

## CODEN [USA]: IAJPBB

ISSN: 2349-7750

# INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES

http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3270847

Available online at: <u>http://www.iajps.com</u>

**Research Article** 

## PREVALENCE OF HEPATITIS C IN PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC KIDNEY DISEASE AT A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL IN LAHORE

<sup>1</sup>Dr.Muhammad Talha, <sup>2</sup>Dr.Rabbia Imam, <sup>3</sup>Dr.Muhammad Usman Shahid.

<sup>1</sup>Allama Iqbal Medical College, Lahore, <sup>2</sup>Amna Inayat Medical College, Lahore,

<sup>3</sup> Punjab Medical College; Faislabad.			
Article Received: May 2019	Accepted: June 2019	Published: July 2019	
Abstract: Background: both hepatitis C and endless Hepatitis C infection (HCV) is effectively to prompts an expansion in mortality and dre quickens the movement of CKD. The target profile and commonness of patients with perp Techniques: This was a review examination tertiary consideration in Allama Iqbal Med Department of Medicine. Point by point clinit HCV contamination they were performed in PCR) and hostile to HCV positive IgG serolog Results: We included 67 patients with a mean and 71.6% in the age bunch 41-60 years. 31.4 7 patients had co-contamination with HIV and genotype 3. Ultrasonography and endoscopy pivot of the spleen expanded and the esophage Conclusion: the pervasiveness of HCV contant genotype 1 the most widely recognized. The H RNA is prescribed. Severe widespread precon- units to evade transmission. Key Words: Chronic kidney disease, Haemon	kidney infection (CKD) present as cansmittable in hemodialysis units ariness because of cirrhosis and of the investigation was to depic etual renal disappointment with HC of patients with endless renal di- ical College Lahore from Februa cal information was gathered alon all patients. The determination of gy. age of 54 years (run 43-72 years) 4% were HCV positive, of which 81 d HBsAg. It was discovered that ge of the upper gastrointestinal tract eal varices. mination in patients with incessant ICV counter acting agent is negativ futionary measures must be utilized dialysis, Hepatitis C, Prevalence	in uncertain general medical issue. s and kidney transplantation. HCV hepatocellular carcinoma, while it ct the statistic, clinical/biochemical CV contamination. isappointment who submitted to the ary 2016 to November 2018 at the pgside past reports lab and test. For FHCV was made by HCV RNA (RT with a greater part of 76.1% of men 1% were men. It was discovered that enotype 1 (72%) is more typical than indicated 57% individually with the t renal disappointment is high, with we, in this way recognition with HCV d in emergency clinics and dialysis	
Corresponding author:		OR code	
Dr. Muhammad Talha, Allama Iabal Medical College, Labor	2		



Please cite this article in press Muhammad Talha et al., **Prevalence Of Hepatitis C In Patients With Chronic** Kidney Disease At A Tertiary Care Hospital In Lahore., Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2019; 07[07].

## **INTRODUCTION:**

As of now, Pakistan has around 10 to 15 million individuals contaminated with hepatitis C infection (HCV), with a pervasiveness of 0.5-1.5% of the population.1 hepatitis C recurrence in patients with endless kidney illness (CKD) in hemodialysis has dependably been discovered more than in the overall public, with reports proposing a commonness of somewhere in the range of 5% and 60% in created nations. there are wide varieties in the commonness of HCV in dialysis units and various nations, as exhibited by the aftereffects of the quest for dialysis and practice reasons (DOPPS). The normal predominance of HCV in the structure was around 13.5%, with varieties somewhere in the range of 2.6% and 22.9% among nations (DOPPS) 5 hazard elements were distinguished as the quantity of blood transfusions, length of CKD, co-contamination with hepatitis B/HIV and past transplantation. There is a solid causal relationship between hepatitis C contamination and glomerular starting point kidney illnesses blended cryoglobinemia, membranous membranoproliferative nephropathy, glomerulonephritis and polyarthritis nodosa .6,7 what's more, the treatment of these patients with regular treatment remains a noteworthy test because of contrasts accordingly rates contrasted with normales.8.9 people Although there have been numerous examinations on the predominance of HCV in patients with ceaseless kidney ailment in Pakistan, information on these patients stav rare. The reason and motivation behind this examination was to portray the statistic, clinical/biochemical profile of patients and the predominance of CKD patients giving a HCV disease in a tertiary consideration.

