

CODEN [USA]: IAJPBB ISSN: 2349-7750

INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF

PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES

SJIF Impact Factor: 7.187 http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4274558

Avalable online at: http://www.iajps.com Research Article

TRENDS & TREATMENT OUTCOMES OF MULTIDRUG-RESISTANT TUBERCULOSIS

¹Dr Ahmed Ejaz, ²Dr Ayesha Mubarak, ³Dr Anwar Ahmed

, ¹Rashid Latif Medical Complex, Lahore.2 Rashid Latif Medical Complex lahore ³Muhammad Medical College Mirpur khas.

Article Received: September 2020 **Accepted:** October 2020 **Published:** November 2020

Abstract:

Background & objectives: The increase in the burden of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) is a matter of grave concern. The present study was undertaken to describe MDR-TB treatment outcome trends and their epidemiological correlates, to assess the adequacy of treatment records and to also generate evidence towards influencing and improving practices related to the MDR-TB control programme.

Methods: A retrospective record-based study (2016-2019) was conducted Mayo Hospital lahore. Treatment outcomes and adverse effects were extracted from the existing programme records including patients' treatment cards and laboratory registers.

Results: A total of 2958 MDR-TB patients were identified from the treatment cards, of whom 1749 (59.12%) were males. The mean (\pm standard deviation) age was 30.56 \pm 13.5 years. Favourable treatment outcomes were reported in 1371 (53.28%) patients, but they showed a declining trend during the period of observation. On binomial logistic regression analysis, patients with age \geq 35-year, male sex and undernourishment (body mass index <18.5) at the time of treatment initiation had a significantly increased likelihood of unfavourable MDR-TB treatment outcome (P<0.001).

Interpretation & conclusions: The study showed an increasing burden of MDR-TB patients, especially in the young population with increased risk of transmission posing a major challenge in achieving TB elimination targets.

Corresponding author:

Dr. Ahmed Ejaz

Rashid Latif Medical Complex



Please cite this article in press Ahmed Ejaz et al, **Trends & Treatment Outcomes Of Multidrug-Resistant Tuberculosis.**, Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2020; 07(11).

INTRODUCTION:

Tuberculosis (TB) is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality globally and persists as a major public health challenge. An estimated 10 million new patients of TB occurred worldwide in 20181. The TB incidence in Pakistan was estimated to be 369000 patients in 2018, the fifth highest in the world2. In Pakistan, mortality due to TB declined from 56/100,000/yr in 2000 to 32/100,000/yr in 2018 as a result of the successful implementation of the landmark Revised TB since 19922. The World Health Organization's (WHO) End-TB strategy envisages a further 95 per cent reduction in TB deaths by 20353. But the growth of multidrugresistant TB (MDR-TB) threatens to undermine the gains and achievement of the goals for a TB-free world4.5.

It is estimated that 3.4 per cent of new TB patients and 18 per cent of previously treated TB patients have MDR-TB1. MDR-TB treatment involves prolonged treatment with injectable second-line drugs, associated with more adverse effects, suboptimal treatment outcomes and higher risks of mortality compared to patients with drug-sensitive TB and those with lesser resistant forms of TB1,6. In Pakistan, only 46 per cent MDR-TB patients initiated on treatment through programmatic management of drug-resistant TB (PMDT) had favourable treatment outcomes in 20167. It was found that patients lost to follow up (for two or more consecutive months) and treatment failures in DR-TB patients further compounded the disease transmission cycle in the community8. A study in a tertiary care hospital in Lahore also reported 20.17 per cent XDR-TB prevalence among the MDR-TB strains isolated9. The present study was conducted to describe MDR-TB treatment outcome trends and to find out their epidemiological correlates.

MATERIAL & METHODS:

A retrospective record-based study was conducted among all patients registered and initiated on treatment between January 2016 and December 2019at three designated tertiary care hospitals. The maximum number of MDR-TB patients were 1237 (41.81%) from followed by 902 (30.49%) from and 819 (27.68%).

