



CODEN [USA]: IAJPBB

ISSN : 2349-7750

**INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF
PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES**

SJIF Impact Factor: 7.187

<http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4244764>Available online at: <http://www.iajps.com>

Research Article

**THE OUTCOMES OF PULMONARY PHYSICAL THERAPY
AMONG PATIENTS WITH RESPIRATORY FAILURE**Dr Shaista Khalid¹, Dr Zainab Riaz², Dr Ahmad Zaki³¹Mohi ud Din Islamic Medical College Mirpur AJ&K²WMO in Anaesthesia Department at DHQ Hospital, Dera Ghazi Khan³Khyber Medical University, Peshawar**Article Received:** September 2020 **Accepted:** October 2020 **Published:** November 2020**Abstract:**

Introduction: Our aim was to investigate the effects of pulmonary physical therapy in patients with respiratory failure.

Place and Duration: In the Pulmonology department of Mohi ud Din Teaching Hospital, Mirpur AJK for one-year duration from March 2019 to March 2020.

Methods: A total of 132 patients with respiratory failure were enrolled and divided into a control group (n = 66) and an observation group (n = 66). Patients in both groups received conventional physiotherapy, but those in the observation group additionally received pulmonary physical therapy. The functions of ventilation and air exchange, assessment of acute physiology and chronic health assessment II (APACHE II) as well as the occurrence of complications in patients in both groups were compared.

Results: PaO₂, PaCO₂, PaO₂ / FiO₂ and estimated FEV₂% improved significantly in patients in both groups after treatment, and patients in the observation group were better than in the control group; the differences were statistically significant (P = 0.014). There were no statistically significant differences in the patients' APACHE II scores in both groups before treatment and 2 and 3 days after treatment. The patients' APACHE II scores in the observation group were obviously lower than in the other group at 4 days and 7 days after treatment, and the differences were statistically significant (p = 0.015, 0.029). The total rates of complications in the observation and control groups were 7.57% and 39.39%, respectively, and the difference was statistically significant (p = 0.021).

Conclusion: Treating patients of respiratory failure with pulmonary physical therapy can greatly improve ventilation and air exchange functions, avoid complications, and improve health.

Key words: respiratory failure, pulmonary physical therapy, therapeutic effect

Corresponding author:**Dr. Shaista Khalid,**

Mohi ud Din Islamic Medical College Mirpur AJ&K

QR code



Please cite this article in press Shaista Khalid et al, *The Outcomes Of Pulmonary Physical Therapy Among Patients With Respiratory Failure.*, Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2020; 07(11).

INTRODUCTION:

Respiratory failure is a severe respiratory failure with complex causes. Patients are usually accompanied by increased PaCO₂ and decreased PaO₂¹⁻². Clinically, in most cases, patients are treated with ventilator therapy, which can significantly reduce the patient's respiratory energy consumption and reduce the risk of treatment³⁻⁴. However, invasive mechanical ventilation can lead to complications in patients such as atelectasis and pneumonia associated with the respiratory muscles. Deep vein thrombosis (DVT) will occur due to patients' long-term limited activity⁵⁻⁶. For pulmonary physical therapy, standardized nursing procedures are used to maintain normal lung ventilation and air exchange function through physical measurements such as lung health assessment, back blows, aerosol inhalation, coughing movements, vibrating phlegm, postural drainage, and sputum suction. It is commonly used to treat patients in the intensive care unit⁷⁻⁸. This study investigated the effect of using pulmonary physical therapy in patients with respiratory failure to provide a reference for clinical treatment at a later stage. Now it is analyzed and reported as follows.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

This study was held the Pulmonology department of Mohi ud Din Teaching Hospital, Mirpur AJK for one-year duration from March 2019 to March 2020. A total of 132 respiratory failure patients were selected as study subjects. There were 66 patients in the observation group, including 47 men and 19 women, aged 18 to 71 years, with a mean age (62.18 ± 4.28) years. There were 66 patients in the control group, including 45 men and 21 women, aged 19 to 70 years, with an average age (61.77 ± 5.03) years. There were no statistically significant differences in the overall patient data in both groups. Therefore, they were comparable. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee.

Inclusion criteria: 1) Patients treated with invasive mechanical ventilation for ≥ 48 h; 2) patients aged ≥ 18 years; 3) patients with an endotracheal tube placed through the mouth; 4) patients with good lung function; 5) patients who volunteered to participate in the study and signed the informed consent.