## **METHODS:**

This is a review investigation of patients with incessant kidney sickness that happened in the

outpatient office and in the outpatient restorative division, in a tertiary consideration focus in Allama Iqbal Medical College Lahore. Educated assent was gotten from all patients incorporated into the examination. GK limit (GFR) under 60 ml/min/1.73m2 (GFR G3a-G5 classification) or tireless proteinuria was set up by a urinary shaft for 3 months or más.10 GFR was determined from the CKD-EPI creatinine condition :  $141 \times \min(SCr/\kappa, 1)$  $\alpha \times \max (SCr/\kappa, 1) - 1,209 \times 0.993$  Age (× 1.018 On the off chance that it's a lady) ( $\times$  1.159 if it's dark). where SCr is serum creatinine (in mg/dl),  $\kappa$  is 0.7 for ladies and 0.9 for men,  $\alpha$  is - 0.329 for ladies and -0.411 for men, min is the base of SCr/ $\kappa$  or 1, and max is the limit of SCr/ $\kappa$  or 1.10 During the underlying OPD or inpatient visit, medicinal history and point by point physical examination was done, past restorative records and research facility data to get information on the business age of the patient, sex, history of diabetes have been reexamined, hypertension and other potential reasons for CKD, for example, glomerulonephritis. polycystic kidney sickness, and so forth. Tests were completed to identify HCV together with location of HBsAg and HIV to distinguish a corresponding coinfection. At whatever point conceivable, an amount of HCV RNA was likewise acquired by RT-PCR and HCV genotyping. Liver capacity tests (LFT) were just gotten in patients with positive HCV. Complete bilirubin, serum egg whites, AST, ALT, Serum creatinine, platelet tally and INR were gotten. Endoscopy proportions with upper ultrasound and GI have additionally been watched. The analysis of HCV was made utilizing HCV RNA (RT-PCR) and/or positive serum IgG hostile to HCV (third era ELISA) was performed in all patients. Moreover, patients who were against HCV hostile to HCV yet positive (False-negative) were incorporated into the investigation and gathered under HCV positive (see Table 1).

Anti HCV	HCV RNA	HCV positive (n=21)
Positive	Positive	Included (19)
Negative	Positive	Included (2)
Positive	Negative <sup>a</sup>	Excluded(5) (under HCV Negative)
Negative	Negative	Excluded (under HCV Negative)

Table 1: Data of patients included in HCV positive group.

<sup>a</sup> HCV RNA negative on two occasions at three months interval in absence of treatment

Patients with HCV negative RNA were pooled in negative VNC, paying little heed to the serological status of HCV. Patients with intense renal disappointment, whose HCV RNA was negative on two events or the individuals who got HCV treatment were avoided from the examination.

## STATISTICAL ANALYSIS:

Nonstop parametric factors were accounted for as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation and downright factors were communicated as rates. The clear cut factors were looked at utilizing the chi-square test and the Fischer careful test and the nonstop factors were thought about utilizing the Student t test. All dissects

were performed utilizing SPSS 20.0 programming. For all tests, p esteems <0.05 were viewed as huge.

### **RESULTS:**

A sum of 67 patients were incorporated into the examination. The normal age was 54 years with an interim of 43-72 years. The normal age of the patients was  $55.32 \pm 7.98$  (SD) years. The greater part of the patients, 48 (71.6%) were incorporated into the age bunch 41-60 (Table 2). All things considered, 51 (76.1%) were men and 16 (23.9%) were ladies. The most well-known etiology of CKD diabetes was 36/67 (54%), trailed by hypertension 27/67 (41%), while 4% (n = 3) was because of glomerulonephritis. The etiology of the remaining 1% (n = 1) was not indicated or obscure. The normal creatinine of the patients was  $5.8 \pm 2.67$  mg/dl with a scope of 1.8-15.4 mg/dL. All things considered, 45 (67%) had CKD Phase V. 16 (24%) had CKD Stage IV. 4 (6%) had CKD arrange IIIb and 2 (3%) had organize IIIa (KDIGO 2012). Of the 67 patients with ceaseless renal disappointment, 31.4% (n = 21) were HCV positive and 68.6% (n = 46) were HCV negative. Of the individuals who were HCV positive, 81% (n = 17) were men and 19% (n = 4) were ladies. Besides, it was discovered that 7 patients (33.3%) displayed coinfection, 5 with HBV and 2 with HIV. Mean AST was  $90.23 \pm 105.39$  IU/L and ALT was  $74.52 \pm 85.98$ IU/L, mean all out bilirubin was  $5.04 \pm 4.44$ , mean serum egg whites was  $3.42 \pm 0.78$  mg/dl. Moreover, mean serum creatinine in patients with positive HCV was  $7.61 \pm 3.40 \text{ mg/dL}$  (Table 3).

