CODEN [USA]: IAJPBB ISSN : 2349-7750

INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF
PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES
SJIF Impact Factor: 7.187
http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4035551

Available online at: http://www.iajps.com *Research Article*

ISCHAMIC AND INTRACEREBRAL HEMORRHAGIC RISK ASPECTS OF 21 NATIONS STROKE

Dr Uroosa Naheed, Dr Sumbal Naheed, Dr Saba Hassan
Nishtar Hospital Multan

Article Received: July 2020 **Accepted:** August 2020 **Published:** September 2020

Abstract:
Aim: The commitment of different danger components to the weight of stroke overall is obscure, especially in nations of low and center salary. We intended to build up the relationship of identified and rising danger aspects through stroke and its essential subtypes, survey commitment of those danger components to the weight of stroke, and investigate changes amongst hazard factors for stroke and myocardial dead tissue.
Methods: *From 3 April 2019 to 4 March 2020 at Sir Ganga Ram Hospital, Lahore, we adopted a standardized case-control concentrate in 21 countries around the world. Events included first acute stroke patients (five days after the onset of symptoms and 72 hours from the emergency clinic). Controls have no stroke experience and have been matched for age and sex events. The coordinated survey and a physical examination were performed for every participant and most blood and pee checks were conducted. Our chances were that both stroke, ischamic stroke and hemorrhagic intracerebral stroke with specific danger factors would be linked by inferable populations.*
Results: *In the first 3500 cases (n=2338, 77%, with ischamic stroke; n=666, 23%, with intracerebral hemorrhagic stroke) and 3000 controls, significant hazard factors for all stroke were: history of hypertension (OR 3·65, 98% CI 2·27–3·09; PAR 35·7%, 98% CI 32·6–39·3); current smoking (2·08, 1·76–2·52; 19·8%, 16·4–24·1); midsection to-hip proportion (1·68, 1·37–1·98 for most noteworthy versus least tertiles; 26·5%, 18·8–36·0); diet hazard score (1·36, 1·12–1·65 for most elevated versus most reduced tertiles; 19·7%, 11·2–29·7); standard physical action (0·68, 0·54–0·91; 29·6%, 15·6–49·6); diabetes mellitus (1·36, 1·10–1·68; 5·0%, 2·6–9·5); liquor admission (1·52, 1·19–1·93 for in excess of 30 beverages for each month or hitting the bottle hard; 3·8%, 0·9–14·4); psychosocial stress (1·31, 1·07–1·61; 5·7%, 2·1–9·6) and wretchedness (1·35, 1·10–1·66; 5·2%, 2·7–9·8); heart causes (3·39, 1·78–4·21; 7·8%, 5·9–9·1); and proportion of Apo lipoproteins B to A1 (1·89, 1·49–2·40 for most noteworthy versus least tertile; 24·9%, 15·7–37·1). These risk factors, with all of this into consideration, accounted for 88·1% of the PAR (99% CI 82·3–92·2) over all strokes. The PAR combination was 90·3 percent (85·3-93·7) for all the strokes at the time by using a particular description by hypertension (historic hypertension or blood pressure > 160/90 mm hg). The risk factors were all essential for ischemic stroke, whereas intracerebral hemorrhagic stroke is greatly impaired by hypertension, alcohol, the abdominal percentage, nutrition and liquor entry.*
Conclusion: *Our results show that 10 hazard factors are linked to 93% of hazards. Driven mediation which reduces pulse and smoking, physical activity and a healthy diet may dramatically reduce stroke weight.*
Keywords: *Ischamic, Intracerebral Hemorrhagic Risk Aspects.*

Corresponding author:
Dr. Uroosa Naheed,
Nishtar Hospital Multan

QR code

Please cite this article in press Uroosa Naheed et al, ***Ischamic And Intracerebral Hemorrhagic Risk Aspects Of 21 Nations Stroke.,*** *Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2020; 07(09).*

INTRODUCTION:

Stroke is the subsequent driving reason for death around the world, what's more, the main source of obtained inability in grown-ups in most regions. Countries of low and center salary have the biggest weight of stroke, representing more than 86% of stroke mortality around the world, yet scarcely any dependable information are accessible to distinguish hazard factors for stroke in a large portion of these areas, and especially for hemorrhagic stroke [1]. Discoveries from the INTERHEART study proposed that nine modify capable danger factors clarify a large portion of the danger of myocardial localized necrosis around the world [2]. A proportionate analysis is appropriate for strokes since strokes involve a variety of pathologies other than enormous corridor atherosclerosis, so stroke danger factors which vary from myocardial dead tissues in their etiological subtypes [3]. Furthermore, in many regions the free contribution of any element in danger is elusive to the weight of stroke all over the globe. The INTERHEART study indicated the practicality, esteem, furthermore, significance of an enormous normalized global case control study (29 976 members) to set up the significance of, and connection between, key danger factors for myocardial dead tissue in 51 nations of high, center, and low salary, with interest from each possessed continent.6–10 However, extra difficulties are introduced by a comparative report for stroke [4]. The INTERSTROKE study is a global case-control multicar-center project that seeks to create a relationship with the stroke (and critical stroke subspecies) in high, middle and low income nations of both typical and emerging threat factors. We report on the effect of stage 1 on 3,500 cases and 3,500 tests from 21 countries and demonstrate that a global case-control analysis is feasible for stroke and assess the value of normal hazard factors. Step 2 is still underway and has to be completed in the next three years [5].

.

METHODOLOGY:

Cases were admitted to emergency clinic with first intense stroke, defined as "a clinical disorder portrayed by quickly creating clinical manifestations as well as signs, and now and again worldwide, loss of cerebral capacity, with manifestations enduring over 24 hours or prompting passing, with no evident cause other than a vascular one". From 3 April 2019 to 4 March 2020 at Sir Ganga Ram Hospital, Lahore, we adopted a standardized case-control concentrate in 21 countries around the world. Patients were incorporated on the off chance that they introduced inside 6 days of side effects beginning or from when they were most recently seen without deficit, introduced inside 78 h of medical clinic affirmation, and a CT or MRI of the mind was gotten ready for inside multi week of introduction. For patients unfit to convey sufficiently to complete the investigation poll, intermediary respondents were utilized. We also described significant intermediaries as life partners or first degree kin who have lived in the comparable home or have known about the experience and medicine of the past participant. It has not been necessary to deter patients on off occasion: they have non-vascular causes for stroke; they had severe coronary disease by and by in a clinic; or the patient or a major proxy respondent did not give their permission. Destinations have been advised for the first 4 months of recitation to hold a checklist of continuous patients admitted with acute stroke. There were monitors at a local center or a network and there is no stroke records. Cases for age and sex have been planned with checks. According to the STROBE guidelines, we tried to add one search on each event on each section. We've seen robust variation in cardiovascular and neurovascular imaging accessibility and usage across countries, with a decreased frequency of low wage tests. In all cases, information was obtained from the open suggestive testing and stroke subtype. The modified-rankin scale16 was used for calculating and 1-month production of stroke gravity

Table 1:

	All (n=3000)	High-income countries* (n=422)	South America† (n=151)	Southeast Asia‡ (n=1146)	India (n=958)	Africa§ (n=323)
Age (years)	61·1 (12·7)	66·0 (13·3)	65·6 (13·4)	58·5 (11·6)	58·9 (12·0)	57·7 (15·3)
Age ≤45 years	415 (14%)	32 (8%)	13 (9%)	146 (13%)	147 (15%)	77 (24%)
Women	1106 (37%)	169 (40%)	71 (47%)	412 (36%)	313 (33%)	141(44%)
Intracerebral haemorrhagic stroke	663 (22%)	40 (9%)	39 (26%)	257 (22%)	218 (23%)	109 (34%)
Ischaemic stroke	2337 (78%)	382 (91%)	112 (74%)	889 (78%)	740 (77%)	214 (66%)
OCSP classification¶						
Total anterior circulation infarct	177 (8%)	28 (7%)	15 (13%)	51 (6%)	42 (6%)	41 (19%)
Partial anterior circulation infarct	1205 (52%)	166 (43%)	37 (33%)	510 (57%)	391 (53%)	101 (47%)
Posterior circulation infarct	321 (14%)	61 (16%)	18 (16%)	121 (14%)	103 (14%)	18 (8%)
Lacunar infarction	499 (21%)	110 (29%)	15 (13%)	194 (22%)	145 (20%)	35 (16%)
Undetermined	135 (6%)	17 (4%)	27 (24%)	13 (1%)	59 (8%)	19 (9%)
Presumed primary aetiology¶						
Cardioembolism	220 (9%)	100 (26%)	18 (16%)	17 (2%)	32 (4%)	53 (25%)
Large vessel	437 (19%)	70 (18%)	5 (4%)	99 (11%)	232 (31%)	31 (14%)
Small vessel	1039 (44%)	115 (30%)	20 (18%)	474 (53%)	373 (50%)	57 (27%)
Other	124 (5%)	16 (4%)	17 (15%)	23 (3%)	25 (3%)	43 (20%)
Undetermined	517 (22%)	81 (21%)	52 (46%)	276 (31%)	78 (11%)	30 (14%)
Modified-Rankin scale (1-month follow-up)						
0–1	1114 (37%)	192 (45%)	35 (23%)	513 (45%)	329 (34%)	45 (14%)
2–3	1312 (44%)	162 (38%)	79 (52%)	479 (42%)	438 (46%)	154 (48%)
4–5	309 (10%)	50 (12%)	18 (12%)	107 (9%)	84 (9%)	50 (15%)
6 (fatal)	260 (9%)	17 (4%)	19 (13%)	46 (4%)	107 (11%)	71 (22%)
Data missing	5 (<1%)	1 (<1%)	0	1 (1%)	0	3 (1%)
CT or MRI of brain	2997 (99·9%)	422 (100%)	151 (100%)	1146 (100%)	955 (99·7%)	323 (100%)
ECG	2957 (99%)	421 (100%)	140 (93%)	1142 (100%)	953 (99%)	301 (93%)
Vascular imaging¶	657 (28%)	293 (77%)	22 (20%)	297 (33%)	41 (6%)	4 (2%)
Transthoracic echocardiography¶	327 (14%)	145 (38%)	5 (4%)	112 (13%)	13 (2%)	52 (24%)
Holter monitor¶	146 (6%)	118 (31%)	1 (1%)	27 (3%)	0	0

Data are mean (SD) or number (%). OCSP=Oxfordshire Community Stroke Project. ECG=electrocardiogram. *Australia, Canada, Croatia, Denmark, Germany, Iran, and Poland. †Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, and Peru. ‡China, Malaysia, and Philippines. §Mozambique, Nigeria, South Africa, Sudan, and Uganda. ¶Percentages are proportions of the number of cases with ischaemic stroke.

Table 1: **Demographic and clinical characteristics of cases**

RESULTS:

In 42 areas from 14 countries a screening log was preserved for around 3 months. 762 (13 per cent) of 5,668 patients in the test were eligible for stage 1 recollection. The most common reasons for inadmissibility were that: silence had a prior stroke (n=1199, 24%), stroke did not match the clinical definition (n=871, 18%), duration for a defined diagnosis (n=1296, 27%) and resistant was unable to hold due to extreme strokes, aphasia or dementia. Step 1 comprised three thousand cases and three hundred monitors. The intra-cerebral stroke (Table 1), which comprises 2338 cases (79%), has ischamic strokes (663 cases (24%)).). Around a seventh of the cases have aged for 45 years or more, with lowest wages and the highest amount in Africa. The ischamic stroke was more frequent in all areas than the intracerebral hemorrhagic stroke, with some intracerebral hemorrhagic stroke of local variation (table 1). In 2999 cases (98·8 percent), neuro-imaging was performed. Polls have been done in patients (n=1132, 39%), intermediate (n=1044, 26%) or together (n=824, 28%); most possibly not three (< 2%) is intermediate (n=1044). The degree to which patients have been tested to recognize a thrombolytic wellspring varied from area to region (Table 1). In multivariate tests we found a reduced risk associated with prior smoking and never a saucer. A weight file was not correlated with stroke, anthropological factor assessment (Figure 2). A weight file. On the other side, the middle part to hip was attributed to the elevated risk of stroke, and interracial hemorrhagic stroke was also ischemic correlated with each.