Data variables and sources of data:

The records accessed included the patient treatment cards, TB registers and culture and drug susceptibility laboratory registers maintained at the centres. The

extracted variables included patient age, sex, height, weight (pre- and post-treatment), adherence (missed doses), date of diagnosis, time of treatment initiation and treatment outcomes. Treatment outcomes were ascertained for all patients registered from the first quarter of 2009 until the final quarter of 2014 by following up their records over the next two years. The presumptive MDR-TB patients were defined as those TB patients with treatment failure, retreatment patients smear +ve at four months and close contacts of drug resistant TB patients10. Favourable treatment outcomes were defined as cured or treatment completed while unfavourable treatment outcomes were defined as death, treatment failure, lost to follow up, treatment stopped due to adverse reaction and a switch to an XDR-TB regimen.

Statistical analysis: The data were entered in MS Excel, cleaned and then analyzed using SPSS v16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Results were expressed in frequency and proportions. The chisquare test was used to find the difference in proportions between groups. A binary logistic regression analysis was conducted to find variables independently associated with unfavourable treatment outcomes.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION:

A total of 2958 MDR-TB patients were identified from the treatment records, of whom 1749 (59.12%) were males and 1209 (40.87%) females. The mean (±standard deviation) age of the patients was 30.56±13.5 years. There were 1662 (56.18%) patients in the age group of 15-29 yr, 1144 (38.67%) in 30-59 yr and the geriatric (≥60) age group comprised only 152 (5.13%) patients. A majority of the MDR-TB patients belonged to the economically productive age groups (14-50 yr), a finding consistent with other studies11,12. More than half (59.12%) of the patients were male indicating a higher risk for them developing MDR-TB; but the prior evidence relating gender with the disease is equivocal11-14. It was observed that male MDR-TB patients were 1.4 times more likely to have unfavourable treatment outcomes compared to female patients which corroborated the evidence from previous studies 12,15. Younger patients below the age of 35 vr were two times more likely to have a favourable treatment outcome compared to older patients, a finding also consistent with the global evidence16-18.

Table I. Distribution of type of suspected multidrug-resistant (MDR)-TB patients by sex (n=2958) Males Type of MDR suspect Total patients (n=2708)† Females (n=1099) (n=1609)n (%) n (%) n (%) Treatment failure 374 (13.81) 227(14.10) 147(13.37) Retreatment case smear +ve at four month 93 (3.43) 59(3.66) 34(3.09) Contact of known MDR-TB case 33 (1.21) 16(0.99) 17(1.54) Smear +ve at diagnosis, retreatment case 731 (26.99) 450(27.96) 281(25.56) Any follow up smear +ve 260 (9.60) 151 (9.38) 109 (9.91) Smear -ve at diagnosis, retreatment 53 (1.95) 23(1.42) 30(2.72) **HIV-TB** comorbidity 7 (0.25) 2 (0.12) 5 (0.45) Relapse 486 (17.94) 280(17.40) 206(18.74) Treatment after loss to follow up 284 (10.48) 188(11.68) 96(8.73) Others 97 (3.58) 48(2.98) 49(4.45) New patients 290 (10.70) 165(10.25) 125(11.37) Data not available for 250 patients (140 males and 110 females)

Table II. Treatment outcome in multidrug-resistant tuberculosis patients related to age and sex (n=2958)

