perforated appendicitis and thus increased morbidity and even mortality [3]. This classical practice is currently being abandoned by most surgeons, as negative appendectomies are no longer considered acceptable. They carry a substantial morbidity, increase hospital costs and may be avoided by using preoperative radiological imaging or diagnostic laparoscopy.

There has been a continuous search for complementary diagnostic methods to limit the number of "unnecessary" appendectomies without delaying the diagnostic and therapeutic process and without increasing perforation rates [4]. Preoperative imaging has gained wide acceptance due to the improved diagnostic accuracy, with computed tomography (CT) outperforming ultrasound (US) in most studies. The diagnostic modalities, however, that are considered to be the most accurate for making the diagnosis appendicitis, such as CT and laparoscopy, also have negative repercussions. Computed tomography exposes the patient to considerable ionizing radiation, and laparoscopy is an invasive procedure performed under general anesthesia and thus carries a risk of morbidity [5]. Thus, use of imaging modalities such as ultrasonography (US) and computerized tomography (CT) has helped to decrease the rates of perforation, morbidity and mortality, in addition to shortening the length of hospital stay [6].

Objectives:

To determine diagnostic accuracy of computed tomography in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis keeping histopathology as a gold standard.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

This Cross sectional study was conducted in Bahawal Victoria Hospital, Bahawalpur during October 2018 to March 2019. The data was collected from 100 patients. The data was collected through non probability consecutive sampling. All patients presenting with clinical features suspicious of acute appendicitis as specified in the operational definition were included in this study. Age group 18-45 years and either gender was selected for this analysis.

All patients having suspected acute appendicitis clinically with symptoms as defined in the operational definition and fulfilling the inclusion criteria was subjected to CT Scan examination. Once done with CT, all the patients was subjected to appendectomy and biopsy was obtained. All the above mentioned information was recorded on a pre-designed proforma.

Statistical analysis:

The collected data was entered in SPSS version 20 and analyse through it, study variable was CT findings and Histopathology report. Frequency and percentage was calculated for categorical variables like gender.

RESULTS:

The study was conducted on 100 patients suspected of having acute appendicitis. The mean age of the sample was 29.5 ± 6.7 years. The range of age in our study was 23 years with minimum age of 19 years and maximum age of 42 years. On grouping the sample in different age groups, we observed that 26.2% of patients were in the age group up to 25.00 years, 47.1% were in the age group 25.01 to 35.00 years and 26.7% of patients were in the age group 35.01 to 45.00 years.

		n	Range	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Age of the patie	ent	191	23.00	19.00	42.00	29.513	6.73198
Age Groups					Frequence	су	Percent
	Up to 25.00 years					26.2	
	25.01 to 35.00 years			90		47.1	
	35.0	1 to 45.00 year	`S			51	26.7
	Tota	1				191	100.0

Table 1: AGE-WISE DISTRIBUTION OF SAMPLE

Acute Appendicitis on CT		Frequency	Percent
	Positive	111	58.1
	Negative	80	41.9
	Total	191	100.0

Table 02: FREQUENCY OF ACUTE APPENDICITIS ON CT

DISCUSSION:

The clinical appreciation of a patient with suspected appendicitis remains challenging as it is complicated by nonsurgical diseases that mimic appendicitis. The accuracy of the clinical diagnosis is approximately 80%, which corresponds to a negative appendectomy rate of around 20%. This flaw in diagnostic accuracy has traditionally been accepted as it was considered most important to perform an early operation. Quality assurance focused on perforated appendicitis rather than negative appendectomy rates [7]. This practice has become less accepted for several reasons: the morbidity and costs associated with a negative appendectomy are substantial, and there is ample evidence that preoperative imaging can reduce the negative appendectomy rate, and lessen the use of hospital resources. Even though some institutions have reported contradictory results, preoperative imaging for all patients with suspected appendicitis is gaining support [8]. Another reason for abandoning indiscriminate explorations for suspected appendicitis is new insights into the natural history of appendicitis. These challenge the belief that the perforated appendicitis rate is inversely related to the negative appendectomy rate and thus avoidable by urgent appendectomy [9]. Perforated appendicitis rates are not influenced by in-hospital delay and have not decreased with the increasing use of CT imaging. Evidence suggesting that resolving appendicitis is common can clarify this phenomenon [10].

CONCLUSION:

It is concluded that CT is a highly sensitive and specific tool for the detection of acute appendicitis. As such, it is a useful radiological marker for diagnosis of acute appendicitis in adults and further studies are recommended to confirm its usefulness.

REFERENCES:

1. Ben-David K, Sarosi GA. Appendicitis. In: Feldman M, Friedman LS, Brandt LJ, eds. Sleisenger & Fordtran's Gastrointestinal and Liver Disease. 9th ed. Philadelphia, Pa: Saunders Elsevier 2010:116.

- Janan A, Ahmad M, Inamullah, Wazir A. RISK FACTORS FOR PERFORATION IN ACUTE APPENDICITIS. J. Med. Sci. (Peshawar, Print) January 2012, Vol. 20, No. 1: 11-14.
- Meljnikov I, Radojcić B, Grebeldinger S, Radojcić N. History of surgical treatment of appendicitis. Med Pregl. 2009;62:489-92.
- 4. Karamanakos SN, Sdralis E, Panagiotopoulos S, Kehagias I. Laparoscopy in the emergency setting: a retrospective review of 540 patients with acute abdominal pain. Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech. 2010;20:119-24.
- 5. Petroianu A. Diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Int J Surg 2012;10(3):115-119.
- Gueller U, Rosella L, McCall J, Brügger LE, Candinas D. Negative appendicectomy and perforation rates in patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery for suspected appendicitis. BJS 2011;98(4):589-595.
- Berry J, Win M, Feldman M. The case for negative appendicectomy–A systematic review. Int J Surg 2013;11(8):709.
- 8. Cağlayan K, Günerhan Y, Koc A, Uzun MA, Altınlı E, Köksal N. The role of computerized tomography in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis in patients with negative ultrasonography findings and a low Alvarado score. *Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg*, 2010;*16*(5):445-448.
- 9. Park G, Lee SC, Choi BJ, Kim SJ. Stratified computed tomography findings improve diagnostic accuracy for appendicitis. World J Gastroenterol 2014;20(38):13942.
- 10. Nelson DW, Causey MW, Porta CR, McVay DP, Carnes AM, Johnson EK., et al. Examining the relevance of the physician's clinical assessment and the reliance on computed tomography in diagnosing acute appendicitis. Am J Surg 2013;205(4):452-456.