Exclusion criteria: 1) Patients with contraindications to pulmonary physical therapy; 2) patients with a fracture of the sternum or rib; 3) patients with complications of bleeding or clotting dysfunction; 4) patients who surrendered or died; 5) patients with severe mental disorders. All patients in both groups received conventional treatments such as a blow to the back, rollover, and postural drainage. Patients

from the observation group were additionally treated with pulmonary physiotherapy: 1) the qualified patients underwent pulmonary physical therapy after assessing their disease state, contraindications and indicating patients; 2) Manipulative Lung Filling: Patients were administered manipulative lung filling 2 times daily. An artificial respiration bag with an oxygen storage device was connected to oxygen at a flow rate of 8 l / min to 10 l / min. Tidal volume was 1.5 times the normal volume; the compression frequency was 10-12 times / min; patients' breathing was held for 2 s after the end of air aspiration. The artificial respiratory bag was released rapidly on exhalation to create a pressure difference inside and outside the airway so as to exclude secretion in the airways; 3) Vibration: The vibrating sputum removal device was used on the patients twice a day for 15-20 mins at a time with a frequency of 20-30 cps; 4) Early rehabilitation of function: Patients were involved in active and passive limb movements, including fist exercises, arm lifting exercises, knee and lower limb flexion and extension exercises, ankle pump exercises, breathing and expectoration exercises once a day and 30 minutes per every time. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee. The functions of ventilation and air exchange, the acute physiology and chronic health status II (APACHE II) scores at different time points and the occurrence of complications in patients in both groups were compared. 1) Arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂), arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCO₂), oxygenation index (PaO₂ / FiO₂) and lung function (estimated FEV₂%) were monitored in the patients; 2) patients' APACHE II scores were monitored before treatment and 2 days, 3 days, 4 days and 7 days after treatment; 3) complications: including ventilator pneumonia, pulmonary atelectasis, pulmonary edema, and deep vein thrombosis (DVT). Statistical analysis for products and services (SPSS) 20.0 (Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Measurement data and count data were determined by t-test and x²-test. P <0.05 suggested that the difference was statistically significant.

RESULTS:

Comparison of ventilation and air change function
Differences in PaO₂, PaCO₂, PaO₂ / FiO₂ and estimated FEV₂% in patients in both groups were not statistically significant before treatment (p = 0.065, 0.087, 0.077, 0.131). Compared with the patients before treatment, PaO₂, PaCO₂, PaO₂ / FiO₂ and estimated FEV₂% in patients after treatment improved significantly. The observation group was superior to the control group, and the differences

were statistically significant ($p = 0.014, 0.045, 0.035, 0.020$) (Table 1).

Table 1: Comparisons of ventilation and air exchanging functions

Group	PaO ₂ (mmHg)		PaCO ₂ (mmHg)		PaO ₂ /FiO ₂		Estimated FEV ₂ %	
	Before treatment	After treatment	Before treatment	After treatment	Before treatment	After treatment	Before treatment	After treatment
Observation group (n=66)	49.94±13.2 1	78.39±8.9 5	75.34±11.9 3	63.17±9.5 5	238.53±22. 44	316.28±9.6 3	49.34±4.2 4	76.59±5.2 2
Control group (n=66)	48.68±12.2 8	63.54±9.0 4	77.27±10.7 1	71.08±9.1 3	243.26±23. 45	281.78±9.7 1	50.38±4.3 8	62.69±4.7 1
<i>t</i> value	1.921	2.559	1.693	2.013	1.813	2.317	1.629	2.511
<i>P</i> value	0.065	0.014	0.087	0.045	0.077	0.035	0.131	0.020

Compare APACHE II results at different time points

The differences in the patients' APACHE II scores in both groups were not statistically significant before treatment and after 2 and 3 days after treatment ($p = 0.079, 0.068, 0.054$). The APACHE II results of the patients in the observation group were obviously lower than those of the control group at 4 days and 7 days after treatment, and the differences were statistically significant ($p = 0.015, 0.029$) (Table 2).

Table 2: Comparisons of APACHE II scores at different time points

Group	APACHE II score				
	Before treatment	2 d after treatment	3 d after treatment	4 d after treatment	7 d after treatment
Observation group (n=66)	18.34±5.37	14.08±5.12	12.44±5.02	8.70±4.55	7.71±5.03
Control group (n=66)	18.16±5.16	13.72±4.88	12.31±4.75	12.01±5.32	11.78±4.87
<i>t</i> value	1.783	1.912	1.950	2.557	2.435
<i>P</i> value	0.079	0.068	0.054	0.015	0.029

Comparing complications

The overall rates of complications in patients in the observation group and the control group were 7.57% and 39.39%, respectively, and the difference was statistically significant ($p = 0.021$) (Table 3).

