



CODEN [USA]: IAJ PBB

ISSN: 2349-7750

**INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF
PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES**<http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3483867>Available online at: <http://www.iajps.com>

Research Article

**ANALYSIS OF THE ROLE OF ANTHROPOMETRIC
MEASUREMENTS IN NASAL SURGERY AND RESEARCH IN
PAKISTAN**Aqsa Mukhtar khan¹, Saba Jamshaid², Muhammad Sohail Akhtar³¹THQ Hospital Hasilpur, ²Regional Blood Centre Bahawalpur, ³DHQ Hospital Khanewal

Article Received: August 2019

Accepted: September 2019

Published: October 2019

Abstract:

Introduction: Anthropometry comes from a Greek word “Anthropos” which means human and “metron” which means measure. According to the WHO, the anthropometry is an inexpensive and noninvasive technique for assessing the size, proportions, and composition of the human body.

Aims and objectives: The basic aim of the study is to analyze the role of anthropometric measurements in nasal surgery and research in Pakistan.

Material and methods: This cross sectional study was conducted in THQ Hospital Hasilpur during 2018 to 2019. Our research is specific in the measurement of facial width, facial length, nasal width, and nasal length in Pakistani environment. The data was collected from 50 patients of both genders. The age group is 18 to 21. Convenient sampling method was used in determining the sample size. The anthropometric data was collected by measuring the distance between facial and nasal landmarks as provided by Farkas et al.

Results: The results of the anthropometric analysis obtained from selected participants. The mean values obtained were: nasolabial angle of 105.41°; nasofrontal angle of 137.13; Goode's ratio of 0.63; alar width/length ratio of 0.85; alar/intercanthal distance ratio of 1.15. Only 6% of the population sample had an intercanthal distance equal to the alar distance, other 6% showed a greater intercanthal distance compared to the alar, while the great majority (88%) had a greater alar distance compared to the intercanthal. The alar distance was significantly greater than the intercanthal distance ($p < 0.001$).

Conclusion: It is concluded that anthropometric measurements of the nose may help to answer important clinical questions in research on the effects of surgery on nasal and facial development.

Corresponding author:Aqsa Mukhtar khan,
THQ Hospital Hasilpur

QR code



Please cite this article in press Aqsa Mukhtar khan et al., *Analysis of the Role of Anthropometric Measurements in Nasal Surgery and Research in Pakistan.*, Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2019; 06(10).

INTRODUCTION:

Anthropometry comes from a Greek word “Anthropos” which means human and “metron” which means measure. According to the WHO, the anthropometry is an inexpensive and noninvasive technique for assessing the size, proportions, and composition of the human body. Naso-facial anthropometry is a specific component of the anthropometric field that focuses on the facial and nasal regions which is also vital for sex determination, forensics uses, quantifying naso-facial dysmorphism, facial surgery, and diagnostic comprehension [1]. By using accurate anthropometric measurements in craniofacial region, we can treat and reconstruct congenital or posttraumatic facial disfigurements successfully [2].

Anthropometric measurements of the nose provide objective data about the size and shape of the nose. Data of average nasal anthropometric values for various ethnic groups is promoted to be of great importance in planning aesthetic nasal surgery, but there may be fundamental problems with this approach [3]. Norms and patterns of nasal esthetics are essential for an adequate preoperative evaluation and surgical programming.

The esthetic nasal patterns used are a blend of artistic beauty ideals and tracings in models and celebrities [4]. Because they do not consider population measures, they vary according to the period, and allow a discrepancy between the surgeon's preference and the patient's real desire for rhinoplasty [5]. Not all populations wish to obtain an esthetic result according to these values, but prefer a natural result, that is, one with some of the nasal characteristics of the population to which they belong to [6].

Aims and objectives:

The basic aim of the study is to analyze the role of anthropometric measurements in nasal surgery and research in Pakistan.

MATERIAL AND METHODS:

This cross sectional study was conducted in THQ Hospital Hasilpur during 2018 to 2019. Our research is specific in the measurement of facial width, facial length, nasal width, and nasal length in Pakistani environment. The data was collected from 50 patients of both genders. The age group is 18 to 21. Convenient sampling method was used in determining the sample size. The anthropometric data was collected by measuring the distance between facial and nasal landmarks as provided by Farkas et al. (2005) in Farkas craniofacial anthropometry system.

A 12-inch (0.003 mm) fast display caliper series EC05 was used in data collection. The subjects were asked to sit with their head held out straight in anatomical position. They were explained verbally about the measurement procedures and precautionary steps.

Statistical analysis:

The data was further analysed statistically to determine the mean, standard deviation, and significance level. ANOVA test and *t*-test were done for data analysis using SPSS 17.0 software to find the facial and nasal indices, means, and standard deviations for all parameters and the value.

RESULTS:

The results of the anthropometric analysis obtained from selected participants. The mean values obtained were: nasolabial angle of 105.41°; nasofrontal angle of 137.13; Goode's ratio of 0.63; alar width/length ratio of 0.85; alar/intercanthal distance ratio of 1.15. Only 6% of the population sample had an intercanthal distance equal to the alar distance, other 6% showed a greater intercanthal distance compared to the alar, while the great majority (88%) had a greater alar distance compared to the intercanthal. The alar distance was significantly greater than the intercanthal distance ($p < 0.001$). Comparing the results obtained in the population studied and those presented in the literature, except for the nasolabial angle, the population anthropometric measurements were statistically different and larger than the esthetic ideal.