# Table 2: Distribution of patients on the basisof age groups

Age Groups	No. of Patients (n=67)	%
41- 50	19	28
51-60	29	44
61-70	15	22
>70	4	6

Genotyping was performed in all HCV positive patients and genotype 1 was more typical than genotype 3, with 72% (n = 15) of patients with genotype 1 furthermore, 18% (n = 6) with genotype 3. There were 24 hostile to HCV positive, of which 19 were HCV RNA positive, while 5 were HCV negative. 2 were sure for HCV RNA however negative for HCV. In this manner, it was discovered that the affectability and particularity of the counter HCV immune response test were 90.5% and 89.1% individually.

Table 3:	Biochemical	parameters	of HCV
	positive patie	ents (n=21).	

Parameters	Mean (SD)	Range	Unit
Bilirubin	5.04(4.44)	0.8-18	Mg/dl
Albumin	3.42(0.78)	2.1-4.3	Mg/dl
AST	90.23(105.39)	18-416	IU/L
ALT	74.52(85.98)	11-384	IU/L
Creatinine	7.61(3.40)	1.8-15.4	Mg/dl
Platelet count	1.70(1.03)	0.26-3.84	Lac/cu-
			mm
INR	1.41(0.44)	0.9-2.7	-

Characteristics of patients with and without HCV are compared in Table 4.

An ultrasound was performed in all patients with positive HCV (n = 21), of which 12 (57%) patients had a harsh ecopattern with expanded spleen hub, of which 3 were ladies and 9 were men. Rest 9 (43%) had no mellow hepatomegaly seen in USG. Moreover, 5/12 (42%) had ascites. No hepatocellular carcinoma was recognized in any of the HCV positive patients. UGI endoscopy was performed in all patients with positive HCV and, of the 21, just 12 (57.14%) patients had esophageal varices (grade III and IV) with gateway hypertensive gastropathy. 3 of the 12 patients likewise indicated proof of gastric antral vascular ectasia (GAVE).

Characteristic	HCV positive N=21	HCV negative N=46	P value	
Age	54.6±5.5	55.6±8.7	0.63(NS*)	
Male	17 (81%)	34 (74%)		
Female	4 (19%)	12 (26%)	0.758 (NȘ †)	
	CKD stage			
IIIa	1 (5%)	1 (2%)		
IIIb	1 (5%)	3 (6%)	0.272(NS‡)	
IV	2 (10%)	14 (31%)		
V	17 (80%)	28 (61%)		
Etiology				
Diabetes Mellitus	11 (52%)	25 (54%)		
Hypertension	10 (47%)	17 (37%)		
Glomerulonephritis	0 (0%)	3 (6%)	0.523 (NS‡)	
Others	0 (0%)	1 (2%)		
Genotype				
1	15 (71%)	-	-	
3	6 (29%)	-		

## Table 4: Comparison of Characteristics of HCV positive and HCV negative patients.

NS, not significant; CKD, chronic kidney disease, Data expressed as n(%) or mean ±standard deviation; various tests used for statistical significance(p<0.05), \*Students t-test; †Fischers exact test; ‡chi- Square test

Table 5: Prevalence of HCV infection in CKD patients in various Indian studies.				
Author	Place	Year	Total no. of patients	Patients with HCV infection(%)
Jaiswal SP <sup>11</sup>	Indore	1996	105	41.9%
Gosavi <sup>12</sup>	Mumbai	1997	72	27.8%
Chandra M <sup>13</sup>	Hyderabad	2004	256	46%
Reddy <sup>14</sup>	Hyderabad	2005	151	13.23%
Medhi <sup>15</sup>	Delhi	2008	250	17.2%
Jasuja <sup>16</sup>	Delhi	2009	119	27.7%

