



CODEN [USA]: IAJPB

ISSN : 2349-7750

## INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES

SJIF Impact Factor: 7.187

<http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4161684>Available online at: <http://www.iajps.com>

Research Article

### ASSESSMENT OF ETHICAL MEDICATION USE IN PEDIATRICS USING MARKERS OF CORE USE OF WHO DRUGS PAKISTAN'S HOSPITALS

<sup>1</sup>Dr Erum Saleem Khan, <sup>2</sup>Dr. Naeem Fatima, <sup>3</sup>Dr. Hafiza Ammara Siddiqi

<sup>1</sup>Mohtarama Benazir Bhutto Shaheed Medical College Mirpur Ajk, <sup>2</sup>Allied Hospital Faisalabad,

<sup>3</sup>Woman Medical Officer Govt Dispensary Diglous Pura Faisalabad.

**Article Received:** August 2020**Accepted:** September 2020**Published:** October 2020**Abstract:**

**Aim:** Unreasonable medication use is uniquely a purpose behind grimness and mortality in Paeds. To dissect the example of medication, use in pediatrics outpatient division of tertiary consideration clinics by utilizing WHO center medication use pointers, was the primary target of this assessment cycle.

**Methods:** An illustrative cross-sectional examination was intended to survey all out 2000 solutions from the pediatrics outpatient division (OPD) of two tertiary consideration habitats of Lahore, Pakistan during first March 19 to February 2020 at Jinnah Hospital, Lahore Pakistan. The information was gathered on standard center medication use pointer structures for assessing WHO recommending, tolerant consideration and wellbeing office markers. WHO's proclaimed ideal norms were utilized against every one of the markers and SPSS programming was utilized for factual examination.

**Results:** The findings obtained for advising markers revealed the standard prescription number for each solution was 3.26, the non-exclusive advice missed fully, the microbial encounters 76.4%, the prescribed infusions of up to 8.25%, and the critical drugs (EDL) of 97.8% were assisted. The patient markers showed the usual therapy period, the transfer period of 1.75 minutes and 21.25 seconds per season separately. 93.65% of the drug was correctly administered. Both offices had a copy of the EDL for the workplace explicit markers and 92.5% of essential medications were in storage nearby.

**Conclusion:** In tertiary emergency centers, a number of WHO center markers were observed to be non-adjusting. The findings support using the mediation and counseling recommended by WHO to promote judicious use of medications by physicians, medication practitioners and patients.

**Keywords:** Ethical Medication, Pediatrics Pakistan Hospital.

**Corresponding author:****Dr. Erum Saleem Khan,**

Mohtarama Benazir Bhutto Shaheed Medical College Mirpur Ajk.

QR code



Please cite this article in press Erum Saleem Khan et al, Assessment Of Ethical Medication Use In Pediatrics Using Markers Of Core Use Of Who Drugs Pakistan's Hospitals., Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2020; 07(10).

**INTRODUCTION:**

As the World Health Agency, patients get medications which are appropriate for their therapeutic conditions in portions which satisfy their own unique prerequisites, for a satisfactory duration, and with the lowest possible cost to them and the network. The World Health Organization [1]. The safe use of medications is a vital part of the treatment of patients as this is an indication that the social services departments of each country provide coverage for the persons. As drugs are the fundamental component of any care, the double-edged blade thus posed issues about the astute application of narcotics [2]. Throughout the most recent decade, the prescriptions are being utilized unreasonably notwithstanding the accessibility of a few rules. WHO pronounced that over half of the medications recommended, apportioned and auctions are far away the objective which may put patients' life on stake [3]. The most extreme explanations behind the uncertain utilization of meds are; polypharmacy, patient's self-drug, ill-advised use of antimicrobials, over-utilization of the parenteral and endorsing of meds aside from the standard clinical guidelines, absence of demonstrative mastery among doctors, the medication conveyance structure, confusion identified with medications and benefit proposing mentality of medication selling organizations [4]. There is no question that unreasonable medication endorsing and use is a worldwide situation however this difficulty is increased in developing nations because of restricted assets and insufficient medication arrangements. Pakistan being a low salary nation is going through distressing conditions of irresistible sicknesses along with lack of prescriptions, hazy wellbeing and medication strategy and capable guardians and eventually these outcomes pushes the prescribers to endorse nonsensically when they experienced with such conditions particularly medication lack [5].

