



CODEN [USA]: IAJ PBB

ISSN: 2349-7750

**INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF
PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES**<http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2987752>Available online at: <http://www.iajps.com>

Research Article

**PREVALENCE OF LOW BACK PAIN AMONG POSTPARTUM
WOMEN WITH AND WITHOUT EPIDURAL ANALGESIA.**¹Dr Nawal Ijaz, ²Dr Hunza Binte Ather, ³Dr Ambreen Akhtar¹WMO, BHU Said Nagar, Gujranwala, ²Ex WMO, THQ Hospital, Sabzazar, Lahore, ³WMO, RHC Phullarwan, Sargodha.**Article Received:** March 2019**Accepted:** April 2019**Published:** May 2019**Abstract:**

Aim: The aim of the study is to find out prevalence of postpartum pain among females who receive epidural analgesia and who do not

Methods: Total 420 women were recruited in this study who met the inclusion criteria. Two forms were designed to obtain data. Form I contains Demographics and Form II used for follow up data. Data was collected by direct contact on first day after delivery, follow up for week 1, 1 month and 3 months were on telephone. Primary variable used for outcome was Visual Analogue Scale (VAS). Two groups were formed Group A for females who receive epidural anesthesia and Group B who did not. Out of 420 women, 210 were in group A and 210 in group B respectively.

Results: The prevalence of low back pain among postpartum in epidural analgesia versus non epidural analgesia group was 40.9% vs 40% on day one and 32.2% versus 35.2% after 1 week whereas after 3rd month follow up backache was less in epidural analgesia group than non-epidural analgesia group. There was no significant difference between two groups in VAS pain score.

Conclusion: The current study concludes that there is no association of epidural analgesia with low back pain. The actual reason of low back pain among postpartum women is still unknown.

Corresponding author:

Dr. Nawal Ijaz,
WMO, BHU Said Nagar, Gujranwala.

QR code



Please cite this article in press Nawal Ijaz et al., *Prevalence of Low Back Pain among Postpartum Women with and without Epidural Analgesia.*, Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2019; 06(05).

INTRODUCTION:

One of the most common problems during and after the pregnancy is back pain in lower lumbar region which is musculoskeletal in nature. Studies have shown that backache is prevalent among pregnant women although it even persists after the pregnancy [1] [2] [3]. The etiology is still unknown [4]. Its consequences lead not only to disability but also effect the well-being of patient. The incidence of low back pain varies from 5-40% in postpartum phase.

A study has reported that women who complained backache during pregnancy have complained of constant pain even 3 years after delivery. However females who have low back pain before pregnancy are more prone to have pain in postpartum phase [5].

In order to facilitate the enlarging uterus a lot of body changing occurs and due to that posture muscles get weakened. Moreover, hormonal changes occur that slacken the joints and ligaments of pelvic girdle that causes difficulty in walking. Due to multiple stresses, integrity of spine is compromised in order to hold and lift the child. It causes repetitive injury to the zygoapophyseal joints, disc, muscles, ligaments and joints of spine due to twisting, torquing and poor posture. Mainly due to hormonal changes during pregnancy in which estrogen, progesterone and relaxin plays a major role and causes muscle and joint laxity that compromises the stability of spine [6].

Hormonal changes that causes muscle separation, weight gain and postural changes all these factor contributes to back pain. In later stages of pregnancy postural changes causes anterior shift of center of gravity as uterus expands. To maintain the balance women used to lean backward. This leaning backward causes extra stress over back muscles that lead to stiffness and pain.

There are some mechanical changes that also cause backache. As fetus grows it expand the uterus and pushes against the abdominal wall causing them to stretch. This prolonged stretch leads to muscle weakness that would lead to backache [7]

Women who had undergone C-section having backache followed by such disability which affects their daily activities and quality of life. Either

epidural anesthesia is responsible for postpartum back pain or not is still under debate [8] Recent studies has shown that there is no difference of postpartum pain in women who were given spinal anesthesia during labor and who do not [9] [10] [11]. Likely, some studies have reported spinal anesthesia has not linked with postpartum pain during vaginal delivery and C-section [12]

Higher BMI, younger age, previous history of back pain during pregnancy, multiparity and joint hypermobility have been vulnerable factors of low back pain in women after childbirth [13]

Epidural analgesia has been used as a labor pain reliever since ages. It has been accepted as effective means of analgesia for women during labor and its efficacy is well acknowledged [14].

It is appraised as a gold standard technique for pain control in medical specialty [15]. Epidural or spinal anesthesia has been preferred all over the world [16]. There are many factors liable for usage of epidural anesthesia in obstetrics. In our hospitals factors include insufficient patient understanding, cultural difference, lacking of anesthesia services, and fear of complications. During counseling most frequent question asked by patients and their care givers is linked to backache after delivery and perceived level of disability [17]. Studies do not show any link between epidural anesthesia and postpartum back pain [18].

