



CODEN [USA]: IAJPB

ISSN: 2349-7750

**INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF
PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES**<http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3401399>Available online at: <http://www.iajps.com>

Research Article

**ANALYSIS OF COMPARISON OF PULMONARY FUNCTION
TEST IN CONTROLLED AND UNCONTROLLED TYPE 2
DIABETES MELLITUS**Muhammad Meraj Gul¹, Saddam Rasheed¹, Alina Khadim²¹Allied Hospital Faisalabad; ²Hilal Ahmar Hospital.

Article Received: July 2019

Accepted: August 2019

Published: September 2019

Abstract:

Introduction: Pulmonary complications of diabetes mellitus (DM) have been poorly characterized. Some authors have reported normal pulmonary functions and even concluded that spirometry is not at all necessary in diabetic patients.

Objective: the main objective of the study was to analyse the comparison of pulmonary function test in controlled and uncontrolled type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Data Collection: this cross-sectional study was conducted in Allied hospital, Faisalabad during January 2019 to July 2019. All patients and controls who fulfil selection criteria were enrolled in the study. Informed consent was obtained from each patient. Demographic profile (name, age, sex, contact no.) was also be taken. Only those patients whose fasting blood sugar were >126 mg/dl or random blood sugar >200 mg/dl were selected. The HbA1c was also estimated in order to differentiate between controlled (<7) and uncontrolled diabetes (>7).

Results: In this study no statistically significant difference was seen between mean ranks for FVC [Group-A:86.91, Group-B: 74.00 & Group-C: 83.59], FEV1 [Group-A:88.44, Group-B: 80.56 & Group-C: 75.50] and FEF(25-75) [Group-A:87.53, Group-B: 80.73 & Group-C:76.23] among the study group. However, for FEV/FVC significantly differ across the groups. i.e. [Group-A:98.18, Group-B: 109.41 & Group-C: 36.91]. Multiple comparison test showed that not statistically difference was seen for FEV/FVC between Group-A and in Group-B patients. However, between Group-A and Group-C and Group-B and Group-C statistically significant difference was seen. **Conclusion:** Results of this study showed that uncontrolled diabetes adversely effects pulmonary function and causes significant lung function impairment.

Corresponding author:Muhammad Meraj Gul,
Allied Hospital Faisalabad.

QR code



Please cite this article in press Muhammad Meraj Gul et al., *Analysis Of Comparison Of Pulmonary Function Test In Controlled And Uncontrolled Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus.*, Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2019; 06[09].

INTRODUCTION:

There is a large impact on society and burden due to Diabetes mellitus which is the most common chronic endocrine disorder, affecting people of industrialized Western countries, Africa, Asia, South America and Central America.^{1,2} Diabetes mellitus is a huge health problem in world with its rising prevalence with more than 18,000,000 people all over the world and would be 36,600,000 people with DM by the year 2030.³ Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is associated with significant mortality and morbidity in underdeveloped and developing countries.⁴

Diabetes mellitus is a debilitating and chronic disease. Its complications give rise to macro and microvascular diseases which affect heart, blood vessels, eyes, kidney, nerves and also pulmonary system. There may be a relationship between reduced lung function and DM.⁵

Pulmonary complications of diabetes mellitus (DM) characterized poorly. Few authors have reported normal pulmonary functions and concluded that spirometry is not significant in diabetic patients. Some studies have shown abnormal spirometric parameters in patients of DM. Moreover, the DM duration and blood glycemic control have variety of impact on the pulmonary functions.⁶

According to a study significant difference in FVC in control (89.36 ± 9.71) and diabetic subject (77.97 ± 12.99), p -value < 0.005 . FEV1 was also statistically higher in control subjects (88.03 ± 6.69) if compared with diabetic patients (78.98 ± 14.09). It is reported insignificant difference between FEV1 / FVC (111.36 ± 10.62) in controls and 112.83 ± 9.35 in diabetic patients, p -value > 0.005 . However other spirometric parameters (PEFR, PEF, $25, 50, 75, 25-75$) were also significantly different in diabetic patients and controls, p -value < 0.05 .⁶

