



CODEN [USA]: IAJPBB

ISSN: 2349-7750

**INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF
PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES**<http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3831843>Available online at: <http://www.iajps.com>

Research Article

**ANALYSIS OF YOGURT AND COMMERCIAL PROBIOTICS
CONSUMPTION IN THE DURATION OF DIARRHEA IN
CHILDREN**Dr. Arslan Haider¹, Dr. Sumaira Jamil², Dr. Bushra Nasir³¹Post Graduate Resident Pediatric Medicine Lahore General Hospital (LGH)²Sharif Medical and Dental College, Lahore³Lahore Medical and Dental college Lahore

Article Received: March 2020

Accepted: April 2020

Published: May 2020

Abstract:

Introduction: Probiotics are living microbial food supplements which beneficially affect the host body by improving its microbial balance. **Objectives of the study:** The basic aim of the study was to analyze the yogurt and commercial probiotics consumption in the duration of diarrhea in children. **Methodology of the study:** This cross-sectional study was conducted at Lahore General Hospital during January 2019 to September 2019. For this purpose of study we select the children of age 2 years to 10 years who consume the yogurt on daily basis. We get all the data related to dietary intake of selected participants. During the run-in period after stratification for body mass index (BMI) and age, participants were requested to record their dietary intakes for three nonconsecutive days. We select 70 participants for this study and divided into two groups. **Results:** Seventy children completed the study (29 girls, 41 boys; age 6.6 ± 3.0 years; weight 28.2 ± 11.0 kg; 36 placebo group, 34 probiotic group). Baseline characteristics were generally similar, but there were relatively more girls in the placebo group than in the probiotic group, and more children in the placebo group received antibiotic treatment. Analyses were also conducted to assess the differences in results for children with and without known susceptibility of diarrhoea in response to antibiotic treatment, and these differences were not significant (all $p > 0.7$). **Conclusion:** It is concluded that probiotics effect on diarrhea is controversial and it is the different patient materials (various diseases) and the different probiotic strains that have been used.

Corresponding author:**Dr. Arslan Haider,**

Post Graduate Resident Pediatric Medicine Lahore General Hospital (LGH)

QR code



Please cite this article in press Arslan Haider et al, *Analysis Of Yogurt And Commercial Probiotics Consumption In The Duration Of Diarrhea In Children.*, Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2020; 07(05).

INTRODUCTION:

Probiotics are living microbial food supplements which beneficially affect the host body by improving its microbial balance. It has been indicated that the intake of probiotic product supplements have potential health benefits for healthy persons and also in disease prevention [1]. Some probiotic strains exert antioxidant activity and may be useful in reducing systemic oxidative stress and also stimulating the immune system, reducing inflammation and preventing cytokine-induced oxidative stress properties [2].

Oxidative stress which is referred to imbalance between prooxidants and antioxidants is very common in petrochemical workers. Exposure to petrochemical contaminants such as benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes and diesel exhaust particulate leads to increased production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and free radicals, which in turn would result in increased oxidative stress. Increase in oxidative stress is widely thought as a mechanism involved in developing cancer, diabetes, Parkinson's disease, Alzheimer's disease, cardiac disease, neurological and psychiatric disorders [3]. Moreover, increased risk of some cancers such as melanoma, bladder and prostate carcinoma and pulmonary mesothelioma has been reported in petrochemical workers.

Gastrointestinal (GI) upset is a well-known complication of broad-spectrum antibiotics, especially the β -lactams, clindamycin and vancomycin [4]. These antibiotics may affect the function of normal bowel flora, cause overgrowth of unfavourable species such as *Staphylococcus*, *Candida*, *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Klebsiella* and *Clostridium* or cause changes in intestinal mucosa and motility. These changes often present as antibiotic-associated diarrhoea (AAD), which is distressing to both patients and carers and may result in poor compliance with antibiotic therapy [5]. The frequency of AAD depends on the significant (all $p > 0.7$).

Table 01: Comparison of cases of diarrhoea by different definitions in placebo and probiotic groups

Definitions of diarrhoea	Placebo cases/total participants	Probiotic cases/total participants	p Value
A (SC ≥ 5 , ≥ 2 stools/day for ≥ 2 days)	21/36	1/34	<0.001
B (SC ≥ 5 , ≥ 3 stools/day for ≥ 2 days)	16/36	0/34	<0.001
C (SC ≥ 6 , ≥ 2 stools/day for ≥ 2 days)	8/36	0/34	0.005
D (SC ≥ 6 , ≥ 3 stools/day for ≥ 2 days)	6/36	0/34	0.025
Any of the above	27/36	1/34	<0.001

Fisher's exact analysis. Stool consistency (SC) data is based on the Bristol Stool Scale.

Holm–Bonferroni corrected α values: A=0.01; B=0.0125; C=0.025; D=0.05; Any=0.0167.

definition of diarrhoea and the age of the patient but is estimated to be between 11% and 30% for children on oral antibiotics [6].