Table 3: Comparisons of complications [n (%)]

Group	Ventilator-related atelectasis	Pulmonary pneumonia	Pulmonary edema	DVT	Overall incidence rate
Observation group (n=66)	4 (6.06)	0 (0.00)	1 (1.51)	0 (0.00)	5 (7.57)
Control group (n=66)	16 (24.24)	6 (9.09)	2 (3.03)	2 (3.03)	26 (39.39)
<i>t</i> value					6.921
<i>P</i> value					0.021

DISCUSSION:

Lung physiotherapy is a treatment method that combines the physiological characteristics and the physical principles of the body in the treatment and prevention of lung diseases, that drains the respiratory secretions with a better effect based on the principle of physical methods, to keep the airways open and maintain the normal state of the airways⁹⁻¹⁰. There is a physical regimen throughout the entire process, from sputum production to coughing up the airways. During the sputum secretion process, sputum in the airways can be loosened by inhalation

of the aerosol, postural conversion, and vibration of the chest wall to reduce the viscosity of the sputum. At the same time, vibration outside the chest wall, lung inflation, and other techniques can also enhance coughing and help eliminate sputum. Clinical treatment of respiratory failure as a complex physiological disorder requires airway patency and electrolyte stabilization¹¹. Therefore, this study investigated the effect of pulmonary physical therapy in patients with respiratory failure to provide a reference to clinical treatment later on. The results of this study showed that PaO₂, PaCO₂, PaO₂ / FiO₂

and estimated FEV₂% in patients in both groups improved significantly after treatment compared to those before treatment¹²⁻¹³. The above values in the observation group patients were higher than in the control patients and the differences were statistically significant ($p = 0.065, 0.087, 0.077, 0.131$), indicating that the patients' ventilation and air exchange functions in the observation group were significantly improved. This is mainly because pulmonary physiotherapy assesses the condition of the lungs, and measures such as postural drainage, breathing exercises, lung dilation, vibration and percussion, kinesiotherapy, and airway suction are used to help bronchial and alveolar secretions¹⁴. Large bronchi, improve oxygenation and ventilation, improve lung compliance, promote lung inflation, and ensure a clean trachea. Meanwhile, the present study found that the incidence of complications in the observation and control groups was 7.57% and 39.39%, respectively, and the difference was statistically significant ($p = 0.021$). This may be because pulmonary physical therapy can improve the success rate of ventilator disconnection in patients with respiratory failure and shorten the time of withdrawal, thus reducing the rate of complications related to ventilation. Clinically, the APACHE II scoring is now widely used in intensive care units and in the most authoritative critical illness assessment system that can define the condition of patients with severe disease. It can also lead to an objective prediction of mortality in these patients. This can be the basis for helping physicians to review the care plan in a timely manner and use medical resources rationally, thus improving the quality of care¹⁵. Our study showed that there were no significant differences in APACHE II scores between patients in both groups before treatment and 2 and 3 days after treatment ($P = 0.079, 0.068, 0.054$). The APACHE II results in the observation group at 4 days and 7 days after treatment were significantly lower than in the control group ($P = 0.015, 0.029$). This is because patients can not only prevent complications through pulmonary physical therapy, but also prevent muscle failure to improve daily vital abilities and reduce the incidence of DVT through early functional rehabilitation, thereby improving APACHE II outcomes. However, it has been found in clinical practice that if the patients' sputum is sticky, it can be diluted by inhalation of the aerosol. Once patients have moistened their throats with sufficient water, pulmonary physical therapy may be more effective. Patients must sit or recline by vibrating or tapping the chest and a side or back position to drain posture rather than the head down position. Healthcare professionals should patiently explain preoperative work and perform the procedure step by step,

carefully monitor patients' breathing, blood pressure, oxygen saturation and heart rate, and stop treatment immediately if the patient experiences discomfort.

CONCLUSION:

Pulmonary physical therapy can significantly improve ventilation and air exchange functions in patients with respiratory failure, prevent complications and improve health, which can be further promoted and used clinically.