⁶Another study states that the mean FEV1, FEV1/FVC%, PEF, FEF 25–75%, values were decreased in diabetic patients (p -value < 0.05) compared to non-diabetic patients. Uncontrolled diabetic patients also show low values than controlled diabetic patients.⁷

The rationale of this study is to see impact of diabetes mellitus in on lung function as no local study is available and we have planned to take diabetic patients with controlled and un-controlled diabetes and these two groups will be compared with aged and gender matched healthy controls as well. Moreover we will

also see impact of duration of diabetes mellitus and BMI.

OBJECTIVE:

The objective of this study is to

- Compare pulmonary function test in controlled and uncontrolled type 2 diabetes mellitus patients.

MATERIAL AND METHODS:

This case control study was conducted in chest department of Mayo hospital Lahore.

STUDY GROUPS:

Cases: Were divided into 2 groups

- **Group-A**
Patients with controlled diabetes mellitus
- **Group-B**
Patients with uncontrolled diabetes mellitus

Control group

- **Group-C**
Healthy age and gender matched individuals that were taken from attendants from patients enrolled in Group-A and Group-B

DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURE:

All 162 patients/ controls (54 n each groups) that fulfill selection criteria were enrolled in the study. Informed consent was obtained from each patient. Demographic profile (name, age, sex, contact no.) was also taken. Only those patients whose fasting blood sugar was > 126 mg/dl or random blood sugar > 200 mg/dl will be selected. The HbA1c was also estimated in order to differentiate between controlled (< 7) and uncontrolled diabetes (> 7).

PFTs of all patients as well as of the controls was done. The controls and patients undergone spirometric evaluation as follows.

Spirometric parameters will contain

- Forced vital capacity (FVC) in liters,
- Forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) in liters
- FEV1/FVC in percentage (%),
- Forced expiratory flow during 25% of FVC (FEF25), 50% of FVC (FEF50) and FVC 75% of FVC (FEF75) and Peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR).

For all these parameters percentage of predicted values for the respective age, height, and weight was taken into consideration. All data was collected on predefined proforma (attached) by researcher herself.

Data analysis: Data was entered and analyzed through Statistical package for social science (SPSS) version 21. Quantitative variables like age, weight, height,

BMI, FVC, FEV1, FEV1/FVC, FEF25-75 and PEF was presented in form of mean \pm S.D. Qualitative data like gender,

RESULTS:

Table-1: Age distribution of cases & Controls

	Group-A	Group-B	Group-C
N	54	54	54
Mean	37.17	37.31	38.00
SD	3.457	3.928	1.780
Min	30	26	31
Max	47	55	39

Group-A: Patients with controlled diabetes mellitus

Group-B: Patients with uncontrolled diabetes mellitus

Group-C: Healthy age and gender matched individuals

Mean age of patients in Group-A and in Group-B was 37.17 ± 3.45 and 37.31 ± 3.92 year. While in Group-C mean age of participants was 38.00 ± 1.78 years respectively.

Table-2: Gender distribution of cases & Controls

	Group-A	Group-B	Group-C
Male	41(75.9%)	26(48.1%)	35(64.8%)
Female	13(24.1%)	28(51.9%)	19(35.2%)
Total	54	54	54

Group-A: Patients with controlled diabetes mellitus

Group-B: Patients with uncontrolled diabetes mellitus

Group-C: Healthy age and gender matched individuals

In Group-A 41(75.9%) male and 13(24.1%) female patients were included while in Group-B 26(48.1%) male and 28(51.9%) female's patients were included. In Group-C there were 35(64.8%) male and 19(35.2%) female participants were included.