## **DISCUSSION:**

Numerous examinations have been led in Pakistan on the commonness of HCV contamination in patients with unending renal disappointment on hemodialysis 11-16 (Table 5). Every one of these investigations refer to a high pervasiveness (10-40%) which is certified by the commonness of 31.4% in this examination. A considerable lot of these investigations have likewise been done in different nations. In an investigation in Pakistan led by Shafi et al., The recurrence of hepatitis C in patients with ceaseless renal disappointment was 27.2% .17 In an examination by Fabrizi et al., The immune response against hepatitis C was available in 20% of patients with CKD18. Fissell et al. discovered that 13.5% is an examination in 7 nations (DOPPS) 5. The varieties in the outcomes are likely because of the distinction in the pervasiveness of hepatitis C in different geological areas, in various timeframes of the examinations, varieties in the strategies for recognition of hepatitis C disease and in the act of contamination control measures in various nations. Moreover, in the Indian setting, the commonness of hepatitis C contamination in patients with incessant kidney illness in our examination is altogether higher than in the all inclusive community (0.5-1.5%). Male predominance was seen in this examination; 81% of HCV positive patients were men practically identical to 69% in another investigation led by Arora et al, which might be related because of the higher occurrence of CKD in itself and in men because of the higher rate of diabetes and hypertension. The most widely recognized age gathering was 41-60 years, as in different investigations, by and by ascribed to the high frequency of CKD in this age gathering.

In this investigation, around 19% of HCV positive patients were ladies, this low number could be because of the presence of clear proof for a higher HCV end rate in ladies than in men20. Ladies with CKD have a higher danger of quickened infection movement and vascular ailment and harm to the renal target organ than men.

In this investigation, coinfection was seen in 33.3% of patients, in spite of the fact that in certain examinations it is very high (up to half). 13.22 This is significant in light of the fact that HIV-tainted patients have announced expanded mortality and a more regrettable guess. Along these lines, it is prescribed to screen all patients for coinfection (HIV 1 and 2, HBsAg) .23,24

In this examination, most patients with HCV were symptomatic of liver illness, with raised degrees of ALT, AST and serum bilirubin. In this way, screening at ordinary interims (ALT, AST consistently, HCV RNA at regular intervals) is prescribed.

patients with CKD on hemodialysis where the predominance of HCV is very high. The characterized furthest farthest point of ALT (30 and 19 IU/L for people separately) may pick more patients with HCV disease, however may prompt unwanted tests, since the greater part of them don't have HCV25. Moreover, 42% of patients with HCGpositive HCV established that the developed spleen pivot had ascites with indications of entryway hypertension, liver disappointment recommending fast approaching later on with the requirement for liver kidney transplantation rather than a kidney transplant alone, which is added to the patient's heap.

In this investigation, we found that genotype 1 (71%) is more typical among patients than genotype 3, which is commonly the most widely recognized genotype in the Pakistani populace. Our outcomes are like outcomes from different investigations in Pakistan additionally announced that genotype 1 is increasingly regular among patients with incessant renal disappointment, so they are not claros.26,27 genotype 2 and different genotypes are uncommon.

In this examination, 9.5% had false-negative enemy of HCV antibodies, while HCV RNA was certain in this. We play out the PCR of HCV RNA and against HCV antibodies (ELISA) for all patients. The affectability and explicitness of the counter HCV neutralizer test was observed to be 90.5% and 89.1% separately. Weinstein et al. They revealed an affectability of 94% and an explicitness of 91% of the third-age immunoassay to distinguish positive VHC.28 RNA Assays HCV are characterized into two classifications: protein immunoassay (EIA) and recombinant compound immunoassay (RIBA). EIA is utilized all the more regularly due to its ease.

HCV contamination has been related with an expanded danger of advancement and movement of CKD, just as decreased unite survival and the patient in patients who in the end experience a kidney transplant35,36. Subsequently, it is of most extreme significance to apply severe all inclusive precautionary measures on dialysis. Medical clinic focuses and rooms where patients with unending renal disappointment are permitted to avert transmission of the disease to different patients and human services experts.

Moreover, it very well may be contended that it could be valuable to contemplate HCV in patients with unending renal disappointment experiencing dialysis for the accompanying: 1) HCV can quicken the movement of CKD to the last phases of renal malady; 2) patients on HCV-contaminated dialysis have a higher danger of mortality than dialysis patients with negative HCV37. Along these lines, the ID and treatment of hepatitis C in patients with endless renal disappointment at a beginning time may improve CKD movement, albeit further investigations are expected to check.

This investigation had a few restrictions.

- This is a review think about on a solitary focus with a constrained example estimate, along these lines explicit hazard factors for hepatitis C contamination can't be found in patients with CKD
- Liver capacity tests were performed distinctly in patients with positive HCV, in this manner it was unrealistic to make examinations between the status of liver capacity in patients with HCV positive and HCV negative. In this way, it was unrealistic to achieve an end in regards to previous liver sicknesses in patients with endless renal disappointment
- Long-term follow-up after treatment and release isn't accessible.

