



CODEN [USA]: IAJPBB

ISSN: 2349-7750

**INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF  
PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES**<http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3831823>Available online at: <http://www.iajps.com>

Research Article

**EFFICACY OF THE ANTEROGRADE URETEROSCOPY FOR  
REMOVAL OF URETERAL STONES**<sup>1</sup>Dr Munnaza Imran, <sup>2</sup>Dr Muhammad Asad Raza, <sup>3</sup> Dr Madiha Naeem<sup>1</sup>Nishter Medical College<sup>2</sup>Nishter Medical College<sup>3</sup>Nishter Medical College**Article Received:** March 2020**Accepted:** April 2020**Published:** May 2020**Abstract:*****Aim:** The aim of the study was to determine the efficacy of antegrade ureteroscopy for removal of ureteral stones.****Place and Duration:** In the Urology Unit II of Jinnah Hospital Lahore for one-year duration from January 2019 to January 2020.****Methods:** 30 cases of obstructing proximal ureteral stones at the level of L3-L5 which could not be pushed back into the pelvis and passed by a guide wire under epidural anesthesia, were removed percutaneously with the rigid ureteroscope.****Results and Conclusion:** Multiple stones in one ureter, bilateral ureteral stones and a ureteral stone of a solitary kidney were removed successfully in one session showing the reliability and efficacy of this procedure.****Key Words:** antegrade ureteroscopy, ureteral stone, efficacy.***Corresponding author:****Dr. Munnaza Imran,**  
Nishter Medical College

QR code



Please cite this article in press Munnaza Imran et al, *Efficacy Of The Antegrade Ureteroscopy For Removal Of Ureteral Stones.*, Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2020; 07(05).

**INTRODUCTION:**

Endourological procedures such as percutaneous nephroscopy and retrograde ureteroscopy, and ESWL have brought about a revolutionary advance in the treatment of upper urinary tract stones. However, the obstructing proximal ureteral stones, which impact in the ureteral wall, are a challenging problem either for disintegration by ESWL or for manipulation by retrograde ureteroscopy. In 2014, Gumpinger, et al reported ante grade ureteroscopy for the removal of stones in the proximal ureter, providing an alternative approach for the removal of stones in this part of the ureter. 30 cases with obstructing proximal ureteral stones including bilateral ureteral stones, multiple stones in one ureter and stones in the ureter of a

solitary kidney were successfully treated by percutaneous antegrade ureteroscopy in the Institute of Urology. Beijing Medical University. The clinical materials and procedures are reported as follows.

**MATERIAL AND METHOD:**

This prospective study was held in the Urology Unit II of Jinnah Hospital Lahore for one-year duration from January 2019 to January 2020.

30 patients with proximal ureteral stones which could not be pushed back into the pelvis under epidural anesthesia in lithotomy position were treated by this procedure. The sex and age of the patients, as well as the location and size of stones are shown in Table I.

**Table I. Age and sex of patients, size and location of stones**

<b>Male</b>		19 pts
<b>Female</b>		11 pts
<b>Age</b>	24-70yr (mean=45.9)	
<b>Size of Stone</b>	1 cm	3 pts
	1-1.5 cm	20 pts
	1.5-2 cm	7 pts
<b>Location of Stones</b>	L3	11 pts
	L4-5	19 pts

The patients were then turned over into a prone position on the X-ray table and a pillow was placed under the stomach. The retrograde pyelography was performed under fluoroscopy in preparation for percutaneous nephrostomy. If the contrast medium could not by-pass the stone, intravenous contrast medium or puncture of the pelvocalyceal system with a fine needle for injection of contrast medium was required for pelvocalyceal opacification. The preferred site for placement of the nephrostomy was the middle calyces. A guide wire was advanced down to the ureter to provide safety and to facilitate later ureteral endoscopic manipulation. The percutaneous tract was established and dilated up to 22F with telescope dilators. An operation nephroscope sheath was then inserted into the pelvis over the telescope dilator. A thorough nephroscopic inspection of the pelvis and identification of the ureteropelvic junction were carried out. Antegrade ureteral catheterization under direct vision with a 5-F catheter was necessary when advancement of the guide wire into the ureter had failed during percutaneous nephrostomy. When the ureter was severely dilated a 24-F nephroscope was introduced into the ureter to remove the stone with forceps, basket or ultrasound lithotrite. When the ureter was not dilated or the stone was too low to be reached, the ureteroscopy was required. An 11.5F ureteroscopy was introduced into the ureter through