Rationale of this study is to find out the prevalence of low back pain with and without epidural analgesia among postpartum women.

METHODOLOGY:**Study Design:**

Non-randomized cohort study

Inclusion Criteria:

Women aged 20-35

Exclusion criteria:

Back pain before pregnancy

Any spinal deformity

Chronic back pain

Women who had C-section

The purpose of the study was explained and consent

form was taken in their first language. Duration of the study was 1 year after its approval. Visual analogue scale was explained to patients. 482 women were enrolled in the study. Women were categorized into two groups women who had undergone epidural analgesia were in Group A whereas who did not have epidural analgesia was in group B.

Two forms were designed Appendix I and Appendix II. Form I contains demographic data and basic information. Data collection was started from first postnatal day by direct contact and through telephonic communication at 1 week, 1 month and 3 months. Form I was filled by investigator and form II was filled by researcher to prevent biasness. It was already decided that those patient who experienced mild backache will be advised to take simple measure of back care at home and for moderate to severe backache they was referred to pain clinic.

Pain lasting more than 1 month was selected because it is indicative of persistent disabling pain.

Prevalence was calculated by frequency tables. Data was analyzed by using SPSS version 17. Frequency and percentages were analyzed by Chi-square test. Mean and SD were analyzed by sample t-test

RESULTS:

Total 420 women who met inclusion criteria participate in this study. Out of total, 210 women were in epidural analgesia group and 210 were in non-epidural group. Follow up was acquired by 400 women and their response was 95%. Remaining participants either moved to another city or some contact was incorrect.

There was no significant difference between groups in reference to age, weight, and height and body mass index. Literacy rates and the number of working women were remarkably high in analgesia group as compare to non-epidural group. Only 58% were primiparous remaining were multiparous. Significant difference was observed between groups on parity.

Majority of women were not on medications in antenatal period. Mean duration of labor was high in epidural analgesia than non-epidural analgesia groups ($P<0.01$) Frequency of backache in previous pregnancy was high in non-epidural analgesia than

epidural analgesia group ($P=0.006$). Nearly 14% of patients of epidural analgesia have received epidural analgesia in previous pregnancy as well. Epidural analgesia related low back pain was found in both groups. There was no difference found in back pain in current pregnancy. In epidural analgesia group 96% women had epidural analgesia whereas remaining 4% women received combined spinal epidural analgesia.

The prevalence of postpartum back pain was same in both groups on day 1 after delivery. Back pain was insignificant in women after day 1 and 1 week of delivery. Although, at 1 and 3rd month follow up, backache was less in epidural analgesia than non-epidural analgesia group. Visual analogue scale was used to measure pain. Mean score of two groups does not show any association between groups at 1st postnatal day, 1st week, and end of 1st and 3rd month.

During follow up, we asked postpartum women about different treatment methods of their use to relieve pain. On 1st post natal day, oral analgesia usage for low back pain was highest in both epidural and non-epidural analgesia group whereas only 20% women were given IV analgesia for the complain of low back pain on 1st post natal day in epidural analgesia group. The oral analgesia usage was decreased with the passage of time in non-epidural analgesia group. Other treatment methods like massage with local anesthetic creams usage were same in epidural and non-epidural analgesia group. There was only 1 patient in non-epidural analgesia group who had physiotherapy.

DISCUSSION:

The current study shows that there is no difference in the prevalence of low back pain on day 1 and day 7 after delivery in epidural analgesia and non-epidural analgesia group. Women in epidural analgesia were educated. Working women chose epidural analgesia that shows they have more knowledge about labor epidural analgesia. Mean duration of labor was high in epidural analgesia than non-epidural analgesia group ($P<0.01$)

A study reported that women who had back pain followed by epidural analgesia are common but persistent back pain is less common. Women who had previous history of back pain were prone to have

more pain in postpartum period followed by epidural anesthesia [19]. Another study has reported spinal and epidural analgesia have not complications like back pain hence they are more safe and reliable [20]. In contrast Robin Rusell et al reported that there was increased number of back pain in women who had epidural analgesia [21]

R Russell et al has shown that there was no difference in nature of back pain between who receive epidural analgesia and who did not. Therefore back pain is not associated with epidural analgesia [22]. The choice of having epidural analgesia depends on different factors and one of the most important factors was frequency of backache in previous pregnancy. However it was remarkably high in non-epidural analgesia than epidural analgesia ($P=0.006$) back pain in previous pregnancy was one of the major reason to reject epidural in current labor.

A study conducted by Buttler and fuller reported that prevalence of low back pain in both group was same after 1 day of delivery whereas long term back pain was found in 7.5% and 6.9% of patients at 3 months in epidural and non-epidural analgesia group in contrast another study has given their values of 3 months follow up which was 3.9% versus 11.3% back pain in epidural and non-epidural analgesia [23]

There was no any link found between number of attempts for epidural catheter insertion and low back pain. The most confound result was prevalence of low back pain on 1st post natal day was same in both groups.