## **CONCLUSION:**

All in all, the predominance of HCV disease in patients with ceaseless renal disappointment is high (31.4%), with genotype 1 (71%) the most common. Thusly, the bogus negative enemy of HCV neutralizer is additionally normal, location with HCV RNA is suggested. Besides, the location of HCV in pre-dialysis patients might be suggested alongside exacting all inclusive safeguards in emergency clinics and dialysis focuses. Further examinations are

expected to check whether the recognizable proof and treatment of hepatitis C in CKD patients will improve mortality.

## **REFERENCES:**

- 1. Bhattacharya PK, Roy A. Management of hepatitis C in the Indian context: an update. J Liver. 2015;4(187):2167-0889.
- Ladino M, Pedraz F, Roth D. Hepatitis C Virus Infection in Chronic Kidney Disease. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2016;27(8):2238-46.
- 3. Ozer Etik D, Ocal S, Boyacioglu AS. Hepatitis C infection in hemodialysis patients: A review. World J Hepatol.2015;7(6):885-95.
- 4. Martin P, Fabrizi F. Hepatitis C virus and kidney disease. J Hepatol.2008;49(4):613-24.
- 5. Fissel RB, Bragg-Gresaham Jl, Woods JD. Patterns of hepatitis C: prevalence and seroconversion in hemodialysis units from three continents: DOPPS. Kidney Int.2004;65(6):2335-42.
- 6. Fabrizi F, Lunghi G, Ganeshan SV, Martin P,Messa
- P. Hepatitis C virus infection and the dialysis patient. Semin Dial.2007;20(5):416-22.
- Kamar N, Izopet J, Alric L, Guilbeaud-Frugier C, Rostaing L. Hepatitis C virus-related kidney disease: an overview. Clin Nephrol. 2008;69(3):149-60.
- Berenguer Marina. Treatment of chronic hepatitis C in hemodialysis patients. Hepatol. 2008;48(5):1690-9.
- 9. Fabrizi F, Dulai G, Dixit V, Bunnapradist S,Martin
- P. Meta-analysis: interferon for the treatment of chronic hepatitis C in dialysis patients. Aliment Pharmacol Ther.2003;18(11-12):1071-81.
- 10. Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) CKD Work Group. KDIGO 2012 Clinical Practice Guideline for the Evaluation and Management of Chronic Kidney Disease. Kidney Int Suppl. 2013;3(1):1-150.
- 11. Jaiswal SP, Chitnis DS, Naik G. Prevalence of anti- HCV antibodies in central India. Indian J Med Res. 1996;104:177-81.
- 12. Gosavi MS, Shah SK, Shah SR, Pal RB, Saldanha JA, Banker DD. Prevalence of hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection in Mumbai. Indian J Med Sci. 1997;51(10):378-85.
- 13. Chandra M, Khaja MN, Hussain MM. Prevalence of hepatitis B and hepatitis C viral infections in Indian patients with chronic renal failure. Intervirol. 2004;47(6):374-6.
- 14. Reddy AK, Murthy KV, Lakshmi V. Prevalence of HCV infection in patients on haemodialysis: survey by antibody and core antigen detection.

Indian J Med Microbiol.2005;23(2):106-10.