Table 01: Statistical analysis of nasal proportions

Variable	Ideal value	Caucasians from Curitiba			p-Value
		Mean	SD	95% Confidence Interval	
Nasolabial angle,°	105.0	105.41	10.66	103.34–107.52	0.70
Nasofrontal angle,°	125.0	137.13	7.98	135.57–138.69	<0.001
Goode's ratio	0.58	0.63	0.05	0.62–0.64	<0.001
Alar width/length ratio	0.7	0.85	0.18	0.81–0.88	<0.001
alar/intercanthal distance ratio	1.0	1.15	0.1	1.13–1.17	<0.001

Note: Difference between means (normal distribution); level of significance <0.05.
P < 0.05.

Gender difference was statistically significant for all facial and nasal parameters for selected participants. Males had higher mean value than the females.

Table 02: Mean (\pm SD) of the facial length (FL), facial width (FW), nasal length (NL), and nasal width (NW) of male and female in two age groups

Anthropometric variables	Age group 18-19			Age group 20-21			value
	Male Mean (\pm SD)	Female Mean (\pm SD)	value	Male Mean (\pm SD)	Female Mean (\pm SD)	value	
FL	115.24 (\pm 5.87)	106.63 (\pm 3.96)	0.000	118.13 (\pm 6.52)	107.39 (\pm 4.38)	0.000	0.166
FW	131.73 (\pm 9.74)	115.06 (\pm 4.70)	0.000	137.33 (\pm 7.11)	121.94 (\pm 7.06)	0.000	0.002
NL	51.79 (\pm 3.42)	45.79 (\pm 2.75)	0.000	50.15 (\pm 4.34)	45.61 (\pm 3.28)	0.000	0.260
NW	40.50 (\pm 2.39)	36.36 (\pm 1.96)	0.000	40.91 (\pm 2.78)	38.09 (\pm 3.20)	0.000	0.067

DISCUSSION:

In a study of rhinoplasty candidates and volunteers, although more than 89% of participants objectively had some asymmetric facial measure, medical evaluators visually detected asymmetries in only 54% of volunteers and in 59% of rhinoplasty candidates, demonstrating the superiority of anthropometric measurements compared to the visual assessment [4]. There are different methods of objective evaluation of nasal and facial measures, and they can be divided into anthropometric analysis and cephalometric evaluation [7]. The anthropometric evaluation considers the points in the soft tissues, and can be divided into direct, when done directly in the patient, or indirect, through photographs, also called photogrammetry⁸. The cephalometric study considers bone and soft tissue points through the use of standardized radiographs and photographs [9].

Kaushal et al. stated that nasal index of a race appears to be markedly related to climate; the narrow and long noses favored cold and dry climate, as there was more surface area for warming the air, whereas flat and broad nose types were seen in warm and moist climate,

as a consequence of natural selection in human evolution [10].

CONCLUSION:

It is concluded that anthropometric measurements of the nose may help to answer important clinical questions in research on the effects of surgery on nasal and facial development.

REFERENCES:

1. C. Kurnia, S. Susiana, and W. Husin, "Facial indices in chinese ethnic students aged 20–22," *Journal of Dentistry Indonesia*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 1–4, 2012
2. W. C. Ngeow and S. T. Aljunid, "Craniofacial anthropometric norms of Malays," *Singapore Medical Journal*, vol. 50, no. 5, pp. 525–528, 2009.
3. G. S. Oladipo, A. O. Olabiyi, A. A. Oremosu, and C. C. Noronha, "Nasal indices among major ethnic groups in southern Nigeria," *Academic Journals, Scientific Research and Essay*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 020–022, 2007

4. C. Z. Sparks and R. L. Jantz, "A reassessment of human cranial plasticity: boas revisited," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 99, no. 23, pp. 14636–14639, 2002.
5. B. Xu, Y. Wang, J. Ma, M. Li, and L. Xu, "A computer-aid study on the craniofacial features of archang race in Yunnan province of China," *Huaxi Kouqiang Yixue Zazhi*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 394–396, 2001
6. S. Kaushal, V. V. G. Patnaik, and P. Kaur, "Somatometric analysis of nasal morphology in the endogamous groups of Punjab," *Human Biology Review*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2013.
7. G. S. Oladipo, M. A. Eroje, and H. B. Fahwehinmi, "Anthropometric comparison of nasal indices between Andoni and Okrika tribes of Rivers State, Nigeria," *International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 135–137, 2009.
8. G. Staka, F. Dragidella, and M. Disha, "Anthropometric studies of nasal index of the Kosovo Albanian population," *Antrocom Online Journal of Anthropology*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 457–462, 2012.
9. L. G. Farkas, M. J. Katic, and C. R. Forrest, "International anthropometric study of facial morphology in various ethnic groups/races," *The Journal of Craniofacial Surgery*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 615–646, 2005.
10. M. Jahanshahi, M. J. Golalipour, and K. Heidari, "The effect of ethnicity on facial anthropometry in Northern Iran," *Singapore Medical Journal*, vol. 49, no. 11, pp. 940–943, 2008.