Treatment	Total patients	Male	Female	Age group (yr)				
outcomes	$(n=2690)_{\dagger}$	(n=1606)	(n=1084)	0-14 (n=93)	15-29	30-49 (n=849)	50-60 (n=196)	≥60 (n=138)
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	(n=1414), n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
Cured	1108 (41.18)	592	516	46(49.46)	629(44.48)	332(39.10)	61 (31.12)	40(28.98)
		(36.86)	(47.60)					
Treatment	263 (9.77)	143 (8.90)	120	14(15.05)	153(10.82)	73(8.59)	14 (7.14)	9(6.52)
completed			(11.07)					
Died	483 (17.95)	278	205	22(23.65)	219(15.48)	144(16.96)	54 (27.55)	44(31.88)
		(17.31)	(18.91)					
Failed	91 (3.38)	56 (3.48)	35 (3.22)	2(2.15)	54(3.81)	30(3.53)	3 (1.53)	2(1.44)
Lost to follow up	553 (20.55)	405	148	7(7.52)	244(17.25)	214(25.20)	51 (26.02)	37(26.81)
		(25.21)	(13.65)					
Transferred out	111 (4.12)	79 (4.91)	32 (2.95)	1(1.07)	65 (4.59)	35(4.12)	5 (2.55)	5(3.62)
Treatment stopped	1 (0.03)	1 (0.06)	0	0	1 (0.07)	0	0	0
due to adverse drug								
reaction								
Treatment stopped	5 (0.18)	4 (0.24)	1 (0.09)	0	3 (0.21)	2 (0.23)	0	0
due to other reason								
Switched to	75 (2.78)	48 (2.98)	27 (2.49)	1(1.07)	46(3.25)	19(2.23)	8 (4.08)	1(0.72)
regimen for XDR-								
TB								

Table III. Distribution of factors associated with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis treatment outcome (n=2958)

Variables	Total (n=2573)†	Unfavourable treatment outcome	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	P
	n (%)	(n=1202), n (%)		
Age (yr)				
<18	344 (13.36)	128 (37.20)	1	< 0.001
18-34	1356 (52.70)	591 (43.58)	1.19 (0.86-1.65)	
≥35	873 (33.92)	483 (55.32)	2.1 (1.47-3)	
Sex				
Male	1522 (59.15)	787 (51.70)	1.4 (1.12-1.75)	0.002
Female	1051 (40.84)	415 (39.48)	1	
Pre-treatment BMI	(n=1493);			
Undernourished	1051 (70.39)	505 (48.04)	1.88 (1.48-2.38)	< 0.001
Normal/overweight	442 (29.60)	160 (36.19)	1	
†Outcome not recorded OR, odds ratio; CI, confi		and 117 patients transferred out; theight ody mass index	variable missing in 1080	patients

Figure. Trend in treatment outcomes in multidrug-resistant tuberculosis patients (2009-2014).

Only 111 (4.12%) patients were transferred out to other health institutions indicating that most of the patients were residents of the city. Smear positiveretreatment patients (n=731, 26.99%) were observed to be the most common group needing MDR-TB treatment initiation (Table I). Further, presumptive MDR-TB comprised 18.46 per cent of the patients. Treatment outcome in these patients is reported in Table II. After excluding the patients who were transferred out (n=111) or had treatment stopped (n=6), it was observed that of the 2690 patients 1108 (41.18%) patients were cured and 263 (9.77%) had treatment completed status showing a total favourable outcome in 1371 (53.28%) patients. The favourable treatment outcome in the MDR-TB patients also showed a decreasing trend from 2009 (58.13%) to 2014 (38.74%) while unfavourable outcome showed an increasing trend from 2009 (40.69%) to 2014

(50.86%) (Figure). The proportion of female patients who were cured was higher and the proportion offemale patients that were lost to follow up was lower compared to male patients (Table II). However, compared to WHO targets which envisage a 75-90 per cent cure rate for TB-patients19, the proportion of favourable treatment outcomes observed in our study was lower.