Table 2:

	Prevalence*			All stroke†		Ischaemic stroke†		Intracerebral haemorrhagic stroke	
	Control (n=3000)	Ischaemic stroke (n=2337)	Intracerebral haemorrhagic stroke (n=663)	Odds ratio (99% CI)	Population-attributable risk (99% CI)	Odds ratio (99% CI)	Population-attributable risk (99% CI)	Odds ratio (99% CI)	Population-attributable risk (99% CI)
Variable 1: hypertension									
A: self-reported history of hypertension	954/2996 (32%)	1277/2335 (55%)	399/662 (60%)	2·64 (2·26–3·08)	34·6% (30·4–39·1)	2·37 (2·00–2·79)	31·5% (26·7–36·7)	3·80 (2·96–4·78)	44·5% (37·2–52·0)
B: self-reported history of hypertension or blood pressure >160/90 mm Hg	1109/3000 (37%)	1550/2337 (66%)	551/663 (83%)	3·89 (3·33–4·54)	51·8% (47·7–55·8)	3·14 (2·67–3·71)	45·2% (40·3–50·0)	9·18 (6·80–12·39)	73·6% (67·0–79·3)
Variable 2: smoking status									
Current smoker‡	732/2994 (24%)	868/2333 (37%)	207/662 (31%)	2·09 (1·75–2·51)	18·9% (15·3–23·1)	2·32 (1·91–2·81)	21·4% (17·5–25·8)	1·45 (1·07–1·96)	9·5% (4·2–20·0)
Variable 3: waist-to-hip ratio									
T2 *vs* T1	989/2960 (33%)	768/2303 (33%)	266/655 (41%)	1·42 (1·18–1·71)	26·5% (18·8–36·0)§	1·34 (1·10–1·64)	26·0% (17·7–36·5)§	1·65 (1·22–2·23)	26·1% (14·1–43·3)§
T3 *vs* T1	984/2960 (33%)	987/2303 (43%)	231/655 (35%)	1·65 (1·36–1·99)	··	1·69 (1·38–2·07)	··	1·41 1·02–1·93)	··
Variable 4: diet risk score									
T2 *vs* T1	1064/2982 (36%)	842/2303 (37%)	271/658 (41%)	1·35 (1·12–1·61)	18·8% (11·2–29·7)§	1·29 (1·06–1·57)	17·3% (9·4–29·6)§	1·53 (1·13–2·08)	24·1% (11·9–42·7)§
T3 *vs* T1	904/2982 (30%)	807/2303 (35%)	221/658 (34%)	1·35 (1·11–1·64)	··	1·34 (1·09–1·65)	··	1·41 (1·01–1·97)	··
Variable 5: regular physical activity¶	362/2994 (12%)	193/2334 (8%)	45/662 (7%)	0·69 (0·53–0·90)	28·5% (14·5–48·5)	0·68 (0·51–0·91)	29·4% (14·5–50·5)	0·70 (0·44–1·13)	27·6% (6·8–66·6)
Variable 6: diabetes mellitus	350/2999 (12%)	495/2336 (21%)	68/662 (10%)	1·36 (1·10–1·68)	5·0% (2·6–9·5)	1·60 (1·29–1·99)	7·9% (5·1–12·3)	‖	‖
Variable 7: alcohol intake‡									
1–30 drinks per month	524/2989 (18%)	338/2326 (15%)	121/660 (18%)	0·90 (0·72–1·11)	3·8% (0·9–14·4)§	0·79 (0·63–1·00)	1·0% (0·0–83·8)§	1·52 (1·07–2·16)	14·6% (8·5–24·0)§
>30 drinks per month or binge drinker	324/2989 (11%)	383/2326 (16%)	108/660 (16%)	1·51 (1·18–1·92)	··	1·41 (1·09–1·82)	··	2·01 (1·35–2·99)	··
Variable 8: psychosocial factors									
A: psychosocial stress	440/2987 (15%)	465/2324 (20%)	124/654 (19%)	1·30 (1·06–1·60)	4·6% (2·1–9·6)	1·30 (1·04–1·62)	4·7% (2·0–10·2)	1·23 (0·89–1·69)	3·5% (0·7–16·3)
B: depression	424/2995 (14%)	489/2320 (21%)	100/645 (16%)	1·35 (1·10–1·66)	5·2% (2·7–9·8)	1·47 (1·19–1·83)	6·8% (3·9–11·4)	‖	‖
Variable 9: cardiac causes**	140/3000 (5%)	321/2337 (14%)	28/662 (4%)	2·38 (1·77–3·20)	6·7% (4·8–9·1)	2·74 (2·03–3·72)	8·5% (6·4–11·2)	‖	‖
Variable 10: ratio of ApoB to ApoA1††									
T2 *vs* T1	695/2091 (33%)	501/1698 (30%)	136/468 (29%)	1·13 (0·90–1·42)	24·9% (15·7–37·1)§	1·30 (1·01–1·67)	35·2% (25·5–46·3)§	‖	‖
T3 *vs* T1	696/2091 (33%)	825/1698 (49%)	165/468 (35%)	1·89 (1·49–2·40)	··	2·40 (1·86–3·11)	··	‖	‖