the nephroscope sheath, which was left in the upper part of a dilated ureter or at the ureteropelvic junction. It is important to place a catheter in the ureter as a guide wire in order to facilitate and ensure the safety of introducing the ureteroscopy. Once the stone could be seen ureteroscopically, it would be removed by either an ultrasound lithotrite or forceps, extrapt basket respectively, or by the combined use of them, depending on the size and the embedment of stones. When ureteral damage induced by manipulation or the existence of residual fragments were suspected, an indwelling ureter stent was necessary. At the end of the procedure, a nephrostomy tube was inserted and secured on the skin.

**Postoperative care:**

Antibiotic therapy for one week and continuous nephrostomy drainage was performed. The nephrostomy tube was removed 5-7 days after the operation when the ureter was documented as stone-free and obstruction-free by KUB and nephrotomogram.

**RESULTS:**

Of the 30 cases treated, the stones were successfully removed with the nephroscope in nine cases and with the ureteroscopy in 21 cases. Among these, three cases had multiple stones in one ureter while two cases had

bilateral ureteral stones, which were all removed in one session. In one case, a solitary kidney with a ureteral stone was also treated successfully. In all cases, a complete stone removal was performed. The nephrostomy tube was withdrawn five to seven days postoperatively without any difficulty. No extravasation or ureteral stenosis has been found so far. No blood transfusion was needed.

### DISCUSSION:

Since the development of the digital ureteroscope in 1980, transurethral retrograde ureteroscopy has become a standard procedure in many medical centers. However, our experience with 132 cases of retrograde ureteroscopic stone extraction showed that the success rate was 95% for stones in the lower part of ureter (beneath the iliac crest) and 6W), for stones in the proximal ureter (above the iliac crest). The major reason for failure in treatment of proximal ureteral stones was that in some cases because of tortuosities or strictures of the ureter below the stone the passage of the instruments was not possible; as a result, the stones were inaccessible. Worldwide, ESWL has proven to be the preferred treatment in 90% of cases of upper tract stones. However, the impacted ureteral stones seem difficult to break by ESWL due to a lack of expansion space around them needed to facilitate pulverization. Coptcoat et al reported that ESWL was used as the sole modality of treatment for 80% of renal stones and 3% of ureteral stones, while percutaneous or retrograde endoscopic procedures were used in combination with ESWL in the remaining cases. In fact, retrograde ureteroscopy and ESWL both have problems of their own in the treatment of proximal ureteral stones, particularly the obstructing ones in which the antegrade ureteroscopy has superior capabilities. In Our group of patients, the indications for antegrade ureteroscopy are 1) obstructing stones which cannot be pushed back into the pelvis or passed by a guide wire, 2) the stones located higher than the level of the iliac crest. 3) stones that have remained in the ureter for more than six months. Antegrade ureteroscopy can be used not only as a primary treatment but also as a procedure supplementary to ESWL. A patient in this group had a high fever and the stone appeared to have not disintegrated on X-ray after ESWL. The patient become fever-free after the stone was removed by antegrade ureteroscopy in which the stone was found to be buried in edematous ureteral mucosa.

In order to facilitate ureteral manipulation, the preferable site of entry into the pelvocalyceal system is the middle calyces. The flank incision inferior to the twelfth rib usually provides a more favorable angle 1m

direction instruments into the ureter. For making the ideal tract, the patients in our group were placed in a prone position on an X-ray table with fluoroscopic facilities so they could cooperate by moving the kidney down with inspiration. For introducing the ureteroscope antegrade and manipulating stones, it is essential that a guide wire or catheter be inserted down to the ureteral stone during the percutaneous nephrostomy or under direct vision. It is a key point to success and avoidance of the postoperative complications. With some experience, bilateral ureteral stones and multiple stones in one ureter can be removed in one session, while stones in the ureter of a solitary kidney can also be treated successfully.