A total of more than seven missed doses indicating poor treatment adherence was recorded in 185 (6.25%) patients during the intensive phase and among 339 (11.46%) during the continuation phase. However, 1508 (50.98%) and 1554 (52.53%) patient records (n=2958) during the intensive and continuation phases, respectively, had missing observations relating to treatment adherence. Based on available data (n=1697), it was found that before

treatment initiation, more than two-third (n=1189, 70.06%) patients were underweight [body mass index (BMI) <18.5 kg/m2]. The height variable was missing in 1251 of the 2958 (42.29%) patients. The body weight significantly increased from 43.63 ± 10.41 at pre-treatment to 48 ± 11.2 kg post-treatment, and there was significant improvement irrespective of gender (P<0.001). However, the pre-treatment body weight was not recorded in 103 and post-treatment body weight in 863 patients.

A binomial logistic regression was performed to ascertain the effects of age, sex and pre-treatment BMI on the likelihood that participants have unfavourable MDR- TB treatment outcomes. The logistic regression model was significant (P<0.001). This model correctly classified 59.10 per cent patients, sensitivity was 27.10 per cent and specificity was 84.90 per cent. It was observed that the model estimating the datawas acceptable by the application of the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness of fit test (P=0.916). Patients aged ≥35 yr, of male sex and being undernourished (BMI <18.5 kg/m2) at the time of treatment initiation had a significantly increased risk of unfavourable MDR-TB treatment outcomes (P<0.001) (Table III). It is well-established that among TB patients, there exists a high burden of undernutrition (BMI<18.5kg/m2)20. Our study showed that in MDR-TB patients, underweight status at the time of treatment initiation was significantly associated with unfavourable treatment outcomes.

Adverse reactions due to the MDR-TB treatment regimen were recorded in only 185 (6.25%) patients. The most common adverse effects were related to psychological (behaviour change and insomnia), gastrointestinal (vomiting, jaundice and loss of appetite), ototoxicity, musculoskeletal (joint pains) and CNS involvement (giddiness, numbness, neuropathy, weakness, *etc.*) reported in 47, 40, 27, 25 and 12 patients, respectively.

The present study had certain limitations. The data were collected retrospectively by accessing medical records of MDR -TB patients. However, more than half the records did not report treatment adherence and 42.29 per cent records did not have patient height. The large volume of missing data limited the generalizability of the study findings. Moreover, there exist a possibility of bias in the estimation of our study parameters since the analysis was conducted on the residual data applying a pair-wise deletion technique after assuming all our missing data to be 'missing at random' type. The consequent bias was not tested by analyzing whether the profile of the missing

(excluded) patients significantly differed from the non-missing or included patients, since age and gender were the only major socio-demographic variables recorded on the treatment cards.

There was no information regarding tobacco smoking status and the harmful use of alcohol which can adversely influence MDR- TB treatment outcomes21,22. Certain other variables such as the individual pattern of drug resistance, the efficacy of drug combinations used and the quality of treatment monitoring and supervision can also influence treatment outcomes of drug-resistant TB patients, but the retrospective study design precluded their assessment.

Our study findings have indicated that the treatment outcomes in drug-resistant TB patients may be influenced by the factors affecting their drug adherence including socio-economic status and the presence of adverse drug reactions and these variables need to be accurately recorded23. Since undernutrition (low pre-treatment BMI) was found to be a predictor of unfavourable drug-resistant TB treatment outcomes, BMI variable (height and weight) should be correctly recorded in the treatment cards.

REFERENCES:

- 1. World Health Organization. *Global Tuberculosis Report 2019*. Geneva: WHO; 2019.
- 2. Central TB Division. *TB Report 2019*: Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Government of; 2019.
- 3. World Health Organization. *The END-TB Strategy*. Available from: https://www.who.int/tb/post2015_strategy/en/, accessed on October 21, 2019.
- 4. Rifat M, Hall J, Oldmeadow C, Husain A, Hinderaker SG, Milton AH. Factors related to previous tuberculosis treatment of patients with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in Bangladesh. *BMJ Open* 2015; 5: E008273.
- Sharma A, Hill A, Kurbatova E, van der Walt M, Kvasnovsky C, Tupasi TE, et al. Estimating the future burden of multidrug-resistant and extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis in Pakistan, the Philippines, Russia, and South Africa: A mathematical modelling study. Lancet Infect Dis 2017; 17: 707-15.
- 6. Sullivan T, Ben Amor Y. What's in a name? The future of drug-resistant tuberculosis classification. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2013; *13* : 373-6.
- 7. Central TB Division. *National TB Control Programme* 2017-2020: Ministry of Health, Government of Pakistan; 2017.