- 15. Medhi S, Potukuchi SK, Polipalli SK. Diagnostic utility of hepatitis C virus core antigen in hemodialysis patients. Clin Biochem. 2008;41(7-8):447-52.
- 16. Jasuja S, Gupta AK, Choudhry R. Prevalence and associations of hepatitis C viremia in hemodialysis patients at a tertiary care hospital. Indian J Nephrol. 2009;19(2):62-7.
- 17. Shafi ST, Hassan MZ, Saleem M, Anjum R, Abdullah W, Shafi T. Frequency of Hepatitis C in hospitalized patients with chronic kidney disease. Pak J Med Sci.2017;33(1):18-21.
- 18. Fabrizi F, Marcelli D, Bacchini G, Guarnori I, Erba G, Locatelli F. Antibodies to hepatitis C virus (HCV) in chronic renal failure (CRF) patients on conservative therapy: prevalence, risk factors and relationship to liver disease. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 1994;9(7):780-4.
- 19. Arora A, Bansal N, Sharma P, Singla V, Gupta V, Tyagi P, et al. Hepatitis C Virus Infection in Patients with End-Stage Renal Disease: A Study from a Tertiary Care Centre in India. J Clin Exp Hepatol. 2016;6(1):21-5.
- 20. Bakr I, Rekacewicz C, El Hosseiny M, Ismail S, El- Kafrawy S, Esmat G, et al. Higher clearance of hepatitis C virus infection in females compared with males. Gut. 2006;55(8):1183-7
- 21. Gomez-Marcos MA, Recio-Rodriguez JI, Gomez- Sanchez L, Agudo- Conde C, Rodriguez-Sanchez E, Maderulo-Fernandez M, et al. Gender differences in the progression of target organ damage in patients with increased insulin resistance: the LOD-Diabetes study. Cardiovasc Diabetol.2015;14:132.
- 22. Idrees MK, Batool S, Ahmed E. Hepatitis B virus among maintainence haemodialysis patients: a report from Karachi, Pakistan. Age (years). 2011;34:12-68.
- 23. Scherzer R, Shlipak MG. Risk factors: Individual assessment of CKD risk in HIV-positive patients. Nat Rev Nephrol.2015;11(7):392-3.
- 24. Klein MB, Rollet-Kurhajec KC, Moodie EE, Yaphe S, Tyndall M, Walmsley S, et al. Canadian Co- infection Cohort Investigators: Mortality in HIV- hepatitis C co-infected patients in Canada compared to the general Canadian population (2003-2013). AIDS.2014;28(13):1957-65.
- 25. Prati D, Taioli E, Zanella A. Updated definitions of healthy ranges for serum alanine aminotransferase levels. Ann Intern Med. 2002;137(1):1-10.
- 26. Duseja A, Choudhary NS, Gupta S, Dhiman RK, Chawla Y, Sakhuja V. Treatment of chronic hepatitis C in end stage renal disease: experience

at a tertiary care centre. Trop Gastroenterol. 2012;33(3):189-92.

- 27. Seth AK, Chandra A, Puri P, Kaur J. Genotype 1 hepatitis C virus infection predominatesamong.
- 28. patients with chronic kidney failure and renal allograft recipients in India. Indian J Gastroenterol. 2009;28(4):159-60.
- 29. Weinstein T, Kaspa TR, Chagnac A, Korzets A, Ori Y, Zevin D, et al. Hepatitis C infection in dialysis patients in Israel. Isr Med Assoc J.2001;3(3):174-7.
- 30. Fabirizi F, Lunghi G, Raffaele L, Guarnori I, Bacchini G, Corti M, et al. Serologic survey for control of hepatitis C in hemodialysis patients: Third-generation assays and analysis of costs. Nephrol Dial Transplant.1997;12(2):298-303.
- 31. Liu CH, Kao JH. Treatment of hepatitis C virus infection in patients with end-stage renal disease. J Gastroenterol Hepatol.2011;26(2):228-39.
- 32. Fabrizi F, Poordad FF, Martin P. Diagnostic workup of hepatitis C and the patient on maintenance dialysis. Int J Artif Organs.2001;24(12):325:98.
- 33. Farci P, Alter HJ, Wong D, Miller RH, Shih JW, Jett B, et al. A long term study of hepatitis C virus replication in non A, non B hepatitis. N Engl J Med. 1991;325(2):98-104.
- 34. Cotler SJ, Diaz G, Gundlapalli S. Characteristics of hepatitis C in renal transplant candidates. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2002;35(2):191-5.
- 35. Lakshmi V, Reddy AK, Dakshinamurty KV. Evaluation of commercially available thirdgeneration anti-hepatitis C virus enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay in patients on haemodialysis. Ind J Med Microbiol.2007;25(2):140-2.
- 36. Rostami Z, Nourbala MH, Alavian SM, Bieraghdar F, Jahani Y, Einollahi B. The impact of hepatitis C virus infection on kidney transplantation outcome: a systematic review of 18 observational studies. Hepat Mon. 2011;11(4):247-54.
- 37. Park H, Adeyemi A, Henry L, Stepanova M, Younossi Z. A meta-analytic assessment of the risk of chronic kidney disease in patients with chronic hepatitis C virus infection. J Viral Hepat. 2015;22(11):897-905.
- 38. Lemos LB, Perez RM, Lemos MM, Draibe SA, Silva IS, Silva AE, et al. Hepatitis C among predialysis patients: prevalence and characteristics in a large cohort of patients. Nephron Clin Pract. 2008;108(2):135-40.