REFERENCES:

1. Vitomskyi, V. V., and K. M. Al-Hawamdeh. "The Role of Respiratory Physical Therapy in the Rehabilitation of Patients after Cardiac Surgery." *Ukrainian Journal of Medicine, Biology and Sport* 4, no. 26 (2020): 17-25.
2. Ferreira, D. D. C., Miriam Allein Zago Marcolino, Fabrício Edler Macagnan, Rodrigo Della Méa Plentz, and Adriana Kessler. "Safety and potential benefits of physical therapy in adult patients on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support: a systematic review." *Revista Brasileira de terapia intensiva* 31, no. 2 (2019): 227-239.
3. Sun, Jiayan, Yujie Li, Bingyu Ling, Qingcheng Zhu, Yingying Hu, Dingyu Tan, Ping Geng, and Jun Xu. "High flow nasal cannula oxygen therapy versus non-invasive ventilation for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with acute-moderate hypercapnic respiratory failure: an observational cohort study." *International journal of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease* 14 (2019): 1229.
4. Chen, Jing-Yu, Kun Qiao, Feng Liu, Bo Wu, Xin Xu, Guo-Qing Jiao, Rong-Guo Lu et al. "Lung transplantation as therapeutic option in acute respiratory distress syndrome for coronavirus disease 2019-related pulmonary fibrosis." *Chinese Medical Journal* 133, no. 12 (2020): 1390-1396.
5. Laurent, Hélène, Sylvie Aubreton, Geraud Galvaing, Bruno Pereira, Patrick Merle, Ruddy Richard, Frédéric Costes, and Marc Filaire. "Preoperative respiratory muscle endurance training improves ventilatory capacity and prevents pulmonary postoperative complications after lung surgery." *European journal of physical and rehabilitation medicine* 56, no. 1 (2019): 73-81.
6. Chiumello, Davide, Giuseppe Francesco Sferazza Papa, Antonio Artigas, Belaid Bouhemad, Aleksandar Grgic, Leo Heunks, Klaus Markstaller et al. "ERS statement on chest imaging in acute respiratory failure." *European Respiratory Journal* 54, no. 3 (2019): 1900435.

7. Vitacca, Michele, Marta Lazzeri, Enrico Guffanti, Pamela Frigerio, Francesco D'Abrosca, Silvia Gianola, Mauro Carone et al. "Italian suggestions for pulmonary rehabilitation in COVID-19 patients recovering from acute respiratory failure: results of a Delphi process." (2020): 1-9.
8. Truwit, Jonathon D., R. Duncan Hite, Peter E. Morris, Christine DeWilde, Anna Priday, Bernard Fisher, Leroy R. Thacker et al. "Effect of vitamin C infusion on organ failure and biomarkers of inflammation and vascular injury in patients with sepsis and severe acute respiratory failure: the CITRIS-ALI randomized clinical trial." *Jama* 322, no. 13 (2019): 1261-1270.
9. Westerdahl, Elisabeth, Christian Osadnik, and Margareta Emtner. "Airway clearance techniques for patients with acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Physical therapy practice in Sweden." *Chronic respiratory disease* 16 (2019): 1479973119855868.
10. Su, Ying-Hao, Shun-Mao Yang, Chun-Hsiung Huang, and Huan-Jang Ko. "Early versus late surgical stabilization of severe rib fractures in patients with respiratory failure: A retrospective study." *PloS one* 14, no. 4 (2019): e0216170.
11. Brodie, Daniel, Arthur S. Slutsky, and Alain Combes. "Extracorporeal life support for adults with respiratory failure and related indications: a review." *Jama* 322, no. 6 (2019): 557-568.
12. Felten-Barentsz, Karin M., Roel van Oorsouw, Emily Klooster, Niek Koenders, Femke Driehuis, Erik HJ Hulzebos, Marike van der Schaaf, Thomas J. Hoogeboom, and Philip J. van der Wees. "Recommendations for hospital-based physical therapists managing patients with COVID-19." *Physical therapy* 100, no. 9 (2020): 1444-1457.
13. Marini, John J., and Luciano Gattinoni. "Management of COVID-19 respiratory distress." *Jama* (2020).
14. Sarma, Aartik, and Carolyn S. Calfee. "Prone positioning in awake, Nonintubated patients with COVID-19: necessity is the mother of invention." *JAMA Internal Medicine* (2020).
15. DiSilvio, Briana, Meilin Young, Ayla Gordon, Khalid Malik, Ashley Singh, and Tariq Cheema. "Complications and outcomes of acute respiratory distress syndrome." *Critical care nursing quarterly* 42, no. 4 (2019): 349-361.