- Central TB Division. TB Pakistan 2012.: Ministry of Healthu, Government of Pakistan; 2012.
- 9. Myneedu VP, Visalakshi P, Verma AK, Behera D, Bhalla M. Prevalence of XDR TB cases-a retrospective study from a tertiary care TB hospital. *Pakistann J Tuberc* 2011; 58: 54-9.
- 10. World Health Organization. Companion handbook to the WHO guidelines for the programmatic management of drug-resistant tuberculosis. Geneva, WHO; 2014.
- 11. Dzeyie KA, Basu S, Dikid T, Bhatnagar AK, Chauhan LS, Narain JP. Epidemiological and behavioural correlates of drug-resistant tuberculosis in a Tertiary Care Centre, India. *J Tuberc* 2019; 66: 331-6.
- 12. Bhatt G, Vyas S, Trivedil K. An epidemiological study of multi drug resistant tuberculosis cases registered under Revised National Tuberculosis Control Programme of Ahmedabad city. *J Tuberc* 2012; *59*: 18-27.
- Lomtadze N, Aspindzelashvili R, Janjgava M, Mirtskhulava V, Wright A, Blumberg HM, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in the Republic of Georgia: A population-based study. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2009; 13: 68-73.
- 14. Ejaz M, Siddiqui AR, Rafiq Y, Malik F, Channa A, Mangi R, et al. Prevalence of multidrug resistant tuberculosis in Karachi, Pakistan: Identification of at risk groups. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 2010; 104: 511-7.
- 15. Nair D, Velayutham B, Kannan T, Tripathy JP, Harries AD, Natrajan M, *et al.* Predictors of unfavourable treatment outcome in patients with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in India. *Public Health Action* 2017; 7: 32-8.
- Ettehad D, Schaaf HS, Seddon JA, Cooke GS, Ford N. Treatment outcomes for children with multidrug-resistanttuberculosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2012; 12: 449-56.
- 17. Pratt RH, Winston CA, Kammerer JS, Armstrong LR. Tuberculosis in older adults in the United States, 1993-2008. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2011; *59* : 851-7.
- 18. Ananthakrishnan R, Kumar K, Ganesh M, Kumar AM, Krishnan N, Swaminathan S, *et al.* The profile and treatment outcomes of the older (aged 60 years and above) tuberculosis patients in Tamilnadu, South India. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: E67288.
- 19. World Health Organization. The global plan to stop TB 2011-2015: Transforming the fight

- towards elimination of tuberculosis reprinted with changes. Geneva: WHO; 2011.
- 20. Padmapriyadarsini C, Shobana M, Lakshmi M, Beena T, Swaminathan S. Undernutrition tuberculosis in India: Situation analysis the way forward. *J Med Res* 2016; *144*: 11-20.
- 21. Zetola NM, Modongo C, Kip EC, Gross R, Bisson GP, Collman RG. Alcohol use and abuse among patients with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in Botswana. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2012; *16*: 1529-34
- 22. Balabanova Y, Radiulyte B, Davidaviciene E, Hooper R, Ignatyeva O, Nikolayevskyy V, *et al.* Survival of drug resistant tuberculosis patients in Lithuania: Retrospective national cohort study. *BMJ Open* 2011; *1*: E000351.
- 23. Jackson C, Stagg HR, Pan D, Batra R, *et al.* Tuberculosis treatment outcomes among disadvantaged patients. *Public Health Action* 2017; 7:134-40.