Table-3: Weight & Height of Cases & Controls

	Height (Cm)			Weight (Kg)		
	Group-A	Group-B	Group-C	Group-A	Group-B	Group-C
N	54	54	54	54	54	54
Mean	153.96	159.77	136.72	66.07	75.04	54.26
SD	16.76	10.94	9.53	13.48	15.12	9.22
Min	129	129	129	39	47	47
Max	177	178	177	85	102	91

Group-A: Patients with controlled diabetes mellitus

Group-B: Patients with uncontrolled diabetes mellitus

Group-C: Healthy age and gender matched individuals

DISCUSSION:

Diabetes mellitus is a noteworthy, quickly developing general social insurance issue. Its occurrence is increasing and carries with-it long-haul complications. Constant hyperglycaemia of diabetes mellitus is related with proceeding harm, dysfunction, and lack of

different organs working, particularly the eyes, kidneys, nerves, heart, lungs and veins. Diabetes mellitus is a hopeless long-lasting sickness, including various frameworks, and with wrecking complexities which wind up in serious inabilities and death.

Spirometry is a basic, dependable, legitimate and capable apparatus that can be utilized to observe, separate, manage and oversee patients with respiratory issue. Diabetes mellitus is a noteworthy general social insurance issue with expanding occurrence and long-haul entanglements and is a main source of disease and death. Diabetes mellitus is related with proceeding with harm, dysfunction and lack of different organs function, including the lungs. Consequently, when the subject of the administration of diabetes mellitus emerges, doctors ought to know about the span of the issue of respiratory intricacies and must consider the lung as being as genuine as different complications of diabetes mellitus.

The impaired lung capacities (FVC and FEV1) mirrors a causative role by the lungs in creating diabetes, then streamlining the patency of the lungs through stopping of smoking, shirking of aggravations and lethal introduction, control of basic airway irritation and the advancement of physical action appear justified. Undoubtedly, it appears time to add the spirometer to the apparatuses accessible for checking diabetes mellitus and its critical sequelae. Besides, doctors should completely use Spirometry in the administration of diabetes mellitus.

CONCLUSION:

Results of this study showed that uncontrolled diabetes adversely effects pulmonary function and causes significant lung function impairment. By doing this lung damage can be prevented at its initial phase which ultimately contributes to minimize the mortality and morbidity among type 2 diabetic patients.

REFERENCES:

1. Alqurashi KA, Aljabri KS, Bokhari SA. Prevalence of diabetes mellitus in a Saudi community. *Ann of Saudi Med.* 2010;31(1):19-23.
2. Alhowaish AK. Economic costs of diabetes in Saudi Arabia. *J family community med.* 2013;20(1):1-7.
3. Al-Khawaldeh OA, Al-Hassan MA, Froelicher ES. Self-efficacy, self-management, and glycemic control in adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Journal of Diabetes and its Complications.* 2012;26(1):10-6.
4. Vijayaraghavan K. Treatment of dyslipidemia in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Lipids Health Dis.* 2010;9(1):144.
5. I AAE-A, Hamdy G, Amin M, Rashad A. Pulmonary function changes in diabetic lung. *Egypt J Chest Dis and Tubercul.* 2013;62(3):513-7.
6. Shah SH, Sonawane P, Nahar P, Vaidya S, Salvi S. Pulmonary function tests in type 2 diabetes mellitus and their association with glycemic control and duration of the disease. *Lung India.* 2013;30(2):108-12.
7. Organization WH. *The World Health Report 2001: Mental health: new understanding, new hope:* World Health Organization; 2001.
8. Gan D. *Diabetes atlas: International Diabetes Federation;* 2003.
9. Narayan KV, Zhang P, Williams D, Engelgau M, Imperatore G, Kanaya A, et al. How should developing countries manage diabetes? *Can Med Assoc J.* 2006;175(7):733-.
10. Association AD. *Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus.* *Diabetes care.* 2006;29(1):S43.
11. Organization WH. *Plan of action for the Western Pacific Declaration on Diabetes: 2000-2005.* 2001.