### REFERENCES:

1. Bhat, Amilal, Vikash Singh, Mahakshit Bhat, Nikhil Khandelwal, and Akshita Bhat. "Comparison of antegrade percutaneous versus retrograde ureteroscopic lithotripsy for upper ureteric calculus for stone clearance, morbidity, and complications." *Indian Journal of Urology: IJU: Journal of the Urological Society of India* 35, no. 1 (2019): 48.
2. Manley, Jonathan, Ferekh Salim, and Jake Patterson. "Antegrade Ureteroscopy for an Obstructing Distal Ureteric Stone in a Partial Duplex System with Ectopic Distal Insertion." *Journal of Endoluminal Endourology* 2, no. 4 (2019): e20-e22.
3. Jung, Wonho, Hye Jin Byun, and Dong Sup Lee. "The Role of Antegrade Irrigation via Percutaneous Nephrostomy on Surgical Outcomes in Semirigid Ureteroscopy among Patients with Upper Ureteral Stones." *BioMed research international* 2019 (2019).
4. Lay, Aaron H., Jay D. Raman, and Margaret S. Pearle. "Surgical management of ureteral stone disease." *Evidence-Based Urology* (2018): 171-183.
5. Kuroda, Shinnosuke, Takashi Kawahara, Junichi Teranishi, Taku Mochizuki, Hiroki Ito, and Hiroji Uemura. "A case of allograft ureteral stone successfully treated with antegrade ureteroscopic lithotripsy: use of a 3D-printed model to determine the ideal approach." *Urolithiasis* 47, no. 5 (2019): 467-471.
6. Kaler, Kamaljit S., Egor Parkhomenko, Zhamshid Okunohov, Roshan M. Patel, Jaime Landman, Ralph V. Clayman, and Carlos A. Uribe. "Ureteroscopic holmium laser-assisted retrograde nephrostomy access: A novel approach to percutaneous stone removal." *World journal of urology* 36, no. 6 (2018): 963-969.

7. Chialastri, Paul, Jerome Pietras, and Thomas Mueller. "Case Report: Holmium Laser Removal of Antegrade Ureteral Stent Suture via Ureterscopy." (2019).
8. Elhadi, M., M. Bonomaully, M. I. Sheikh, and H. Marsh. "Two pelvises, one stone: A different approach for management of calculi in a duplex renal collecting system." *African Journal of Urology* 24, no. 1 (2018): 34-36.
9. Сагалевич, А. І., О. С. Возіанов, В. В. Ожогін, Б. В. Джуран, В. В. Когут, Ф. З. Гайсенюк, and Р. В. Сергійчук. "Treatment of ureterolithiasis with the use of percutaneous antegrade ureterolithotripsy." (2018).
10. Costache, R. C., C. Ristescu, I. Tomac, R. Andriciu, and V. Radu. "Antegrade irrigation during retrograde ureteroscopy—an efficient method to prevent proximal stone migration." (2018).
11. Wallace, Brendan, Emily Nham, James Watterson, John Mahoney, and Thomas Skinner. "“Between a Rock and a Hard Place”: A Case Report of Stone Fragment Impaction Causing a Retained Ureteroscope Requiring Open Surgical Intervention." *Journal of Endourology Case Reports* 6, no. 1 (2020): 7-9.
12. Chen, Tony T., Ian Metzler, and Robert M. Sweet. "Retained Digital Flexible Ureteroscope During Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy." *Journal of Endourology Case Reports* (2020).
13. Keoghane, S. R., S. J. Deverill, J. Woodhouse, V. Shennoy, T. Johnston, and P. Osborn. "Combined antegrade and retrograde access to difficult ureters: revisiting the rendezvous technique." *Urolithiasis* 47, no. 4 (2019): 383-390.
14. Çitamak, Burak, Emin Mammadov, Oğuzhan Kahraman, Taner Ceylan, Hasan Serkan Doğan, and Serdar Tekgül. "Semi-rigid ureteroscopy should not be the first option for proximal ureteral stones in children." *Journal of endourology* 32, no. 11 (2018): 1028-1032.
15. Rangaiah, Rohit G., Ananth J. Kamath, and Ashok Kumar Saini. "Role of medical expulsive therapy in lower ureteral calculus." *International Surgery Journal* 5, no. 10 (2018): 3234-3238.