**METHODOLOGY:**

The examination was directed in two public part tertiary consideration clinics of Lahore, the biggest city of Punjab, Pakistan. The two clinics Govt. Sandra encouraging medical clinic and Mayo emergency clinic covers the lion's share populace of city and fringe regions. An illustrative cross-sectional examination was intended to survey all out 2000 solutions from the pediatrics outpatient division (OPD) of two tertiary consideration habitats of Lahore, Pakistan during first March 19 to February 2020 at Jinnah Hospital, Lahore Pakistan. Pediatrics OPDs of these two emergency clinics were taken into account. Mayo emergency clinic is known for its significance in various territories of medical services and it is the most seasoned medical clinic in Lahore, while Govt. Punjab encouraging medical clinic is recently manufactured and covers significant fringe territories of Lahore and around 2000 to 2500 individuals come day by day for normal exam. A elucidating, cross sectional examination was led to determine the exhibition of Tertiary wellbeing care focuses by assessing the key regions of reasonable medication use i.e., endorsing, quiet consideration also, wellbeing office pointers. A list framework was applied expecting to decide the nature of administrations gave by medical care frameworks which was presented by Zhi and Zhang. The guardians or superiors of the patients who attended pediatric OPDs for their care or review from August 2019 to September 2019 were welcomed to share their details by taking an interest in our analysis work. The OPD timings included full 350 patients, and 17 cases per day without target. The interviewees got an explanation of the test. It was obvious to all patients who decided to engage in the review. In view of the explicit office markers, all the team members were reached. They gathered the perfect data and knowledge.

TABLE 1:

Indicators	Optimal level (%)	Current level (%)
<i>Drug use indicators</i>		
Polypharmacy prescriptions	≤3	100
Drugs prescribed by generic name	100	100
Prescriptions including antibiotic	≤30	100
Prescriptions including injection	≤10	100
Drugs prescribed from EDL or formulary	100	100
<i>Service care indicators</i>		
Average consultation time/min	≥30	100
Average dispensing time/sec	≥60	100
% drugs actually dispensed	100	100
% drugs adequately labeled	100	100
Patients' knowledge of correct dosage	100	100
<i>Drug-specific indicators</i>		
Availability of copy of EDL or formulary	100	100
Availability of key drugs in the stock	100	100

TABLE 2:

WHO/INRUD drug use indicators	PHCC
(1) Average drugs/encounter	2.5 ± 0.8 3
(2) % drugs prescribed by generic name	95.4 ± 11.4 100
(3) % encounters with an antibiotic prescribed	39.2 ± 8.8 0
(4) % encounters with an injection prescribed	9.9 ± 0.9 0
(5) % drugs prescribed from EDL/formulary	95.4 ± 11.4 100
(6) Average consultation time	7.1 ± 2.2 7
(7) Average dispensing time	47.4 ± 20 40
(8) % drugs actually dispensed	95.9 ± 10.9 100
(9) % drugs adequately labeled	0 ± 0 0
(10) Patients' knowledge of correct dosage	94 ± 23.8 100
(11) % copy of EDL/formulary	80 ± 42.2 100
(12) % key drugs in the stock	78.3 ± 7 83.3

**RESULTS:**

Normally, 3.26 drugs were recommended in the singular ( $SD = 1.3$ ), conventional recommendations were totally absent, 76.4% of the remedies contained an anti-toxin, infusions were supported up to 9.26%, and 98.7% of the drugs were recommended according to the essential drug list (Table 2). The normal duration of the conference was set at 1.76 min, the normal

dispatch time was 23.25 s, 94.67% of the medicines were actually dispatched to the selected offices; the designation of remedies was scandalously 100%. In all cases, patient information on the correct dosing plan was 18.8% (Table 3). Explicit facility markers The two selected offices had a copy of the DOL and 93.6% of essential drugs were in stock. Neither office had its own formulary (Table 3) and (Table 4).

**TABLE 3:**

Indicators	Optimal level (%)	Optimal index
<i>Prescribing indicators</i>		
% non-Polypharmacy prescriptions	$\leq 3$	1
% drugs prescribed by generic name	100	1
% prescriptions including antibiotic	$\leq 30$	1
% prescriptions including injection	$\leq 10$	1
% drugs prescribed from EDL or formulary	100	1
<i>Patient care indicators</i>		
% consultation time/min	$\geq 30$	1
% dispensing time/sec	$\geq 60$	1
% drugs actually dispensed	100	1
% drugs adequately labeled	100	1
% patients' knowledge of correct dosage	100	1
<i>Facility-specific indicators</i>		
% availability of copy of EDL or formulary	100	1
% availability of key drugs in the stock	100	1