Objectives of the study

The basic aim of the study was to analyze the yogurt and commercial probiotics consumption in the duration of diarrhea in children.

METHODOLOGY OF THE STUDY:

This cross-sectional study was conducted at- Lahore General Hospital during January 2019 to September 2019. For this purpose of study, we select the children of age 2 years to 10 years who consume the yogurt on daily basis. We get all the data related to dietary intake of selected participants. During the run-in period after stratification for body mass index (BMI) and age, participants were requested to record their dietary intakes for three nonconsecutive days. We select 30 participants for this study and divided into two groups. One group was those who daily intake probiotic yogurt and one was those who do not intake yogurt.

Each experiment was repeated three times and all data were displayed in mean \pm SD and analysed through SPSS 19.0 (IBM, USA). T-test and one-way ANOVA were applied for measuring comparison among groups. $P < 0.05$ was considered to have statistical meaning.

RESULTS:

Seventy children completed the study (29 girls, 41 boys; age 6.6 ± 3.0 years; weight 28.2 ± 11.0 kg; 36 placebo group, 34 probiotic group). Baseline characteristics were generally similar, but there were relatively more girls in the placebo group than in the probiotic group, and more children in the placebo group received antibiotic treatment. Analyses were also conducted to assess the differences in results for children with and without known susceptibility of diarrhoea in response to antibiotic treatment, and these differences were not

DISCUSSION:

Prior studies using different probiotics have shown variable effects and meta-analyses show equivocal results due to lack of homogeneity between studies. This suggests that not all probiotics are the same, so each probiotic needs to be tested for its efficacy in a specific context [7,8]. A recent review recommends further studies of probiotics in an outpatient setting. This research begins to fill this gap. In general, previous studies have reported on the incidence of diarrhoea as an all-or-none phenomenon and as such may not reflect the real world impact of GI distress. Our study demonstrates that there is a wide spectrum of apparent response to probiotics, depending on which levels of disease severity are analysed: mild events are associated with relatively low estimates of benefits from probiotics, whilst more severe events are associated with much larger estimates of benefits. Thus the apparent heterogeneity may be due in part to measurement issues [9].

On contrary to role of probiotics in the prevention of nosocomial diarrhea, we have only limited evidence of the role of probiotics in the prevention of nosocomial respiratory tract infection outside of intensive care unit. There are only two (although big) RCTs [10].

CONCLUSION:

It is concluded that probiotics effect on diarrhea is controversial and it is the different patient materials (various diseases) and the different probiotic strains that have been used.

REFERENCES:

1. Vattanasit U, Navasumrit P, Khadka MB, Kanitwithayanun J, Promvijit J, Autrup H, et al. Oxidative DNA damage and inflammatory responses in cultured human cells and in humans exposed to traffic-related particles. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*. 2014;217:23–33.
2. Gun RT, Pratt NL, Griffith EC, Adams GG, Bisby JA, Robinson KL. Update of a prospective study of mortality and cancer incidence in the Australian petroleum industry. *Occup Environ Med*. 2004;61:150–6.
3. Zhang X, Tao N, Wang X, Chen F, Wang M. The colorants, antioxidants, and toxicants from nonenzymatic browning reactions and the impacts of dietary polyphenols on their thermal formation. *Food Funct*. 2015;6:345–55.
4. Rijkers GT, de Vos WM, Brummer RJ, Morelli L, Corthier G, Marteau P. Health benefits and health claims of probiotics: Bridging science and marketing. *Br J Nutr*. 2011;106:1291–6.
5. Sadrzadeh-Yeganeh H, Elmadfa I, Djazayeri A, Jalali M, Heshmat R, Chamary M. The effects of probiotic and conventional yoghurt on lipid profile in women. *Br J Nutr*. 2010;103:1778–83.
6. Asemi Z, Jazayeri S, Najafi M, Samimi M, Mofid V, Shidfar F, et al. Effect of daily consumption of probiotic yogurt on oxidative stress in pregnant women: A randomized controlled clinical trial. *Ann Nutr Metab*. 2012;60:62–8.
7. Dinleyici EC, Eren M, Ozen M, Yargic ZA, Vandenplas Y. Effectiveness and safety of *Saccharomyces boulardii* for acute infectious diarrhea. *Expert Opin Biol Ther*. 2012;12:395–410.
8. Teemu H, Seppo S, Jussi M, Raija T, Kalle L. Reversible surface binding of cadmium and lead by lactic acid and bifidobacteria. *Int J Food Microbiol*. 2008;125:170–5.
9. Heyman M, Ménard S. Probiotic microorganisms: How they affect intestinal pathophysiology. *Cell Mol Life Sci*. 2002;59:1151–65.
10. Gordon M, Akobeng A. Racecadotril for acute diarrhoea in children: systematic review and meta-analyses. *Arch Dis Child*. 2016;101:234–240.