CONCLUSION:

Current study results showed that there is no link between epidural analgesia and low back pain whereas an increased number of persistent pain was found among women without epidural analgesia, previous history of back pain and primiparous. The actual cause of back pain is still under debate.

REFERENCE:

1. kristiansson P, Svardsudd K, VonSchoultz B. Back pain during pregnancy: a prospective study. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 1996 Mar; 21:702–709.
2. Mogren IM, Pohjanen AI. Low back pain and pelvic pain during pregnancy: prevalence

and risk factors. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*.2005 Apr 15; 30(8):983-91.

3. Ostgaard HC, Andersson GB, Karlsson K. Prevalence of back pain in pregnancy. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*.1991 May;16 (5):549-52.
4. Mogren IM. Does caesarean section negatively influence the postpartum prognosis of low back pain and pelvic pain during pregnancy? *Eur Spine J*.2007 Jan; 16(1):115-21. Epub 2006 May 5.
5. Ostgaard HC, Andersson GB. Postpartum low-back pain. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*.1992 Jan;17(1):53-5
6. Oostgaard HC, Roos-Hansson E, Zetherstrom G. Regression of back and posterior pelvic pain after pregnancy. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*.1996 Dec 1;21(23):2777-80.
7. Noren L, Ostgaard S, Johansson G, Ostgaard HC. Lumbar back and posterior pelvic pain during pregnancy: a 3-year follow-up. *Eur Spine J*.2002 Jun;11(3):267-71.
8. Joshi A, Joshi C. Comparative study of occurrence of postpartum low back pain (LBPP) after normal delivery versus cesarean section (CS) following spinal anaesthesia and its rehabilitative management. *International Journal of Therapies and Rehabilitation Research* 2016; 5 (4): 24-27.
9. Comparison of Incidence of Low Back Pain in Women with Normal Vaginal Delivery and Cesarean Section 18 Vol. 29 (4) December, 20179.
10. Cancado TO, Omais M, Ashmawi HA, Torres MLA. Chronic pain after Caesarean section. Influence of anesthetic/surgical technique and postoperative analgesia. *Rev Bras Anestesiol* 2012 Nov-Dec; 62:6:762-774.
11. Breen TW, Ransil BJ, Groves PA, Oriol NE. Factors associated with back pain after childbirth. *Anesthesiology* 1994 Jul; 81:29–34.
12. Howell CJ, Dean T, Lucking LD, Ziedic K, Jones PW, Johanson RB. Randomized study of long term outcome after epidural versus non-epidural analgesia during labour. *BMJ* 2002 Aug; 325:357.
13. Russell R, Dundas R, Reynolds F. Long

- term backache after childbirth:prospective search for causativefactors. *BMJ* 1996 Jun;312:1384–1388.
14. Wang CH, Cheng KW, Neoh CA, TangS, Jawan B, Lee JH Comparisonof the incidence of postpartum low backpain in natural childbirth and caesareansection with spinal anesthesia. *ActaAnaesthesiol Sin* 1994 Dec;32:243–246.
 15. <https://www.medicalnewstoday.com/articles/324545.php>
 16. Mc Evilly M, Buggy D. Back pain and pregnancy: a review. *Pain* 1996Mar;64:405-414.
 17. Chia YY, Lo Y, Chen YB, Liu CP, Haung WC, Wen CH. Risk of chronic low back pain among parturientwho undergocesarean delivery with neuraxial anesthesia. *Medicine (Baltimore)*2016Apr;95
 18. Anil Kumar Joshi, Chitra Joshi.Comparativestudy of occurrence of post partum low back and pelvic pain after normal delivery versus Caesarean section following spinal anesthesia and its rehabilitative management. *International journal of therapies and rehabilitation research* 2016;5(4):24-29.
 19. Russell R, Groves P, Taub N, O’Dowd J, Reynolds F. Assessing long term backache after childbirth. *BMJ*. 1993;306:1299–303.
 20. Butler R, Fuller J. Back pain following epidural anaesthesia in labour. *Can J Anaesth*. 1998;45:724–8.
 21. Moschini V, Marra G, Dabrowska D. Complications of epidural and combined spinal-epidural analgesia in labour. *Minerva Anesthesiol*. 2006;72:47–58.
 22. Wong MW, To WW. Experience of back pain symptoms and the choice of epidural analgesia in labour: A patient questionnaire survey. *Hong Kong J Gynaecol Obstet Midwifery*. 2007;7:16–22.
 23. Butler R, Fuller J. Back pain following epidural anaesthesia in labour. *Can J Anaesth*. 1998;45:724–8.