All models were adjusted for age, sex, and region. T=tertile. Apo=apolipoprotein. *Data were missing for some individuals: seven for self-reported history of hypertension, 11 for smoking status, 82 for waist-to-hip ratio, 57 for diet risk score, ten for physical activity, three for diabetes mellitus, 25 for alcohol intake, 35 for psychosocial stress, 40 for depression, one for cardiac causes, and 1743 for apolipoprotein concentrations; these individuals were excluded from the denominator in percentage calculations. †Individual risk-factor estimates for variables 1–9 are derived from the multivariable model, including all variables (1A and 2–9). For intracerebral haemorrhagic stroke, the multivariate model included variables 1A, 2–5, 7, and 8A. ‡Comparator for current smoker and alcohol intake is never or former. §For variables expressed in tertiles, population-attributable risk was calculated from T2 plus T3 versus T1. ¶For the protective factor of physical activity, population-attributable risks are provided for the group without this factor. ‖Odds ratio and population-attributable risk was not calculated because the variable was not significant in univariate analyses and so was excluded from multivariate analyses. **Includes atrial fibrillation or flutter, previous myocardial infarction, rheumatic valve disease, or prosthetic heart valve. ††Estimate derived from multivariable model, including all variables (1A and 2–10; n=4257).

***Table 2:* Risk of stroke associated with risk factors in the overall population (multivariate analyses)**

Table 3:

	Self-reported hypertension or blood pressure >160/90 mm Hg	Current smoker	Waist-to-hip ratio (T3 *vs* T1)
Region			
High-income countries (n=422)*	2·79 (1·83–4·25)	2·68 (1·64–4·37)	3·34 (1·96–5·68)
South America (n=151)†	3·52 (1·63–7·60)	3·01 (1·00–9·06)	3·82 (1·26–11·55)
Southeast Asia (n=1146)‡	4·49 (3·54–5·70)	2·17 (1·62–2·90)	1·36 (0·99–1·85)
India (n=958)	4·36 (3·34–5·69)	2·22 (1·65–2·97)	1·35(0·96–1·89)
Africa (n=323)§	4·96 (3·11–7·91)	2·18 (1·07–4·43)	1·73 (0·99–3·02)
Sex			
Men (n=1894)	3·88 (3·22–4·68)	2·46 (2·02–3·01)	1·25 (0·99–1·59)
Women (n=1106)	4·89 (3·79–6·32)	1·56 (1·03–2·36)	2·70 (1·95–3·74)
Age (years)			
≤45 (n=415)	8·53 (5·39–13·49)	2·77 (1·72–4·47)	1·38 (0·83–2·28)
>45 (n=2585)	3·89 (3·31–4·56)	2·17 (1·79–2·62)	1·71 (1·39–2·09)
Modified-Rankin scale			
0–2 (n=1899)	4·06 (3·43–4·82)	2·05 (1·69–2·49)	1·55 (1·26–1·91)
3–6 (n=1096)	4·48 (3·62–5·55)	2·51 (1·97–3·20)	1·76 (1·35–2·30)

Data are odds ratio (99% CI). Models are adjusted for age, sex, region, hypertension, smoking status, and waist-to-hip ratio. T=tertile. *Australia, Canada, Croatia, Denmark, Germany, Iran, and Poland. †Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, and Peru. ‡China, Malaysia, and Philippines. §Mozambique, Nigeria, South Africa, Sudan, and Uganda.