**TABLE 4:**

IRDU	Smouha	Sanstefano	Eldeikhella	Elaamreya	Bor Elarab New	Bor Elarab Baheig	Elamrawy	Elhaddara	Elgomrok	Elmafrouza
<i>Prescribing indicators</i>										
(1) Index of non polypharmacy	1	1	1	0.97	1	1	0.94	1	1	1
(2) Index of generic name	0.97	0.99	0.95	0.89	0.96	0.96	0.98	0.95	0.95	0.94
(3) Index of rational antibiotic	0.75	0.77	0.71	1	0.55	1	0.57	0.79	0.7	1
(4) Index of safety injection	1	0.77	1	1	0.45	0.59	1	1	0.83	1
(5) Index of EDL	0.97	0.99	0.95	0.88	0.96	0.96	0.98	0.95	0.95	0.94
IRDP	4.69	4.52	4.61	4.74	3.92	4.51	4.47	4.69	4.43	4.88
Rank	3	5	4	2	9	6	7	3	8	1
<i>Patient care indicators</i>										
(6) Consultation time index.	0.27	.25	.2	.21	.25	.27	.17	.2	.28	.26
(7) Dispensing time index	0.82	.71	.76	.89	.63	.85	.87	.67	.96	.74
(8) Dispensed drugs index	0.97	1	.91	.89	.97	.99	.95	.98	.98	.97
(9) Labeled drugs index	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(10) Patients' knowledge index	0.93	.97	.97	.93	.97	.9	.87	1	.97	.9
IRPCDU	2.99	2.93	2.84	2.92	2.82	3.01	2.86	2.85	3.19	2.87
Rank	3	4	9	5	10	2	7	8	1	6
<i>Facility-specific indicators</i>										
(11) Index of EDL	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1
(12) Index of key drugs in stock	.83	.67	.83	.67	.83	.83	.75	.83	.83	.75
IRFSDU	1.83	1.67	1.83	.67	1.83	1.83	.75	1.83	1.83	1.75
Rank	1	3	1	5	1	1	4	1	1	2
<i>Grand total</i>										
IRDU	9.51	9.12	9.28	8.33	8.57	9.35	8.08	9.37	8.45	9.5
Rank	1	6	5	9	7	4	10	3	8	2

**DISCUSSION:**

The results showed that the normal number of drugs per remedy was 4.25 at Mayo Hospital (ward 1) and 3.3 at Punjab Showing Hospital (ward 2), while the ideal number of drugs, as suggested by WHO, was 1.6 to 1.8 [6-8]. There may be some explanations that are responsible for this conceivable polypharmacy. For the model, if the physician is inept, due to the inaccessibility of clinical practice rules, the lack of clinical information and the lack of correct drugs that are also correct in terms of restoration [9]. Conventional recommendations are totally absent, while the WHO expresses that drugs must be 100% approved by their conventional name. A comparative report carried out in Yemen showed that the normal number of drugs per individual was 3.9 and the conventional recommendations were 38.3% [10].

**CONCLUSION:**

The research 's results brought us to the belief that the silly use of drugs in Paeds is a big explanation for increased fear and death. In order to minimize this preventable harm caused by the insensitive use of drugs, pediatric care providers need to recognize and update their intercessions. It is advised that clinical personnel continue to practice and attend instructive sessions, that the experts offer an indication of endorsement and that renewed clinical guidelines are adhered to in a fair manner. Furthermore, in every emergency department, we prescribe the appointment of pharmacy experts to provide adequate transport of drugs and to enhance patient awareness on drugs.

**REFERENCES:**

1. World Health Organization. The Rational use of drugs: report of the conference of experts, Nairobi, 25–29 November 1985. 1987. <http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/en/d/Js17054e/>. Accessed October 18 2015

2. World Health Organization. Promoting rational use of medicines: core components - WHO policy perspectives on medicines. 2002. <http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/en/d/Jh3011e/>. Accessed October 18 2015
3. Kshirsagar M, Langade D, Patil S, Patki P. Prescribing patterns among medical practitioners in Pune, India. *Bull World Health Organ*. 1998;76(3):271–5.
4. World Health Organization. The use of essential drugs: sixth report of the WHO Expert Committee. 1995. <http://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/37340>. Accessed October 18 2015
5. Geest S, Hardon A, Whyte S. Planning for essential drugs: are we missing the cultural dimension. *Health Policy Plan*. 1991;5(2):182–5
6. Hogerzeil HV. Promoting rational prescribing: an international perspective. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 1995;39(1):1–6
7. Sachs L, Tomson G. Medicines and culture--a double perspective on drug utilization in a developing country. *Soc Sci Med*. 1992;34(3):307–15.
8. Abdo-Rabbo A, Haaijer-Ruskamp F, Basharahil K. Baseline prescribing and health facility indicators in Yemen. *J Fac Med Baghdad*. 2000;42(4):824–9.
9. Akl OA, El Mahalli AA, Elkahky AA, Salem AM. WHO/INRUD drug use indicators at primary healthcare centers in Alexandria, Egypt. *J Taibah Univers Med Sci*. 2014;9(1):54–64.
10. World Health Organization. How to investigate drug use in health facilities: selected drug use indicators. 1993. <http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/en/d/Js2289e/>. Accessed October 18 2015