Table 3: **Risk of stroke associated with key risk factors by region, sex, age, and stroke severity**

DISCUSSION:

The INTERSTROKE study is first major systematic case-control analysis of danger vehicles, with low- and central-pay countries combined and regular neuroimaging performed in all situations. Fi ve dangerous causes have proven that over 80 percent of the world 's risk in any stroke – depression, active smoking, heavy stomach, food, and physical activity – is hypersensitive [6]. The PAR for all stroke increased to 92 percent with the addition of fi ve other risk factors, including Apo fat proteins. Our research offers basic evidence regarding the role of natural vascular hazard factors, likely changing them, and stretches beyond epidemiology [7]. We recorded substantial ties for ischamic strokes to each of the 9 hazardous factors found in the INTERHEART study which were hypertension, smoking, heaviness of the stomach, diet, physical activity, diabolical diabetes, drug consumption, psychosocial conditions, moreover, and all of these hazardous conditions accounted for about 90% of PAR [8]. Despite the fact that the by and large PAR gauge is predictable with INTERHEART, the overall significance of some danger factors for stroke appear to be different contrasted and myocardial dead tissue. Based on a roundabout examination between the contemplates, these differences are generally outstanding for hypertension, Apo lipoproteins, physical action, and liquor consumption [9]. While details on hemorrhagic intracerebral stroke relied on fewer cases with a more substantial 99% CI than ischamic stroke, the PAR was greater in six of these risk factors. These results are crucial if the optimal set of risk factor centers for communities to eliminate all cardiovascular diseases is to be controlled. The variations in the profile of the danger factor may, to some degree, explain the incidence of ischamic stroke, hemorrhage and dead myocardia tissue worldwide [10].

CONCLUSION:

An unprecedented global epidemiologic stroke investigation that involves regular neuroimages can be conducted in low- and center-wage countries, taking everything into account. We note that 92 percent of ischamic and intracerebral hemorrhagic stroke threat worldwide are associated with ten fundamental danger factors. Guided mediations to minimize pulse and smoke and to encourage physical activity and healthy eating habits could considerably reduce the weight of the stroke worldwide.

REFERENCES:

1. Feigin V L. Stroke in developing countries: can the epidemic be stopped and outcomes improved? Lancet Neurol. 2007;6(02):94–97. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
2. Strong K, Mathers C, Bonita R. Preventing stroke: saving lives around the world. Lancet Neurol. 2007;6(02):182–187. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
3. Pandian J D, Sudhan P. Stroke epidemiology and stroke care services in India. J Stroke. 2013;15(03):128–134. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
4. Taylor FC, Kumar SK. Stroke in India factsheet. Available from: (Updated 2012). ResearchGate. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/264116605_Stroke_in_India_-_Fact-sheet_Updated_2012. Accessed January 30, 2019
5. Razdan S, Koul R L, Motta A, Kaul S. Cerebrovascular disease in rural Kashmir, India. Stroke. 1989;20(12):1691–1693. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
6. Sridharan S E, Unnikrishnan J P, Sukumaran S et al.Incidence, types, risk factors, and outcome of stroke in a developing country: the Trivandrum Stroke Registry. Stroke. 2009;40(04):1212–1218. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
7. Kalkonde Y V, Deshmukh M D, Sahane V et al.Stroke is the leading cause of death in rural Gadchiroli, India: a prospective community-based study. Stroke. 2015;46(07):1764–1768. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
8. Norman G, George C, Krishnamurthy A, Mukherjee D. Burden of cardiovascular risk factors of a rural population in South India using the WHO multivariable risk prediction algorithm. Int J Med Sci Public Health. 2014;3(06):764–768. [Google Scholar]
9. Chow C K, Joshi R, Gottumukkala A K et al.Rationale and design of the Rural Andhra Pradesh Cardiovascular Prevention Study (RAPCAPS): a factorial, cluster-randomized trial of 2 practical cardiovascular disease prevention strategies developed for rural Andhra Pradesh, India. Am Heart J. 2009;158(03):349–355. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
10. OpenEpi—Sample Size for Unmatched Case-Control Studies. Available at: http://www.openepi.com/SampleSize/SSCC.htm. Accessed February 1, 2019